



PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
2750 Monroe Boulevard
Audubon, PA 19403

Erin Lai
Senior Counsel
C: (267) 541-9788 | O: (610) 666-4345
Erin.Lai@pjm.com

December 2, 2022

The Honorable Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, N.E. Room 1A
Washington, D.C. 20426

*Re: PJM Interconnection L.L.C., Docket No. ER23- 557 -000
Proposed Amendments to Emission Adders and Calculation of Cost-Based Offers*

Dear Secretary Bose,

Pursuant to Section 205 of the Federal Power Act (“FPA”),¹ and Part 35 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission’s (“FERC” or the “Commission”) regulations,² PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (“PJM”) hereby submits for filing proposed revisions to the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff (“Tariff”) and the Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (“Operating Agreement”) concerning (1) Start-Up Costs and (2) inclusion of environmental credits and emission adders in non-zero cost-based offers.³

As further explained below, the Start-Up Costs⁴ calculation proposal includes revisions to the Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A(a)⁵ and Schedule 2, section 1.3(a). Additionally, this proposal includes changes to the definitions of Start-Up Costs and Start Fuel.

¹ 16 U.S.C. § 824d.

² 18 C.F.R. § 35.13.

³ The Tariff and Operating Agreement are currently located under PJM’s “Intra-PJM Tariffs” eTariff title, available here: <https://etariff.ferc.gov/TariffBrowser.aspx?tid=1731>. Terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Tariff, Operating Agreement, and the Reliability Assurance Agreement Among Load-Serving Entities in the PJM Region (the “RAA”).

⁴ All capitalized terms in this filing letter are defined in the RAA, Operating Agreement or Tariff.

⁵ References to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1 in this filing also refer to the parallel provisions of the Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix.

Separately, the environmental cost and credits proposal described below also includes revisions to the Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, sections 2.5 and 3.1. PJM is combining both revisions in this one filing to avoid overlapping versions of the Operating Agreement, given that the proposed redlines from both proposals are in Operating Agreement, Schedule 2. To be clear, however, the clarifications regarding the Start-Up Costs calculation are discrete, severable, and not interdependent with the environmental cost and credits proposal. Thus, PJM requests the Commission evaluate the justness and reasonableness of these proposals separately.⁶

The consensus proposal related to the calculation of Start-Up Costs was endorsed by acclamation with no objections and one abstention at both the July 27, 2022 Markets and Reliability Committee (“MRC”) and Members Committee (“MC”).⁷ Similarly, the consensus proposal related to environmental costs and credits was endorsed by acclamation with 2 objections and 1 abstention, at the August 24, 2022 MRC.⁸ The proposal was subsequently approved by acclamation with no objections or abstentions at the September 21, 2022 MC.⁹

Given the enhanced guidance and clarity on cost-based offers for Market Sellers, PJM

⁶ See *NRG Power Mktg., LLC v. FERC*, 862 F.3d 108, 114-15 (D.C. Cir. 2017) (finding that the Commission has “authority to propose modifications to a utility’s [FPA section 205] proposal if the utility consents to the modifications”) (emphasis in original); see also *Public Service Company of New Mexico*, 178 FERC ¶ 61,088, at P 31 n.48 (2022) (accepting the filer’s proposed tariff revisions, but directing certain revisions to remove specific charges, as agreed to by the filer) (citing 862 F.3d 108); *Southwest Power Pool, Inc.*, 177 FERC ¶ 61,148, at P 27 n.42 (2021); *PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*, 176 FERC ¶ 61,080, at P 43 n.54 (2021); *Southwest Power Pool, Inc.*, 177 FERC ¶ 61,230, at P 24 n.43 (2021).

⁷ The minutes from the July 27, 2022 MRC meeting are available here: <https://www.pjm.com/-/media/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2022/20220824/consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes-07272022.ashx>; The minutes from the July 27, 2022 MC meeting are available here: <https://www.pjm.com/-/media/committees-groups/committees/mc/2022/20220921/consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes-07272022.ashx>.

⁸ The minutes from the August 24, 2022 MRC are available here: <https://pjm.com/-/media/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2022/20220921/consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes-08242022.ashx>.

⁹ The minutes from the September 21, 2022 MC meeting are available here: [consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes-9212022.ashx \(pjm.com\)](https://www.pjm.com/-/media/committees-groups/committees/mc/2022/20220921/consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes-09212022.ashx).

respectfully urges the Commission to find the proposed revisions to the Tariff and Operating Agreement set forth herein just and reasonable under section 205 of the FPA. PJM also respectfully requests an effective date of June 1, 2023, for the proposed Tariff and Operating Agreement revisions for both proposals described below.¹⁰ To allow for this effective date, PJM requests a waiver of the Commission's 120-day notice requirement to allow for the proposed revisions to become effective.¹¹ Good cause exists for this request to allow these revisions to coincide with the beginning of the 2023/2024 Delivery Year, while giving Market Sellers sufficient time to prepare the necessary documentation to support their requested Start-Up Costs and emission adders/environmental credits.

I. BACKGROUND

Operating Agreement, Schedule 2 details the allowable components of a cost-based offer, which PJM considers in the commitment of a unit when a Market Seller fails the three pivotal supplier test.¹² Cost-based offers are intended to represent a Market Seller's estimated costs associated with operating a resource to produce energy and are comprised of Start-Up Costs, No-load Costs, and the Incremental Energy Offer.¹³ In this filing, PJM proposes to better define and clarify the costs that are includable in a cost-based offer by revising the definition of Start-Up Costs, introducing the newly defined term "Start Fuel" and revisiting related Operating Agreement provisions for consistency. Additionally, this filing also seeks to provide detailed guidance and updates related to the inclusion of environmental credits and emissions adders in cost-based energy

¹⁰ A June 1, 2023 effective date is requested to allow Market Sellers adequate time to perform updated calculations needed to correspond to the new Start-Up Costs definition and provide the requisite updates to their Fuel Cost Policies.

¹¹ See 18 C.F.R. § 35.3(a).

¹² See Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.6.

¹³ Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 1.3.

offers.

II. DESCRIPTION AND JUSTIFICATION OF PROPOSED REVISIONS

A. The Proposed Revisions Related to Start-Up Costs Provide Needed Guidance and Clarification for Market Sellers.

Start-Up Costs are one component of a Market Seller's cost-based offer. Expenses that are allowable under Start-Up Costs are fuel costs, emission allowance/adders, Maintenance Adders, Operating Costs, and station service costs.¹⁴ In this targeted filing, PJM proposes to refine and provide clarity related to the calculation of Start-Up Costs for combustion turbine ("CT"), combined cycle ("CC"), nuclear, and diesel/oil units. These refinements include identifying: (1) allowable components in the Start-Up Costs calculation; and (2) disallowing additional labor costs applicable during a resource's start-up.

Generator start-up times differ across electricity-generating technologies, because of the different limitations of power generation components contained in each power technology. Power generation technologies which contain steam turbines require more time to start up, especially from cold shutdown conditions because it involves a "soak process." The soak process is a controlled heat up of the steam turbine to allow components like the thick wall shell and thin wall rotor to grow without causing rubs (metal to metal contact) and damage to steam turbine components.¹⁵

Under PJM's current Start-Up Costs definition, steam resources, such as fossil and nuclear units can only include fuel cost and station service in Start-Up Costs until the steam turbine breaker

¹⁴ See Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 1.3(a). As part of this filing, PJM is proposing to explicitly state that expenses related to station service are includable as Start-Up Costs given that they are auxiliary systems needed to start up a resource.

¹⁵ Nuclear power plants also use steam turbines, but these plants have additional time-intensive processes that involve managing their nuclear fuel.

is closed. Once the unit is producing a positive MW output, the unit is compensated for its output either via Locational Marginal Price (“LMP”) or made whole to its offer. However, the original equipment manufacturer specifications of steam units require an extended controlled warm up period, typically called soak time of the turbine, prior to the unit being dispatchable. In other words, resources with a soak process do not currently have a mechanism to recover their costs from its energy offer if the Start-Up Costs are limited to the time PJM commits the resource until the first breaker close, because those resources would still be ramping up their output after the breaker closed. During this time, such resources typically incur higher costs during the start-up process, because the heat rate is less efficient until it reaches its dispatchable output and, thus, requires additional fuel during the start process. It is therefore reasonable to allow resources with a soak process to include costs associated with starting up the resource until it ramps up to their dispatchable output, and not just until the first breaker close in their Start-Up Costs. In short, the traditional cut-off of Start-Up Costs at the time of breaker closure should not be applied to these units, as they are still not yet dispatchable but instead are in a controlled warm up period. During this controlled warm up period, the steam unit is operating at a heat rate with higher costs than the dispatchable heat rate used in the unit’s Markets Gateway offer. Thus, under PJM’s current rules, steam units do not have a mechanism to include these additional costs in Start-Up Costs.

This filing is designed to ensure that all resources with a soak process (CC, steam, nuclear) are able to sufficiently recover costs incurred during the resource’s start-up process by allowing these resources to include as Start-Up Costs, those costs that are incurred from the time PJM commits such resource until it reaches its dispatchable output and from last breaker open to shutdown. The proposed changes to the definition of Start-Up Costs and the addition of the new Start Fuel definition would allow steam turbine units to include fuel cost up to breaker closure, as

allowed under the current definition, plus the soak time fuel used from breaker closure to dispatchable output in the Start Fuel and Start-Up Cost calculations.

By contrast, the Start-Up Costs for resources without a soak process (engines, combustion turbines, Intermittent Resources, and Energy Storage Resources) are limited to those costs that are incurred from the time PJM dispatches such resource to first breaker close and from last breaker open to shutdown. The reason for this distinction is simple. Resources without a soak process are able to quickly ramp up from being offline within minutes, while resources with a soak process typically require several hours before it is able to reach the dispatchable output. For these reasons, it is reasonable to limit Start Fuel for resources without a soak process to fuel consumed from first fire of the start process to first breaker closing, plus any fuel expended from last breaker opening to shutdown. By contrast, it is reasonable to allow resources with a soak process to include fuel consumed from first fire of the start process (or until the initial reactor criticality is reached for nuclear units) to dispatchable output (including auxiliary boiler fuel), plus any fuel expended from last breaker opening to shut down¹⁶ in a resource's Start Fuel.

Notwithstanding, Start Fuel for resources with a soak process is limited based on the temperature state of the offline resource before it is started up (*i.e.*, cold, intermediate, and hot). Temperature states for an offline resource are generally specified by the original equipment manufacturer and based on when the resource last operated. To ensure that resources do not include fuel costs that are outside of the soak process, PJM also proposes to add a provision that the Start Fuel that may be included for each temperature state from breaker closure to dispatchable

¹⁶ The Start-Up Costs definition allows units to also include fuel costs from last breaker open to final shutdown of plant equipment like boiler fans and feedwater pumps. This is typically small in comparison to Start Fuel since the generator has no other way to recover the cost of the shutdown fuel and is thus included in Start-Up Costs.

output that may not exceed the unit-specific soak time period of the resource.

To effectuate the aforementioned proposed changes, PJM's proposal revises the current definition of Start-Up Costs to distinguish those start-up related costs for units with a steam turbine and a soak process from those units without a steam turbine and without a soak process. More particularly, PJM proposes to revise the definition of Start-Up Costs as follows:¹⁷

Start-Up Costs: "Start-Up Costs" shall consist primarily of the cost of fuel, as determined by the unit's start heat input (adjusted by the performance factor) times the fuel cost. It also includes operating costs, Maintenance Adders, emissions allowances/adders, and station service cost. Start-Up Costs can vary with the unit offline time being categorized in three unit temperature conditions: hot, intermediate and cold.

For units with a steam turbine and a soak process (nuclear, steam, and combined cycle), "Start Fuel" is fuel consumed from first fire of start process (initial reactor criticality for nuclear units): Start-Up Costs shall mean the net unit costs from PJM's notification to the level at which the unit can follow PJM's dispatch, and from last breaker open to shutdown.

For units without a steam turbine and no soak process (engines, combustion turbines, Intermittent Resources, and Energy Storage Resources): Start-Up Costs shall mean the unit costs from PJM's notification to first breaker close and from last breaker open to shutdown.~~mean the unit costs to bring the boiler, turbine and generator from shutdown conditions to the point after breaker closure which is typically indicated by telemetered or aggregated state estimator megawatts greater than zero and is determined based on the cost of start fuel, total fuel related cost, performance factor, electrical costs (station service), start maintenance adder, and additional labor cost if required above normal station manning.~~

¹⁷ PJM notes that non-substantive clarifying edits were made to the proposed definition of Start-Up Costs from the version that was endorsed by stakeholders at the July 27, 2022 MRC and MC meetings. Specifically, PJM added the term "steam turbine" in the definition of Start-Up Costs to make clear the rules applicable for those resources with a soak process (*i.e.*, with a steam turbine) and those without a soak process (*i.e.*, without a steam turbine).

~~Start-Up Costs can vary with the unit offline time being categorized in three unit temperature conditions: hot, intermediate and cold.~~

1. Default Soak Time Proposal

To facilitate the application of the revised Start-Up Cost rule and promote administrative efficiencies,¹⁸ PJM is also proposing to include default soak times based on actual soak time data submitted to, reviewed, and approved by PJM for different temperature states that a Market Seller may, as an option, utilize in lieu of a resource's unit-specific soak time. More particularly, the default for cold soak time would be 0.73 times the unit-specific Minimum Run Time, intermediate soak time would be 0.61 times the unit-specific Minimum Run Time, and hot soak time would be 0.43 times the unit-specific Minimum Run Time.¹⁹ As explained by Thomas Hauske, PJM's Senior Consultant, these soak time factors were derived from actual soak time data,²⁰ which is embedded in the Minimum Run Time parameter, submitted to PJM through the unit-specific adjustment process.²¹ Specifically, PJM used an average of the unit-specific soak times, with varying temperature states submitted to PJM from Market Sellers of steam units requesting unit-

¹⁸ The administrative efficiencies refer to the reduction of hours to prepare supporting documentation by the generator and review from both PJM and the Market Monitor. This review typically takes several weeks per unit. On the generator's end, Market Sellers must search through its historical database to find a few typical start-ups in each temperature state. Once the Market Sellers have the requisite data, the generator then needs to calculate the fuel usage and Mwh generated from start-up to the dispatchable point of the unit. Finally the generator must submit this data to PJM and the Market Monitor for review and answer any subsequent questions that PJM or the Market Monitor have related to the timing of the start-up and the dispatchable point. The proposed defaults would streamline the aforementioned process related to preapproved time periods to calculate the Start-Up Costs based on the unit-specific Minimum Run Time. Although the defaults will still require submitting these calculations and data to the Market Monitor and PJM, the review process will be significantly shortened, as the time period of the start-up is fixed by the defaults.

¹⁹ Hauske Affidavit at PP 3-4.

²⁰ While these factors are based on percentages of actual values, these values are not published to protect member confidentiality.

²¹ Market Sellers are allowed to request adjusted unit-specific parameters pursuant to Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.6(c) and the parallel provisions of Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.6(c).

specific parameter adjustments.²²

2. Unit-Specific Soak Time Review Process

Under this proposal, Market Sellers of resources that wish to utilize a unit-specific soak time in its Start Fuel and Start-Up Costs may do so by submitting supporting soak time documentation, which includes data from previous hot, intermediate, or cold starts to PJM and the Market Monitor, for review and approval of a unit specific soak time.²³ More particularly, a Market Seller that wishes to utilize Start Fuel costs based on a unit-specific soak time in lieu of the default value described above, could seek review and approval of this unit-specific value as part of the existing unit-specific parameter adjustment process detailed in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.6(c) and the parallel provisions of Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.6(c).²⁴

To effectuate the default and unit-specific approach in the calculation of Start Fuel, PJM proposes to add a new definition of Start Fuel²⁵ as follows:

Start Fuel: For units without a soak process, “Start Fuel” shall consist of fuel consumed from first fire of the start process to first breaker closing, plus any fuel expended from last breaker opening

²² Hauske Affidavit at PP 4-5.

²³ Hauske Affidavit at PP 4-5.

²⁴ Specifically, under Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.6(c) and the parallel provisions of Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.6(c), a Market Seller that seeks to adjust unit-specific parameter limitations must submit such request “to the Office of the Interconnection by no later than the February 28 immediately preceding the first Delivery Year for which the adjusted unit-specific parameters are requested to commence. Capacity Market Sellers shall supply, for each generating unit, technical information about the operational limits to support the requested parameters, as further detailed in the PJM Manuals. The Office of the Interconnection shall consult with the Market Monitoring Unit, and consider any input received from the Market Monitoring Unit, in its determination of a unit’s request for adjusted unit-specific parameter limited schedule values. After it has completed its evaluation of the request, the Office of the Interconnection shall notify the Capacity Market Seller in writing, with a copy to the Market Monitoring Unit, whether the request is approved or denied, by no later than April 15. The effective date of the request, if approved by the Office of the Interconnection, shall be no earlier than June 1.”

²⁵ Similar revisions are proposed in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.4.3A(a) and the parallel provisions of the Operating Agreement.

to shutdown.

For units with a soak process, “Start Fuel” is fuel consumed from first fire of the start process (initial reactor criticality for nuclear units) to dispatchable output (including auxiliary boiler fuel), plus any fuel expended from last breaker opening to shutdown, excluding normal plant heating/auxiliary equipment fuel requirements. Start Fuel included for each temperature state from breaker closure to dispatchable output shall not exceed the unit specific soak time period reviewed and approved as part of the unit-specific parameter process detailed in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.6(c) or the defaults below:

- Cold Soak Time = 0.73 * unit specific Minimum Run Time (in hours)
- Intermediate Soak Time = 0.61 * unit specific Minimum Run Time (in hours)
- Hot Soak Time = 0.43 * unit specific Minimum Run Time (in hours)

To be clear, Intermittent Resources and Energy Storage Resources such as battery storage, wind, solar and hydro have a zero Start Fuel cost. There are no Start Fuel costs associated with these types of resources because solar, wind and hydro fuel costs are zero and battery storage have no start up process. As such, unlike other resource types, these resources do not incur Start Fuel costs.

Finally, under the status quo calculations, generators are permitted to include additional labor costs in their Start-Up Cost. However, the inclusion of additional labor costs during start-up allows for the possibility of duplicative recovery given that all labor costs, including additional labor costs during start-up, are permitted in the unit’s capacity offer via its Avoidable Cost Rate (“ACR”). More specifically, Market Sellers may include avoidable operations and maintenance labor costs, which “consists of the avoidable labor expenses related directly to operations and maintenance of the generating unit” in the ACR calculation.²⁶ Accordingly, because Market Sellers include labor related costs in the ACR calculation in their capacity market offers, PJM

²⁶ See Tariff, Attachment DD, section 6.8(a).

proposes to remove references to labor costs related to Start-Up Costs, to prevent any duplicative recovery of such costs in the resource's energy market offer.²⁷

B. The Proposed Revisions Related to Environmental Credits and Emissions Costs Provide Needed Guidance and Updates Pertaining to their Inclusion in Cost-Based Offers.

1. Environmental Credits

PJM is proposing to expand the rules related to the inclusion of environmental credits in cost-based offers. Under existing Operating Agreement provisions, only Market Sellers of *wind* resources are currently required to identify how they account for renewable energy credits ("RECs") and production tax credits ("PTCs") in their non-zero cost-based offers.²⁸ In other words, under the existing rules only wind resources that submit a non-zero cost-based offer are required to identify RECs and PTCs, which are ultimately used to offset the calculation of a resource's cost-based offer. This filing proposes to amend the existing rules so that a Market Seller of *any* resource that receives RECs and/or PTCs and seeks to submit a non-zero cost-based offer will be required to identify how it accounts for RECs and PTCs in the submission of the resource's Fuel Cost Policy.²⁹ This revision will create consistent expectations for all resources receiving PTCs and/or RECs, in the submission of non-zero cost-based offers.

To effectuate the above referenced changes, PJM's proposal revises the following Schedule 2 provisions, as follows:

2.5 Information Required To Be Included In Fuel Cost Policies.

- (a) Each Market Seller shall include in its Fuel Cost Policy the following information, as further described in the applicable provisions of PJM Manual 15:

...

²⁷ See proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, sections 1.3, 2.5 and 4.3 and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.4.3A(a) and the parallel provisions in the Operating Agreement.

²⁸ See Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 2.5(a)(ii)4.

²⁹ See proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 2.5(a)(ii)4.

(ii) For Fuel Cost Policies applicable to generation resources using a fuel source other than natural gas, the Market Seller shall adhere to the following guidelines:

- ...
4. For ~~wind~~ all resources receiving renewable energy credits and/or production tax credits that plan to submit a non-zero cost based offer into the energy market, the Market Seller shall identify how it accounts for renewable energy credits and production tax credits.

2. Emissions Adder Review Process

In addition to this amendment, PJM is also proposing to update the current emissions adder review process. Emission adders are costs incurred by resource owners to limit or otherwise control emissions from a resource. Such costs are a permissible component of a unit's cost-based offer. Currently, Market Sellers submit emissions adders to PJM for review and approval on an annual basis.³⁰ After an emission adder is approved, Market Sellers can include such emission costs in their cost-based offers.

Having gained experience under these rules, there is general stakeholder consensus that the administrative burden associated with an annual submission and review of emission adders is unnecessary. This is because of the reality that while a resource's emissions allowance cost may change, the resource's emissions *rates* should not change drastically from year-to-year. Of additional significance, PJM reviews and approves the emissions allowance costs when reviewing the resource's Fuel Cost Policy and not as part of the emissions adder review. A resource owner must submit an updated Fuel Cost Policy in order to change the source of allowance pricing. As a result, it is not necessary for PJM to review a resource's emissions *rates* on an annual basis. Moreover, in the event a resource's emissions rates change from the last approved emission adder, Market Sellers are still responsible for updating any changed emissions rates.³¹

³⁰ See Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 3.1(a).

³¹ See proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 3.1(a) (specifying that "[e]missions rates must be updated

Notwithstanding, PJM recognizes that a periodic review by PJM and the Market Monitor continues to be prudent to ensure that emissions rates are not outdated. At the same time, this also eases the administrative burdens on the Market Sellers as well as PJM and the Market Monitor, given the strict time deadlines set forth in the Operating Agreement. Thus, just as the Commission has previously accepted Fuel Cost Policy reviews,³² this filing proposes to replace the current annual emissions rates review with a periodic review of such policies on a timeline set by PJM.

Consistent with the Fuel Cost Policy review process, PJM will establish an expiration date for each emissions rate that is reviewed and approved.³³ The expiration date for each rate simply serves as a safeguard to ensure that PJM and the Market Monitor continue to periodically review the rates, even when the Market Seller has not initiated any changes. Further, the existing obligation for Market Sellers to update any changed emissions rates from the last approved emissions adder will be maintained.³⁴ Upon expiration of an emissions rate,³⁵ such previously approved rate would no longer be deemed approved by PJM, and the Market Seller would no longer be able to rely on such a rate. In this way, Market Sellers are incented to either affirm that the approved rate remains compliant or submit updated rates to PJM prior to the expiration of the previously approved rate in the same manner as the current annual review process.

Finally, in addition to changing the emission adder review from annual to periodic, PJM

when they are no longer accurate.”)

³² *PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*, 172 FERC ¶ 61, 094 (2020); *see also* Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 2.6.

³³ PJM anticipates setting a three year expiration date for all resource types at the inception of these revised rules and may adjust the expiration term as additional experience is gained under these new rules.

³⁴ *See* Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 2.6.

³⁵ The expiration date of the emissions rate approval is set at the time of review. Currently, emissions rate approvals expire on December 31st of the following year.

proposes to more clearly document the requirements for emissions allowance adders. These specific changes will provide greater clarity on the submission of emission adders. Specifically, in submitting an emission adder for PJM to review, Market Sellers are required to “specify the emissions rate of each generation resource, the method for determining the emissions allowance cost, and the frequency of updating emission rates in the resource’s Fuel Cost Policy.”³⁶ Additionally, the revised rule clarifies that Market Sellers of a generation resource “with an expired emission rate, or otherwise does not have an approved emission rate, may not include an emission adder in the cost-based offer associated with such generation resource.”³⁷ In other words, Market Sellers are only allowed to include an emission adder in their cost based offers when there is an approved emission rate that has not expired. The expiration of an emission rate is the date set by PJM during the review and approval of the emission adder. These clarifications will help ensure that all Market Sellers fully understand when emission adders, as well as updates to emission adders, should be submitted to PJM for review prior to including such adders in a unit’s cost-based offer.

To effectuate the above referenced changes, PJM’s proposal revises the following Schedule

2. Section 3.1, as follows:

3.1 Review of Emissions Allowances/Adders.

(a) For emissions costs, Market Sellers shall ~~report~~ specify the emissions rate of each generation resource, the method for determining the emissions allowance cost, and the frequency of updating emission rates in the resource’s Fuel Cost Policy. ~~Such adders~~ Emissions rates must be submitted to PJM and the Market Monitoring Unit. Emissions rates must be updated when and reviewed at least annually by PJM and be changed if they are no longer accurate. PJM shall establish an expiration date for emissions rates, with timely input and advice from the Market Monitoring Unit and Market Seller, and notify

³⁶ Proposed OA, Schedule 2, section 3.1.

³⁷ Proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 3.1.

the Market Seller of such date at the time of the emissions rate approval. Market Sellers must submit updated rates prior to the expiration of the current adder. The Market Seller of a generation resource with an expired emission rate, or otherwise does not have an approved emission rate, may not include an emission adder in the cost-based offer associated with such generation resource.

The proposed changes provide greater transparency, more consistency and clearer guidance for Market Sellers. Accordingly, PJM urges the Commission to find this proposal just and reasonable.

III. STAKEHOLDER PROCESS

Through PJM's CDS,³⁸ PJM and the Market Monitor collaborated with stakeholders to provide detailed guidance to Market Sellers regarding calculating Start-Up Costs and requirements for inclusion of environmental costs and credits. These stakeholders' processes resulted in a consensus package containing the modifications described herein to the defined terms and relevant sections in the Tariff and Operating Agreement, as discussed herein. In particular, the proposed revisions related to the Start-Up Costs package were unanimously endorsed by the main Market Implementation Committee ("MIC") body on April 13, 2022.³⁹ These revisions were then endorsed by PJM stakeholders at the July 27, 2022 MRC and MC meetings, by acclamation with no objections and one abstention.⁴⁰ The proposed revisions related to the environmental costs and credits package were endorsed at the MIC with 180 (82%) votes in favor, 39 (18%) votes opposed and five abstentions. These proposed revisions were subsequently endorsed by acclamation with

³⁸ Cost Development Subcommittee (CDS) Charter (September 22, 2020).

³⁹ The minutes from the April 13, 2022 MIC meeting are available here: <https://pjm.com/-/media/committees-groups/committees/mic/2022/20220511/draft-meeting-minutes---mic---4132022.ashx>

⁴⁰ The minutes from the July 27, 2022 MRC meeting are available here: <https://www.pjm.com/-/media/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2022/20220824/consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes-07272022.ashx>; The minutes from the July 27, 2022 MC meeting are available here: <https://www.pjm.com/-/media/committees-groups/committees/mc/2022/20220921/consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes-07272022.ashx>.

two objections and one abstention at the August 24, 2022 MRC.⁴¹ The proposal was subsequently approved by acclamation with no objections or abstentions, at the September 21, 2022 MC.⁴² Finally, as required by the Operating Agreement, section 18.6(a), the proposed revisions to the Operating Agreement were submitted to the PJM Board's Competitive Markets Committee for its review and comments at its October 3, 2022 meeting.

To provide notice to Market Sellers and allow PJM a period of time to implement the enclosed Operating Agreement and Tariff revisions, PJM respectfully requests that the Commission issue its order on this filing on or before February 1, 2023, which is sixty days after the date of this filing. To that end, PJM has assigned an effective date of February 1, 2023, to one eTariff record submitted with this filing, while marking each other record with the June 1, 2023 effective date indicated in this filing.⁴³

IV. DESCRIPTION OF SUBMITTAL

This filing consists of the following:

1. This transmittal letter;
2. Attachment A – Revisions to the Operating Agreement and Tariff in redline format; and
3. Attachment B – Revisions to the Operating Agreement and Tariff in clean format.

V. CORRESPONDENCE

The following individuals are designated for inclusion on the official service list in this

⁴¹ The minutes from the August 24, 2022 MRC are available here: <https://pjm.com/-/media/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2022/20220921/consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes-08242022.ashx>.

⁴² The minutes from the September 21, 2022 MC meeting are available here: [consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes-9212022.ashx \(pjm.com\)](https://pjm.com/-/media/committees-groups/committees/mc/2022/20220921/consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes-9212022.ashx).

⁴³ PJM is coding the substantive provisions of this filing with an effective date of June 1, 2023. However, PJM is separately coding the tariff record for Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, Section 6, so that the Commission can issue an order by February 1, 2023.

proceeding and for receipt of any communications regarding this filing:

Erin Lai
Senior Counsel
PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
2750 Monroe Boulevard
Audubon, PA 19403
(267) 541-9788
Erin.Lai@pjm.com

Craig Glazer
Vice President – Federal Government Policy
PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
1200 G Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 423-4743
Craig.Glazer@pjm.com

VI. SERVICE

PJM has served a copy of this filing on all PJM Members and on all state utility regulatory commissions in the PJM Region by posting this filing electronically. In accordance with the Commission's regulations,⁴⁴ PJM will post a copy of this filing to the FERC filings section of its internet site, located at the following link: <http://www.pjm.com/documents/ferc-manuals/ferc-filings.aspx> with a specific link to the newly-filed document, and will send an e-mail on the same date as this filing to all PJM Members and all state utility regulatory commissions in the PJM Region⁴⁵ alerting them that this filing has been made by PJM and is available by following such link. If the document is not immediately available by using the referenced link, the document will be available through the referenced link within 24 hours of the filing. Also, a copy of this filing will be available on the FERC's eLibrary website located at the following link: <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/elibrary.asp> in accordance with the Commission's regulations and Order No. 714.

VII. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, PJM respectfully requests that the Commission accept the just and

⁴⁴ See 18C.F.R §§ 35.2(e) and 385.2010(f)(3).

⁴⁵ PJM already maintains, updates and regularly uses e-mail lists for all PJM Members and affected state commissions.

reasonable revisions to the Operating Agreement and Tariff, effective June 1, 2023, and grant waiver, as discussed herein.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Erin Lai

Erin Lai
Senior Counsel
PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
2750 Monroe Boulevard
Audubon, PA 19403
(267) 541-9788
Erin.Lai@pjm.com

Craig Glazer
Vice President – Federal Government Policy
PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
1200 G Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 423-4743
Craig.Glazer@pjm.com

Chenchao Lu
Assistant General Counsel
PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
2750 Monroe Boulevard
Audubon, PA 19403
(610) 666-2255
Chenchao.Lu@pjm.com

On behalf of PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

development and performance acceptance testing of several new CC and peaking facilities. I also supported performance testing, troubleshooting, and maintenance of Conectiv Energy's existing steam, CC and peaking plants. Prior to my employment at Conectiv Energy, I worked as Performance Engineer for Sithe New England Holdings, LLC from 1998 to 2000 and as an Engineer and Senior Engineer for Boston Edison Company from 1985 to 1998. In these positions, I provided engineering support for maintenance and operations of Boston Edison's nuclear, fossil steam and peaking plants. Sithe New England Holdings, LLC purchased Boston Edison's fossil units in 1998.

4. I have a Bachelor of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering from Drexel University and Master of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering from Northeastern University. I am a registered Professional Engineer in the State of Pennsylvania, and since 2009 have been a member of the Delaware Governor's Council on Boiler Safety.

II. PURPOSE AND OVERVIEW OF AFFIDAVIT

5. The purpose of my affidavit is to support PJM's filing, which clarifies the allowable components of the Start-Up Cost calculation in cost based offers. In particular, PJM's proposal provides default soak time factors, which are explained in this affidavit.

III. DISCUSSION

6. Generator start-up times differ across electricity-generating technologies, because of the different limitations of power generation components contained in each power technology. Power technologies, such as simple cycle combustion turbines and diesel engines, can start-up and ramp to dispatchable output quickly, because their original equipment manufacturers do not require extended thermal soaking during start-up. Power generation technologies, which contain steam turbines, require more time to start-up, especially from cold shutdown conditions, because it involves a "soak process." The soak

process is an original equipment manufacturer specified controlled heat up of the steam turbine to allow components with different metal thickness, like the thick wall shell and thin wall rotor, to grow without causing rubs and damage to the steam turbine components.

7. Under PJM's current Start-Up Costs definition, fossil steam and nuclear units can only include fuel cost and station service in Start-Up Costs until steam turbine breaker closure. Once the unit is producing a positive MW output, the unit is compensated for its output, either via Locational Marginal Pricing ("LMP") or made whole to its offer in Markets Gateway. However, to my knowledge, steam turbines' original equipment manufacturers generally require an extended controlled warm up period typically called soak time of the turbine prior to the unit being dispatchable by PJM. During this controlled warm up period, the steam unit is operating at a heat rate with higher costs than the dispatchable heat rate used in the unit's Market Gateway offer. Under PJM's current rules, steam units do not have a mechanism to include these additional costs in either its Start-Up Costs or its Markets Gateway offer. The proposed changes to the definition of Start-Up Costs and the addition of the new Start Fuel definition would allow steam turbine units to include fuel cost up to breaker closure as allowed under the current definition, plus the soak time fuel used from breaker closure to dispatchable output in the Start Fuel and Start-Up Cost calculations.

8. To facilitate the application of this rule and promote administrative efficiencies, PJM is also proposing to include default soak times based on actual unit-specific soak time data submitted, reviewed, and approved by PJM for different temperature states that a Market Seller may, as an option, utilize in lieu of a resource's unit-specific soak time. More particularly, as further explained below, the default factors for cold soak time should be 0.73 times the unit-specific Minimum Run Time, intermediate

soak time should be 0.61 times the unit-specific Minimum Run Time, and hot soak time should be 0.43 times the unit-specific Minimum Run Time. Cold, intermediate, and hot temperature states for steam turbines are specified by the original equipment manufacturer and typically are specified in terms of hours from the last operation of the unit. For example, a steam turbine manufacturer may specify that a steam turbine is in hot conditions for 12 hours after shutdown, in intermediate conditions from 12 hours to 36 hours after shutdown, and in cold conditions after 36 hours from shutdown. Some manufacturers also specify temperature states based on actual shell or rotor temperatures, but PJM's Market Gateway requires temperature conditions to be entered as hours after shutdown.

9. The default soak time factors are derived from data PJM has gathered from steam units as part of the unit-specific parameter adjustment process. To be clear, the explanation below simply describes how the proposed soak time factors were derived. This Start-Up Cost proposal neither makes any changes to the existing rules related to parameter limited schedule rules nor does it modify any of the existing parameters, including the Minimum Run Time Parameter definition. In other words, the default soak times are only utilized in the Start-Up Cost calculation and not as a specific operating parameter submitted to PJM or used directly in the commitment or dispatch of resources.

10. Generation Capacity Resources are subject to operating parameter limits on their cost-based and market-based parameter limited schedules. Soak time, which is part of a resource's Minimum Run Time, is identified as a parameter limited value in those schedules. Market Sellers that do not believe its generation unit can meet a unit-specific parameter limit value determined by PJM can submit a unit-specific adjustment request to PJM. In submitting such a request, the Market Sellers must submit technical information sufficient to support the requested parameter adjustment. Minimum Run Time adjustment

requests for a steam turbine unit will also contain supporting documentation for the turbine's soak process. Thus, any unit with an approved Minimum Run Time adjustment also has an approved unit-specific soak time.

11. PJM started conducting unit-specific parameter adjustment reviews in 2016. During those and subsequent reviews, a number of Market Sellers with various technologies that included steam turbines submitted requests for Minimum Run Time adjustments. The supporting documentation submitted with the adjustment request included detailed information on the soak time required for those units to reach dispatchable output after breaker closure in hot, intermediate or cold conditions.

12. PJM used this actual soak time data submitted during the annual unit-specific adjustment process review to calculate the percentage of the Minimum Run Time that was required to bring units with steam turbines from breaker closure to dispatchable output for hot, intermediate and cold conditions. Over this period, PJM received soak time data for about 53 units whose technology types included combined cycle, sub-critical coal and super-critical coal units. The soak time data from 10 units were discarded, because the requested soak times were greater than the final PJM-approved unit-specific Minimum Run Time.

13. For each of the 43 remaining units, the soak time period was derived by their adjusted unit-specific Minimum Run Time (from breaker closure to dispatchable output for hot, intermediate and cold conditions divided by its unit-specific Minimum Run Time). The proposed soak time defaults for hot, intermediate and cold conditions in percentage of Minimum Run Time were then calculated by averaging the soak time percentages of Minimum Run Time for hot, intermediate, and cold conditions.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

14. This filing is designed to ensure that all resources with a soak process (CC, steam or nuclear) are able to sufficiently recover costs incurred during the resource's start-up process, by allowing these resources to include as Start-Up Costs, those costs that are incurred from the time PJM commits such resource until it reaches its dispatchable output and from last breaker open to shutdown. The use of the calculated defaults by existing generators is appropriate as the defaults are based on actual data from a number of different technology types that contain steam turbines. In addition, Market Sellers are not required to use the soak time defaults for their start fuel calculations. They can submit unit-specific soak times for PJM approval in place of using the calculated defaults. The soak time defaults are only used in the calculation of start fuel included in a unit's Start-Up Costs. The PJM proposal does not impact the existing definition for Minimum Run Time.

13. This concludes my Affidavit.

Attachment A

Revisions to the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff and PJM Operating Agreement

(Marked / Redline Format)

Revisions to the
PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff

(Marked / Redline Format)

Definitions – R - S

Ramping Capability:

“Ramping Capability” shall mean the sustained rate of change of generator output, in megawatts per minute.

Real-time Congestion Price:

“Real-time Congestion Price” shall mean the Congestion Price resulting from the Office of the Interconnection’s dispatch of the PJM Interchange Energy Market in the Operating Day.

Real-time Loss Price:

“Real-time Loss Price” shall mean the Loss Price resulting from the Office of the Interconnection’s dispatch of the PJM Interchange Energy Market in the Operating Day.

Real-time Energy Market:

“Real-time Energy Market” shall mean the purchase or sale of energy and payment of Transmission Congestion Charges for quantity deviations from the Day-ahead Energy Market in the Operating Day.

Real-time Offer:

“Real-time Offer” shall mean a new offer or an update to a Market Seller’s existing cost-based or market-based offer for a clock hour, submitted for use after the close of the Day-ahead Energy Market.

Real-time Prices:

“Real-time Prices” shall mean the Locational Marginal Prices resulting from the Office of the Interconnection’s dispatch of the PJM Interchange Energy Market in the Operating Day.

Real-time Settlement Interval:

“Real-time Settlement Interval” shall mean the interval used by settlements, which shall be every five minutes.

Real-time System Energy Price:

“Real-time System Energy Price” shall mean the System Energy Price resulting from the Office of the Interconnection’s dispatch of the PJM Interchange Energy Market in the Operating Day.

Reasonable Efforts:

“Reasonable Efforts” shall mean, with respect to any action required to be made, attempted, or taken by an Interconnection Party or by a Construction Party under Tariff, Part IV or Part VI, an Interconnection Service Agreement, or a Construction Service Agreement, such efforts as are timely and consistent with Good Utility Practice and with efforts that such party would undertake for the protection of its own interests.

Receiving Party:

“Receiving Party” shall mean the entity receiving the capacity and energy transmitted by the Transmission Provider to Point(s) of Delivery.

Referral:

“Referral” shall mean a formal report of the Market Monitoring Unit to the Commission for investigation of behavior of a Market Participant, of behavior of PJM, or of a market design flaw, pursuant to Tariff, Attachment M, section IV.I.

Reference Resource:

“Reference Resource” shall mean a combustion turbine generating station, configured with a single General Electric Frame 7HA turbine with evaporative cooling, Selective Catalytic Reduction technology all CONE Areas, dual fuel capability, and a heat rate of 9.134 Mmbtu/MWh.

Regional Entity:

“Regional Entity” shall have the same meaning specified in the Operating Agreement.

Regional Transmission Expansion Plan:

“Regional Transmission Expansion Plan” shall mean the plan prepared by the Office of the Interconnection pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 6 for the enhancement and expansion of the Transmission System in order to meet the demands for firm transmission service in the PJM Region.

Regional Transmission Group (RTG):

“Regional Transmission Group” or “RTG” shall mean a voluntary organization of transmission owners, transmission users and other entities approved by the Commission to efficiently coordinate transmission planning (and expansion), operation and use on a regional (and interregional) basis.

Regulation:

“Regulation” shall mean the capability of a specific generation resource or Demand Resource with appropriate telecommunications, control and response capability to separately increase and

decrease its output or adjust load in response to a regulating control signal, in accordance with the specifications in the PJM Manuals.

Regulation Zone:

“Regulation Zone” shall mean any of those one or more geographic areas, each consisting of a combination of one or more Control Zone(s) as designated by the Office of the Interconnection in the PJM Manuals, relevant to provision of, and requirements for, regulation service.

Relevant Electric Retail Regulatory Authority:

“Relevant Electric Retail Regulatory Authority” shall mean an entity that has jurisdiction over and establishes prices and policies for competition for providers of retail electric service to end-customers, such as the city council for a municipal utility, the governing board of a cooperative utility, the state public utility commission or any other such entity.

Reliability Assurance Agreement or PJM Reliability Assurance Agreement:

“Reliability Assurance Agreement” or “PJM Reliability Assurance Agreement” shall mean that certain Reliability Assurance Agreement Among Load Serving Entities in the PJM Region, on file with FERC as PJM Interconnection L.L.C. Rate Schedule FERC No. 44, and as amended from time to time thereafter.

Reliability Pricing Model Auction:

“Reliability Pricing Model Auction” or “RPM Auction” shall mean the Base Residual Auction or any Incremental Auction, or, for the 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 Delivery Years, any Capacity Performance Transition Incremental Auction.

Required Transmission Enhancements:

“Regional Transmission Enhancements” shall mean enhancements and expansions of the Transmission System that (1) a Regional Transmission Expansion Plan developed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 6 or (2) any joint planning or coordination agreement between PJM and another region or transmission planning authority set forth in Tariff, Schedule 12-Appendix B (“Appendix B Agreement”) designates one or more of the Transmission Owner(s) to construct and own or finance. Required Transmission Enhancements shall also include enhancements and expansions of facilities in another region or planning authority that meet the definition of transmission facilities pursuant to FERC’s Uniform System of Accounts or have been classified as transmission facilities in a ruling by FERC addressing such facilities constructed pursuant to an Appendix B Agreement cost responsibility for which has been assigned at least in part to PJM pursuant to such Appendix B Agreement.

Reserved Capacity:

“Reserved Capacity” shall mean the maximum amount of capacity and energy that the Transmission Provider agrees to transmit for the Transmission Customer over the Transmission Provider’s Transmission System between the Point(s) of Receipt and the Point(s) of Delivery under Tariff, Part II. Reserved Capacity shall be expressed in terms of whole megawatts on a sixty (60) minute interval (commencing on the clock hour) basis.

Reserve Penalty Factor:

“Reserve Penalty Factor” shall mean the cost, in \$/MWh, associated with being unable to meet a specific reserve requirement in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone. A Reserve Penalty Factor will be defined for each reserve requirement in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone.

Reserve Sub-zone:

“Reserve Sub-zone” shall mean any of those geographic areas wholly contained within a Reserve Zone, consisting of a combination of a portion of one or more Control Zone(s) as designated by the Office of the Interconnection in the PJM Manuals, relevant to provision of, and requirements for, reserve service.

Reserve Zone:

“Reserve Zone” shall mean any of those geographic areas consisting of a combination of one or more Control Zone(s), as designated by the Office of the Interconnection in the PJM Manuals, relevant to provision of, and requirements for, reserve service.

Residual Auction Revenue Rights:

“Residual Auction Revenue Rights” shall mean incremental stage 1 Auction Revenue Rights created within a Planning Period by an increase in transmission system capability, including the return to service of existing transmission capability, that was not modeled pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 7.5 and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 7.5 in compliance with Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 7.4.2 (h) and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 7.4.2(h), and, if modeled, would have increased the amount of stage 1 Auction Revenue Rights allocated pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 7.4.2 and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 7.4.2; provided that, the foregoing notwithstanding, Residual Auction Revenue Rights shall exclude: 1) Incremental Auction Revenue Rights allocated pursuant to Tariff, Part VI; and 2) Auction Revenue Rights allocated to entities that are assigned cost responsibility pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 6 for transmission upgrades that create such rights.

Residual Metered Load:

“Residual Metered Load” shall mean all load remaining in an electric distribution company’s fully metered franchise area(s) or service territory(ies) after all nodally priced load of entities serving load in such area(s) or territory(ies) has been carved out.

Resource Substitution Charge:

“Resource Substitution Charge” shall mean a charge assessed on Capacity Market Buyers in an Incremental Auction to recover the cost of replacement Capacity Resources.

Revenue Data for Settlements:

“Revenue Data for Settlements” shall mean energy quantities used in accounting and billing as determined pursuant to Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix and the corresponding provisions of Operating Agreement, Schedule 1.

RPM Seller Credit:

“RPM Seller Credit” shall mean an additional form of Unsecured Credit defined in Tariff, Attachment Q, section IV.

Scheduled Incremental Auctions:

“Scheduled Incremental Auctions” shall refer to the First, Second, or Third Incremental Auction.

Schedule of Work:

“Schedule of Work” shall mean that schedule attached to the Interconnection Construction Service Agreement setting forth the timing of work to be performed by the Constructing Entity pursuant to the Interconnection Construction Service Agreement, based upon the Facilities Study and subject to modification, as required, in accordance with Transmission Provider’s scope change process for interconnection projects set forth in the PJM Manuals.

Scope of Work:

“Scope of Work” shall mean that scope of the work attached as a schedule to the Interconnection Construction Service Agreement and to be performed by the Constructing Entity(ies) pursuant to the Interconnection Construction Service Agreement, provided that such Scope of Work may be modified, as required, in accordance with Transmission Provider’s scope change process for interconnection projects set forth in the PJM Manuals.

Seasonal Capacity Performance Resource:

“Seasonal Capacity Performance Resource” shall have the same meaning specified in Tariff, Attachment DD, section 5.5A.

Secondary Reserve:

“Secondary Reserve” shall mean the reserve capability of generation resources that can be converted fully into energy or Economic Load Response Participant resources whose demand

can be reduced within 30 minutes (less the capability of such resources to provide Primary Reserve), from the request of the Office of the Interconnection, regardless of whether the equipment providing the reserve is electrically synchronized to the Transmission System or not.

Secondary Systems:

“Secondary Systems” shall mean control or power circuits that operate below 600 volts, AC or DC, including, but not limited to, any hardware, control or protective devices, cables, conductors, electric raceways, secondary equipment panels, transducers, batteries, chargers, and voltage and current transformers.

Second Incremental Auction:

“Second Incremental Auction” shall mean an Incremental Auction conducted ten months before the Delivery Year to which it relates.

Security:

“Security” shall mean the security provided by the New Service Customer pursuant to Tariff, section 212.4 or Tariff, Part VI, section 213.4 to secure the New Service Customer’s responsibility for Costs under the Interconnection Service Agreement or Upgrade Construction Service Agreement and Tariff, Part VI, section 217.

Segment:

“Segment” shall have the same meaning as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 3.2.3(e).

Self-Supply:

“Self-Supply” shall mean Capacity Resources secured by a Load-Serving Entity, by ownership or contract, outside a Reliability Pricing Model Auction, and used to meet obligations under this Attachment or the Reliability Assurance Agreement through submission in a Base Residual Auction or an Incremental Auction of a Sell Offer indicating such Market Seller’s intent that such Capacity Resource be Self-Supply. Self-Supply may be either committed regardless of clearing price or submitted as a Sell Offer with a price bid. A Load Serving Entity’s Sell Offer with a price bid for an owned or contracted Capacity Resource shall not be deemed “Self-Supply,” unless it is designated as Self-Supply and used by the LSE to meet obligations under this Attachment or the Reliability Assurance Agreement.

Self-Supply Entity:

“Self-Supply Entity” shall mean the following types of Load Serving Entity that operate under long-standing business models: single customer entity, public power entity, or vertically integrated utility, where “vertically integrated utility” means a utility that owns generation, includes such generation in its regulated rates, and earns a regulated return on its investment in such generation or receives any cost recovery for such generation through bilateral contracts;

“single customer entity” means a Load Serving Entity that serves at retail only customers that are under common control with such Load Serving Entity, where such control means holding 51% or more of the voting securities or voting interests of the Load Serving Entity and all its retail customers; and “public power entity” means cooperative and municipal utilities, including public power supply entities comprised of either or both of the same and rural electric cooperatives, and joint action agencies.

Self-Supply Seller:

“Self-Supply Seller” shall mean, for purposes of evaluating Buyer-Side Market Power, the following types of Load Serving Entities that operate under long-standing business models: vertically integrated utility or public power entity, where “vertically integrated utility” means a utility that owns generation, includes such generation in its state-regulated rates, and earns a state-regulated return on its investment in such generation; and “public power entity” means electric cooperatives that are either rate regulated by the state or have their long-term resource plan approved or otherwise reviewed and accepted by a Relevant Electric Retail Regulatory Authority and municipal utilities or joint action agencies that are subject to direct regulation by a Relevant Electric Retail Regulatory Authority.

Sell Offer:

“Sell Offer” shall mean an offer to sell Capacity Resources in a Base Residual Auction, Incremental Auction, or Reliability Backstop Auction.

Service Agreement:

“Service Agreement” shall mean the initial agreement and any amendments or supplements thereto entered into by the Transmission Customer and the Transmission Provider for service under the Tariff.

Service Commencement Date:

“Service Commencement Date” shall mean the date the Transmission Provider begins to provide service pursuant to the terms of an executed Service Agreement, or the date the Transmission Provider begins to provide service in accordance with Tariff, Part II, section 15.3 or Tariff, Part III, section 29.1.

Short-Term Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service:

“Short-Term Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service” shall mean Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service under Tariff, Part II with a term of less than one year.

Short-term Project:

“Short-term Project” shall have the same meaning provided in the Operating Agreement.

Short-Term Resource Procurement Target:

“Short-Term Resource Procurement Target” shall mean, for Delivery Years through May 31, 2018, as to the PJM Region, for purposes of the Base Residual Auction, 2.5% of the PJM Region Reliability Requirement determined for such Base Residual Auction, for purposes of the First Incremental Auction, 2% of the of the PJM Region Reliability Requirement as calculated at the time of the Base Residual Auction; and, for purposes of the Second Incremental Auction, 1.5% of the of the PJM Region Reliability Requirement as calculated at the time of the Base Residual Auction; and, as to any Zone, an allocation of the PJM Region Short-Term Resource Procurement Target based on the Preliminary Zonal Forecast Peak Load, reduced by the amount of load served under the FRR Alternative. For any LDA, the LDA Short-Term Resource Procurement Target shall be the sum of the Short-Term Resource Procurement Targets of all Zones in the LDA.

Short-Term Resource Procurement Target Applicable Share:

“Short-Term Resource Procurement Target Applicable Share” shall mean, for Delivery Years through May 31, 2018: (i) for the PJM Region, as to the First and Second Incremental Auctions, 0.2 times the Short-Term Resource Procurement Target used in the Base Residual Auction and, as to the Third Incremental Auction for the PJM Region, 0.6 times such target; and (ii) for an LDA, as to the First and Second Incremental Auctions, 0.2 times the Short-Term Resource Procurement Target used in the Base Residual Auction for such LDA and, as to the Third Incremental Auction, 0.6 times such target.

Site:

“Site” shall mean all of the real property, including but not limited to any leased real property and easements, on which the Customer Facility is situated and/or on which the Customer Interconnection Facilities are to be located.

Small Commercial Customer:

“Small Commercial Customer,” as used in RAA, Schedule 6 and Tariff, Attachment DD-1, shall mean a commercial retail electric end-use customer of an electric distribution company that participates in a mass market demand response program under the jurisdiction of a RERRA and satisfies the definition of a “small commercial customer” under the terms of the applicable RERRA’s program, provided that the customer has an annual peak demand no greater than 100kW.

Small Generation Resource:

“Small Generation Resource” shall mean an Interconnection Customer’s device of 20 MW or less for the production and/or storage for later injection of electricity identified in an Interconnection Request, but shall not include the Interconnection Customer’s Interconnection Facilities. This term shall include Energy Storage Resources and/or other devices for storage for later injection of energy.

Small Inverter Facility:

“Small Inverter Facility” shall mean an Energy Resource that is a certified small inverter-based facility no larger than 10 kW.

Small Inverter ISA:

“Small Inverter ISA” shall mean an agreement among Transmission Provider, Interconnection Customer, and Interconnected Transmission Owner regarding interconnection of a Small Inverter Facility under Tariff, Part IV, section 112B.

Special Member:

“Special Member” shall mean an entity that satisfies the requirements of Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 1.5A.02, and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 1.5A.02, or the special membership provisions established under the Emergency Load Response and Pre-Emergency Load Response Programs.

Spot Market Backup:

“Spot Market Backup” shall mean the purchase of energy from, or the delivery of energy to, the PJM Interchange Energy Market in quantities sufficient to complete the delivery or receipt obligations of a bilateral contract that has been curtailed or interrupted for any reason.

Spot Market Energy:

“Spot Market Energy” shall mean energy bought or sold by Market Participants through the PJM Interchange Energy Market at System Energy Prices determined as specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2, and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.

Start Additional Labor Costs:

“Start Additional Labor Costs” shall mean additional labor costs for startup required above normal station manning levels.

Start Fuel:

For units without a soak process, “Start Fuel” shall consist of fuel consumed from first fire of the start process to first breaker closing, plus any fuel expended from last breaker opening to shutdown.

For units with a soak process, “Start Fuel” is fuel consumed from first fire of the start process (initial reactor criticality for nuclear units) to dispatchable output (including auxiliary boiler fuel), plus any fuel expended from last breaker opening to shutdown, excluding normal plant

heating/auxiliary equipment fuel requirements. Start Fuel included for each temperature state from breaker closure to dispatchable output shall not exceed the unit specific soak time period reviewed and approved as part of the unit-specific parameter process detailed in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.6(c) or the defaults below:

- Cold Soak Time = 0.73 * unit specific Minimum Run Time (in hours)
- Intermediate Soak Time = 0.61 * unit specific Minimum Run Time (in hours)
- Hot Soak Time = 0.43 * unit specific Minimum Run Time (in hours)

Start-Up Costs:

“Start-Up Costs” shall consist primarily of the cost of fuel, as determined by the unit’s start heat input (adjusted by the performance factor) times the fuel cost. It also includes operating costs, Maintenance Adders, emissions allowances/adders, and station service cost. Start-Up Costs can vary with the unit offline time being categorized in three unit temperature conditions: hot, intermediate and cold.

For units with a steam turbine and a soak process (nuclear, steam, and combined cycle), “Start Fuel” is fuel consumed from first fire of start process (initial reactor criticality for nuclear units): Start-Up Costs shall mean the net unit costs from PJM’s notification to the level at which the unit can follow PJM’s dispatch, and from last breaker open to shutdown.

For units without a steam turbine and no soak process (engines, combustion turbines, Intermittent Resources, and Energy Storage Resources): Start-Up Costs shall mean the unit costs from PJM’s notification to first breaker close and from last breaker open to shutdown. ~~mean the unit costs to bring the boiler, turbine and generator from shutdown conditions to the point after breaker closure which is typically indicated by telemetered or aggregated state estimator megawatts greater than zero and is determined based on the cost of start fuel, total fuel related cost, performance factor, electrical costs (station service), start maintenance adder, and additional labor cost if required above normal station manning. Start-Up Costs can vary with the unit offline time being categorized in three unit temperature conditions: hot, intermediate and cold.~~

State:

“State” shall mean the District of Columbia and any State or Commonwealth of the United States.

State Commission:

“State Commission” shall mean any state regulatory agency having jurisdiction over retail electricity sales in any State in the PJM Region.

State Estimator:

“State Estimator” shall mean the computer model of power flows specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.3 and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.3.

State Subsidy:

“State Subsidy” shall mean a direct or indirect payment, concession, rebate, subsidy, non-bypassable consumer charge, or other financial benefit that is as a result of any action, mandated process, or sponsored process of a state government, a political subdivision or agency of a state, or an electric cooperative formed pursuant to state law, and that

- (1) is derived from or connected to the procurement of (a) electricity or electric generation capacity sold at wholesale in interstate commerce, or (b) an attribute of the generation process for electricity or electric generation capacity sold at wholesale in interstate commerce; or
- (2) will support the construction, development, or operation of a new or existing Capacity Resource; or
- (3) could have the effect of allowing the unit to clear in any PJM capacity auction.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, State Subsidy shall not include (a) payments, concessions, rebates, subsidies, or incentives designed to incent, or participation in a program, contract or other arrangement that utilizes criteria designed to incent or promote, general industrial development in an area or designed to incent siting facilities in that county or locality rather than another county or locality; (b) state action that imposes a tax or assesses a charge utilizing the parameters of a regional program on a given set of resources notwithstanding the tax or cost having indirect benefits on resources not subject to the tax or cost (e.g., Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative); (c) any indirect benefits to a Capacity Resource as a result of any transmission project approved as part of the Regional Transmission Expansion Plan; (d) any contract, legally enforceable obligation, or rate pursuant to the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act or any other state-administered federal regulatory program (e.g., the Cross-State Air Pollution Rule); (e) any revenues from the sale or allocation, either direct or indirect, to an Entity Providing Supply Services to Default Retail Service Provider where such entity’s obligations was awarded through a state default procurement auction that was subject to independent oversight by a consultant or manager who certifies that the auction was conducted through a non-discriminatory and competitive bidding process, subject to the below condition, and provided further that nothing herein would exempt a Capacity Resource that would otherwise be subject to the minimum offer price rule pursuant to this Tariff; (f) any revenues for providing capacity as part of an FRR Capacity Plan or through bilateral transactions with FRR Entities; or (g) any voluntary and arm’s length bilateral transaction (including but not limited to those reported pursuant to Tariff, Attachment DD, section 4.6), such as a power purchase agreement or other similar contract where the buyer is a Self-Supply Entity and the transaction is (1) a short term transaction (one-year or less) or (2) a long-term transaction that is the result of a competitive process that was not fuel-specific and is not used for the purpose of supporting uneconomic construction, development, or operation of the subject Capacity Resource, provided however that if the Self-Supply Entity is responsible for offering the Capacity Resource into an RPM Auction, the specified amount of installed capacity purchased by such Self-Supply Entity shall be considered to receive a State Subsidy in the same manner, under the same conditions, and to the same extent as any other Capacity Resource of a Self-Supply Entity. For purposes of subsection (e) of this

definition, a state default procurement auction that has been certified to be a result of a non-discriminatory and competitive bidding process shall:

- (i) have no conditions based on the ownership (except supplier diversity requirements or limits), location (except to meet PJM deliverability requirements), affiliation, fuel type, technology, or emissions of any resources or supply (except state-mandated renewable portfolio standards for which Capacity Resources are separately subject to the minimum offer price rule or eligible for an exemption);
- (ii) result in contracts between an Entity Providing Supply Services to Default Retail Service Provider and the electric distribution company for a retail default generation supply product and none of those contracts require that the retail obligation be sourced from any specific Capacity Resource or resource type as set forth in subsection (i) above; and
- (iii) establish market-based compensation for a retail default generation supply product that retail customers can avoid paying for by obtaining supply from a competitive retail supplier of their choice.

State of Charge:

“State of Charge” shall mean the quantity of physical energy stored in an Energy Storage Resource Model Participant or in the storage component of a Hybrid Resource in proportion to its maximum State of Charge capability. State of Charge is quantified as defined in the PJM Manuals.

State of Charge Management:

“State of Charge Management” shall mean the control of State of Charge of an Energy Storage Resource Market Participant or Hybrid Resource using minimum and maximum discharge (and, as applicable, charge) limits, changes in operating mode (as applicable), discharging (and, as applicable, charging) offer curves, and self-scheduling of non-dispatchable sales (and, as applicable, purchases) of energy in the PJM markets. State of Charge Management shall not interfere with the obligation of a Market Seller of an Energy Storage Resource Model Participant or of a Hybrid Resource to follow PJM dispatch, consistent with all other resources.

Station Power:

“Station Power” shall mean energy used for operating the electric equipment on the site of a generation facility located in the PJM Region or for the heating, lighting, air-conditioning and office equipment needs of buildings on the site of such a generation facility that are used in the operation, maintenance, or repair of the facility. Station Power does not include any energy (i) used to power synchronous condensers; (ii) used for pumping at a pumped storage facility; (iii) used in association with restoration or black start service; or (iv) that is Direct Charging Energy.

Sub-Annual Resource Constraint:

“Sub-Annual Resource Constraint” shall mean, for the 2017/2018 Delivery Year and for FRR Capacity Plans the 2017/2018 and 2018/2019 Delivery Years, for the PJM Region or for each LDA for which the Office of the Interconnection is required under Tariff, Attachment DD, section 5.10(a) to establish a separate VRR Curve for a Delivery Year, a limit on the total amount of Unforced Capacity that can be committed as Limited Demand Resources and Extended Summer Demand Resources for the 2017/2018 Delivery Year in the PJM Region or in such LDA, calculated as the Sub-Annual Resource Reliability Target for the PJM Region or for such LDA, respectively, minus the Short-Term Resource Procurement Target for the PJM Region or for such LDA, respectively.

Sub-Annual Resource Price Decrement:

“Sub-Annual Resource Price Decrement” shall mean, for the 2017/2018 Delivery Year, a difference between the clearing price for Extended Summer Demand Resources and the clearing price for Annual Resources, representing the cost to procure additional Annual Resources out of merit order when the Sub-Annual Resource Constraint is binding.

Sub-Annual Resource Reliability Target:

“Sub-Annual Reliability Target” for the PJM Region or an LDA, shall mean the maximum amount of the combination of Extended Summer Demand Resources and Limited Demand Resources in Unforced Capacity determined by PJM to be consistent with the maintenance of reliability, stated in Unforced Capacity, that shall be used to calculate the Minimum Annual Resource Requirement for Delivery Years through May 31, 2017 and the Sub-Annual Resource Constraint for the 2017/2018 and 2018/2019 Delivery Years. As more fully set forth in the PJM Manuals, PJM calculates the Sub-Annual Resource Reliability Target, by first determining a reference annual loss of load expectation (“LOLE”) assuming no Demand Resources. The calculation for the unconstrained portion of the PJM Region uses a daily distribution of loads under a range of weather scenarios (based on the most recent load forecast and iteratively shifting the load distributions to result in the Installed Reserve Margin established for the Delivery Year in question) and a weekly capacity distribution (based on the cumulative capacity availability distributions developed for the Installed Reserve Margin study for the Delivery Year in question). The calculation for each relevant LDA uses a daily distribution of loads under a range of weather scenarios (based on the most recent load forecast for the Delivery Year in question) and a weekly capacity distribution (based on the cumulative capacity availability distributions developed for the Capacity Emergency Transfer Objective study for the Delivery Year in question). For the relevant LDA calculation, the weekly capacity distributions are adjusted to reflect the Capacity Emergency Transfer Limit for the Delivery Year in question.

For both the PJM Region and LDA analyses, PJM then models the commitment of varying amounts of DR (displacing otherwise committed generation) as interruptible from May 1 through October 31 and unavailable from November 1 through April 30 and calculates the LOLE at each DR level. The Extended Summer DR Reliability Target is the DR amount, stated as a percentage of the unrestricted peak load, that produces no more than a ten percent increase in the LOLE, compared to the reference value. The Sub-Annual Resource Reliability Target shall be expressed as a percentage of the forecasted peak load of the PJM Region or such LDA and is

converted to Unforced Capacity by multiplying [the reliability target percentage] times [the Forecast Pool Requirement] times [the DR Factor] times [the forecasted peak load of the PJM Region or such LDA, reduced by the amount of load served under the FRR Alternative].

Sub-meter:

“Sub-meter” shall mean a metering point for electricity consumption that does not include all electricity consumption for the end-use customer as defined by the electric distribution company account number. PJM shall only accept sub-meter load data from end-use customers for measurement and verification of Regulation service as set forth in the Economic Load Response rules and PJM Manuals.

Summer-Period Capacity Performance Resource:

“Summer-Period Capacity Performance Resource” shall have the same meaning specified in Tariff, Attachment DD, section 5.5A.

Surplus Interconnection Customer:

“Surplus Interconnection Customer” shall mean either an Interconnection Customer whose Generating Facility is already interconnected to the PJM Transmission System or one of its affiliates, or an unaffiliated entity that submits a Surplus Interconnection Request to utilize Surplus Interconnection Service within the Transmission System in the PJM Region. A Surplus Interconnection Customer is not a New Service Customer.

Surplus Interconnection Request:

“Surplus Interconnection Request” shall mean a request submitted by a Surplus Interconnection Customer, pursuant to Tariff, Attachment RR, to utilize Surplus Interconnection Service within the Transmission System in the PJM Region. A Surplus Interconnection Request is not a New Service Request.

Surplus Interconnection Service:

“Surplus Interconnection Service” shall mean any unneeded portion of Interconnection Service established in an Interconnection Service Agreement, such that if Surplus Interconnection Service is utilized, the total amount of Interconnection Service at the Point of Interconnection would remain the same.

Switching and Tagging Rules:

“Switching and Tagging Rules” shall mean the switching and tagging procedures of Interconnected Transmission Owners and Interconnection Customer as they may be amended from time to time.

Synchronized Reserve:

“Synchronized Reserve” shall mean the reserve capability of generation resources that can be converted fully into energy or Economic Load Response Participant resources whose demand can be reduced within ten minutes from the request of the Office of the Interconnection dispatcher, and is provided by equipment that is electrically synchronized to the Transmission System.

Synchronized Reserve Event:

“Synchronized Reserve Event” shall mean a request from the Office of the Interconnection to generation resources and/or Economic Load Response Participant resources able, assigned or self-scheduled to provide Synchronized Reserve in one or more specified Reserve Zones or Reserve Sub-zones, within ten minutes, to increase the energy output or reduce load by the amount of assigned or self-scheduled Synchronized Reserve capability.

Synchronized Reserve Requirement:

“Synchronized Reserve Requirement” shall mean the megawatts required to be maintained in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone as Synchronized Reserve, absent any increase to account for additional reserves scheduled to address operational uncertainty. The Synchronized Reserve Requirement is calculated in accordance with the PJM Manuals. This requirement can only be satisfied by Synchronized Reserve resources.

System Condition:

“System Condition” shall mean a specified condition on the Transmission Provider’s system or on a neighboring system, such as a constrained transmission element or flowgate, that may trigger Curtailment of Long-Term Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service using the curtailment priority pursuant to Tariff, Part II, section 13.6. Such conditions must be identified in the Transmission Customer’s Service Agreement.

System Energy Price:

“System Energy Price” shall mean the energy component of the Locational Marginal Price, which is the price at which the Market Seller has offered to supply an additional increment of energy from a resource, calculated as specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2 and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.

System Impact Study:

“System Impact Study” shall mean an assessment by the Transmission Provider of (i) the adequacy of the Transmission System to accommodate a Completed Application, an Interconnection Request or an Upgrade Request, (ii) whether any additional costs may be incurred in order to provide such transmission service or to accommodate an Interconnection Request, and (iii) with respect to an Interconnection Request, an estimated date that an Interconnection Customer’s Customer Facility can be interconnected with the Transmission

System and an estimate of the Interconnection Customer's cost responsibility for the interconnection; and (iv) with respect to an Upgrade Request, the estimated cost of the requested system upgrades or expansion, or of the cost of the system upgrades or expansion, necessary to provide the requested incremental rights.

System Protection Facilities:

“System Protection Facilities” shall refer to the equipment required to protect (i) the Transmission System, other delivery systems and/or other generating systems connected to the Transmission System from faults or other electrical disturbance occurring at or on the Customer Facility, and (ii) the Customer Facility from faults or other electrical system disturbance occurring on the Transmission System or on other delivery systems and/or other generating systems to which the Transmission System is directly or indirectly connected. System Protection Facilities shall include such protective and regulating devices as are identified in the Applicable Technical Requirements and Standards or that are required by Applicable Laws and Regulations or other Applicable Standards, or as are otherwise necessary to protect personnel and equipment and to minimize deleterious effects to the Transmission System arising from the Customer Facility.

6. “MUST-RUN” FOR RELIABILITY GENERATION

6.4 Offer Price Caps.

6.4.1 Applicability.

(a) If, at any time, it is determined by the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with Sections 1.10.8 or 6.1 of this Schedule that any generation resource may be dispatched out of economic merit order to maintain system reliability as a result of limits on transmission capability, the offer prices for energy from such resource shall be capped as specified below. For such generation resources committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market, if the Office of the Interconnection is able to do so, such offer prices shall be capped for the entire commitment period, and such offer prices will be capped at a cost-based offer in accordance with section 6.4.2 and committed at the market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest overall system production cost. For such generation resources committed in the Real-time Energy Market such offer prices shall be capped at a cost-based offer in accordance with section 6.4.2 and dispatched on the market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest dispatch cost in accordance with 6.4.1(g) until the earlier of: (i) the resource is released from its commitment by the Office of the Interconnection; (ii) the end of the Operating Day; or (iii) the start of the generation resource's next pre-existing commitment.

The offer on which a resource is committed shall initially be determined at the time of the commitment. If any of the resource's Incremental Energy Offer, No-load Cost or Start-Up Cost are updated for any portion of the offer capped hours subsequent to commitment, the Office of the Interconnection will redetermine the level of the offer cap using the updated offer values. The Office of the Interconnection will dispatch the resource on the market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest dispatch cost as determined in accordance with section 6.4.1(g).

Resources that are self-scheduled to run in either the Day-ahead Energy Market or in the Real-time Energy Market are subject to the provisions of this section 6.4. The offer on which a resource is dispatched shall be used to determine any Locational Marginal Price affected by the offer price of such resource and as further limited as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4 and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4A.

In accordance with section 6.4.1(h), a generation resource that is offer capped in the Real-time Energy Market but released from its commitment by the Office of the Interconnection will be subject to the three pivotal supplier test and further offer capping, as applicable, if the resource is committed for a period later in the same Operating Day.

(b) The energy offer price by any generation resource requested to be dispatched in accordance with Section 6.3 of this Schedule shall be capped at the levels specified in Section 6.4.2 of this Schedule. If the Office of the Interconnection is able to do so, such offer prices shall be capped only during each hour when the affected resource is so scheduled, and otherwise shall be capped for the entire Operating Day. Energy offer prices as capped shall be used to determine any Locational Marginal Price affected by the price of such resource.

(c) Generation resources subject to an offer price cap shall be paid for energy at the applicable Locational Marginal Price.

(d) [Reserved for Future Use]

(e) Offer price caps under section 6.4 of this Schedule shall be suspended for a generation resource with respect to transmission limit(s) for any period in which a generation resource is committed by the Office of the Interconnection for the Operating Day or any period for which the generation resource has been self-scheduled where (1) there are not three or fewer generation suppliers available for redispatch under subsection (a) that are jointly pivotal with respect to such transmission limit(s), and (2) the Market Seller of the generation resource, when combined with the two largest other generation suppliers, is not pivotal (“three pivotal supplier test”). In the event the Office of the Interconnection system is unable to perform the three pivotal supplier test for a Market Seller, generation resources of that Market Seller that are dispatched to control transmission constraints will be dispatched on the resource’s market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest dispatch cost as determined in accordance with section 6.4.1(g).

(f) For the purposes of conducting the three pivotal supplier test in subsection (e), the following applies:

- (i) All megawatts of available incremental supply, including available self-scheduled supply for which the power distribution factor (“dfax”) has an absolute value equal to or greater than the dfax used by the Office of the Interconnection’s system operators when evaluating the impact of generation with respect to the constraint (“effective megawatts”) will be included in the available supply analysis at costs equal to the cost-based offers of the available incremental supply adjusted for dfax (“effective costs”). The Office of the Interconnection will post on the PJM website the dfax value used by operators with respect to a constraint when it varies from three percent.
- (ii) The three pivotal supplier test will include in the definition of the relevant market incremental supply up to and including all such supply available at an effective cost equal to 150% of the cost-based clearing price calculated using effective costs and effective megawatts and the need for megawatts to solve the constraint.
- (iii) Offer price caps will apply on a generation supplier basis (i.e. not a generating unit by generating unit basis) and only the generation suppliers that fail the three pivotal supplier test with respect to any hour in the relevant period will have their units that are dispatched with respect to the constraint offer capped. A generation supplier for the purposes of this section includes corporate affiliates. Supply controlled by a generation supplier or its affiliates by contract with unaffiliated third parties or otherwise will be included as supply of that generation supplier; supply owned by a generation supplier but controlled by an unaffiliated third party by contract or otherwise will be included as supply of that third party.

A generation supplier's units, including self-scheduled units, are offer capped if, when combined with the two largest other generation suppliers, the generation supplier is pivotal.

- (iv) In the Day-ahead Energy Market, the Office of the Interconnection shall include price sensitive demand, Increment Offers and Decrement Bids as demand or supply, as applicable, in the relevant market.

(g) In the Real-time Energy Market, the schedule on which offer capped resources will be placed shall be determined using dispatch cost, where dispatch cost is calculated pursuant to the following formulas:

Dispatch cost for the applicable hour = ((Incremental Energy Offer @ Economic Minimum for the hour [\$/MWh] * Economic Minimum for the hour [MW]) + No-load Cost for the hour [\$/H])

- (i) For resources committed in the Real-time Energy Market, the resource is committed on the offer with the lowest Total Dispatch cost at the time of commitment,

where:

Total Dispatch cost = Sum of hourly dispatch cost over a resource's minimum run time [\$] + Start-Up Cost [\$]

- (ii) For resources operating in real-time pursuant to a day-ahead or real-time commitment, and whose offers are updated after commitment, the resource is dispatched on the offer with the lowest dispatch cost for the each of the updated hours.
- (iii) However, once the resource is dispatched on a cost-based offer, it will remain on a cost-based offer regardless of the determination of the cheapest schedule.

(h) A generation resource that was committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market or Real-time Energy Market, is operating in real time, and may be dispatched out of economic merit order to maintain system reliability as a result of limits on transmission capability, will be offer price capped, subject to the outcome of a three pivotal supplier test, for each hour the resource operates beyond its committed hours or Minimum Run Time, whichever is greater, or in the case of resources self-scheduled in the Real-time Energy Market, for each hour the resource operates beyond its first hour of operation, in accordance with the following provisions.

- (i) If the resource is operating on a cost-based offer, it will remain on a cost-based offer regardless of the results of the three pivotal supplier test.

- (ii) If the resource is operating on a market-based offer and the Market Seller fails the three pivotal supplier test then the resource will be dispatched on the cheaper of its market-based offer or the cost-based offer representing the offer cap as determined by section 6.4.2, whichever results in the lowest dispatch cost as determined under section 6.4.1(g).
- (iii) If the Market Seller passes the three pivotal supplier test and the resource is currently operating on a market-based offer then the resource will remain on that offer, unless the Market Seller elects to not have its market-based offer considered for dispatch and to have only the cost-based offer that represents the offer cap level as determined under section 6.4.2 considered for dispatch in which case the resource will be dispatched on its cost-based offer for the remainder of the Operating Day.

6.4.2 Level.

- (a) The offer price cap shall be one of the amounts specified below, as specified in advance by the Market Seller for the affected unit:
 - (i) The weighted average Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus at which energy from the capped resource was delivered during a specified number of hours during which the resource was dispatched for energy in economic merit order, the specified number of hours to be determined by the Office of the Interconnection and to be a number of hours sufficient to result in an offer price cap that reflects reasonably contemporaneous competitive market conditions for that unit;
 - (ii) For offers of \$2,000/MWh or less, the incremental operating cost of the generation resource as determined in accordance with Schedule 2 of the Operating Agreement and the PJM Manuals (“incremental cost”), plus up to the lesser of 10% of such costs or \$100 MWh, the sum of which shall not exceed \$2,000/MWh; and, for offers greater than \$2,000/MWh, the incremental cost of the generation resource;
 - (iii) For units that are frequently offer capped (“Frequently Mitigated Unit” or “FMU”), and for which the unit’s market-based offer was greater than its cost based offer, the following shall apply:
 - (a) For units that are offer capped for 60% or more of their run hours, but less than 70% of their run hours, the offer price cap will be the greater of either (i) incremental cost plus 10% or (ii) incremental cost plus \$20 per megawatt-hour;
 - (b) For units that are offer capped for 70% or more of their run hours, but less than 80% of their run hours, the offer price cap will be the greater

of either (i) incremental cost plus 10%, or (ii) incremental cost plus \$30 per megawatt-hour;

(c) For units that are offer capped for 80% or more of their run hours, the offer price cap will be the greater of either (i) incremental costs plus 10%; or (ii) incremental cost plus \$40 per megawatt-hour.

(b) For purposes of section 6.4.2(a)(iii), a generating unit shall qualify for the specified offer cap upon issuance of written notice from the Market Monitoring Unit, pursuant to Section II.A of the Attachment M-Appendix, that it is a “Frequently Mitigated Unit” because it meets all of the following criteria:

- (i) The unit was offer capped for the applicable percentage of its run hours, determined on a rolling 12-month basis, effective with a one month lag.
- (ii) The unit’s Projected PJM Market Revenues plus the unit’s PJM capacity market revenues on a rolling 12-month basis, divided by the unit’s MW of installed capacity (in \$/MW-year) are less than its accepted unit specific Avoidable Cost Rate (in \$/MW-year) (excluding APIR and ARPIR), or its default Avoidable Cost Rate (in \$/MW-year) if no unit-specific Avoidable Cost Rate is accepted for the BRAs for the Delivery Years included in the rolling 12-month period, determined pursuant to Sections 6.7 and 6.8 of Attachment DD of the Tariff. (The relevant Avoidable Cost Rate is the weighted average of the Avoidable Cost Rates for each Delivery Year included in the rolling 12-month period, weighted by month.)
- (iii) No portion of the unit is included in a FRR Capacity Plan or receiving compensation under Part V of the Tariff.
- (iv) The unit is internal to the PJM Region and subject only to PJM dispatch.

(c) Any generating unit, without regard to ownership, located at the same site as a Frequently Mitigated Unit qualifying under Sections 6.4.2(a)(iii) shall become an “Associated Unit” upon issuance of written notice from the Market Monitoring Unit pursuant to Section II.A of Attachment M-Appendix, that it meets all of the following criteria:

1. The unit has the identical electric impact on the transmission system as the FMU;
2. The unit (i) belongs to the same design class (where a design class includes generation that is the same size and utilizes the same technology, without regard to manufacturer) and uses the identical primary fuel as the FMU or (ii) is regularly dispatched by PJM as a substitute for the FMU based on differences in cost that result from the currently applicable FMU adder;
3. The unit (i) has an average daily cost-based offer, as measured over the

preceding 12-month period, that is less than or equal to the FMU's average daily cost-based offer adjusted to include the currently applicable FMU adder or (ii) is regularly dispatched by PJM as a substitute for the FMU based on differences in cost that result from the currently applicable FMU adder.

The offer cap for an associated unit shall be equal to the incremental operating cost of such unit, as determined in accordance with Schedule 2 of the Operating Agreement and the PJM Manuals, plus the applicable percentage adder or dollar per megawatt-hour adder as specified in Section 6.4.2(a)(iii)(a), (b), or (c) for the unit with which it is associated.

(d) Market Participants shall have exclusive responsibility for preparing and submitting their offers on the basis of accurate information and in compliance with the FERC Market Rules, inclusive of the level of any applicable offer cap, and in no event shall PJM be held liable for the consequences of or make any retroactive adjustment to any clearing price on the basis of any offer submitted on the basis of inaccurate or non-compliant information.

6.4.3 Verification of Cost-Based Offers Over \$1,000/Megawatt-hour

(a) If a Market Seller submits a cost-based energy offer for a generation resource that includes an Incremental Energy Offer greater than \$1,000/megawatt-hour, then, in order for that offer to be eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Office of the Interconnection shall apply a formulaic screen to verify the reasonableness of the Incremental Energy Offer component of such cost-based offer. For each Incremental Energy Offer segment greater than \$1,000/megawatt-hour, the Office of the Interconnection shall evaluate whether such offer segment exceeds the reasonably expected costs for that generation resource by determining the Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost for each segment in accordance with the following formula:

Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost (\$/MWh segment in accordance with the following formula: @ MW) =

$$[(\text{Maximum Allowable Operating Rate}_i) - (\text{Bid Production Cost}_{i-1})] / (\text{MW}_i - \text{MW}_{i-1})$$

where

i = an offer segment within the Incremental Energy Offer, which is comprised of a pairing of price (\$/MWh) and a megawatt quantity

Maximum Allowable Operating Rate (\$/hour @ MW) =

$$[(\text{Heat Input}_i \text{ @ MW}_i) \times (\text{Performance Factor}) \times (\text{Fuel Cost})] \times (1 + A)$$

where

Heat Input = a point on the heat input curve (in MMBtu/hr), determined in accordance with PJM Manual 15, describing the resource's operational

characteristics for converting the applicable fuel input (MMBtu) into energy (MWh) specified in the Incremental Energy Offer;

Performance Factor = a scaling factor that is a calculated ratio of actual fuel burn to either theoretical fuel burn (i.e., design Heat Input) or other current tested Heat Input, which is determined annually in accordance with the Market Seller's PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy, Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, and PJM Manual 15, reflecting the resource's actual ability to convert fuel into energy (normal operation is 1.0);

Fuel Cost = applicable fuel cost as estimated by the Office of the Interconnection at a geographically appropriate commodity trading hub, plus 10 percent; and

A = Cost adder, in accordance with section 6.4.2(a)(ii) of this Schedule.

$$\text{Bid Production Cost (\$/hour @ MW)} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (MW_i - MW_{i-1}) \times (P_i) - \frac{1}{2} \times \text{UBS} \times (MW_i - MW_{i-1}) \times (P_i - P_{i-1}) \right] + \text{No-Load Cost}$$

where

MW = the MW quantity per offer segment within the Incremental Energy Offer;

P = the price (in dollars per megawatt-hour) per offer segment within the Incremental Energy Offer;

UBS = Uses Bid-Slope = 0 for block-offer resources (i.e., a resource with an Incremental Energy Offer that uses a step function curve); and 1 for all other resources (i.e., resources with an Incremental Energy Offer that uses a sloped offer curve); and

If the price submitted for the offer segment is less than or equal to the Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost then that offer segment shall be deemed verified and is eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price. If the price submitted for the offer segment is greater than the Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost, then the Market Seller's cost-based offer for that segment and all segments at an equal or greater price are deemed not verified and are not eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price and such offer shall be price capped at the greater of \$1,000/megawatt-hour or the offer price of the most expensive verified segment on the Incremental Energy Offer for the purpose of setting Locational Marginal Prices; provided however, such Market Seller shall be allowed to submit a challenge to a non-verification determination, including supporting documentation, to the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with the procedures set forth in the PJM Manuals. Upon review of such documentation, the Office of the Interconnection may determine that the Market Seller's cost-based offer is verified and eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price as described above.

- (i) For the first incremental segment ($i=1$), when the MW in the segment is greater than zero, the first segment shall be screened as a block-loaded segment ($UBS=0$) as if there was a preceding MW_{i-1} of zero. The Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost calculation for the first incremental would use a preceding Bid Production Cost $i-1$ (at zero MW) equal to the energy No-Load Cost.
- (ii) For the first incremental segment ($i=1$), when the MW in the segment is equal to zero, and is the only bid-in segment to be verified, then the segment shall be deemed not verified and subject to the rules as described above.
- (iii) For the first incremental segment ($i=1$), when the MW in the segment is equal to zero, and there are additional segments to be verified, then the first segment shall be deemed verified only if the second segment is deemed verified. If the second segment is deemed not verified, then the first segment shall also be deemed not verified and subject to the rules as described above.

(b) If an Economic Load Response Participant a cost-based demand reduction offer that includes incremental costs greater than or equal to \$1,000/megawatt-hour, in order for that offer to be eligible to determine the applicable Locational Marginal Price as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Economic Load Response Participant must validate the incremental costs with the end use customer(s) and, upon request, submit to the Office of the Interconnection supporting documentation demonstrating that the end-use customer's costs in providing such demand reduction are greater than \$1,000/megawatt-hour in accordance with the following provisions:

- (i) The supporting documentation must explain and support the quantification of the end-use customer's incremental costs; and
- (ii) The end use customer's incremental costs shall include quantifiable cost incurred for not consuming electricity when dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection, such as wages paid without production, lost sales, damaged products that cannot be sold, or other incremental costs as defined in the PJM Manuals or as approved by the Office of the Interconnection, and may not include shutdown costs.

If upon review of the supporting documentation for the Economic Load Response Participant's, cost-based offer by the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit determines that the offer was not reasonably supported by incremental costs greater than or equal to \$1,000/megawatt-hour, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit may refer the matter to the FERC Office of Enforcement for investigation.

6.4.3A Verification of Fast-Start Resource Composite Energy Offers Over \$1,000/Megawatt-hour

(a) If a Market Seller submits a cost-based offer for a generation resource that is a Fast-Start Resource that results in a Composite Energy Offer that is greater than \$1,000/megawatt-hour, then, in order for that Composite Energy Offer to be eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price under Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Office of the Interconnection shall apply a formulaic screen to verify the reasonableness of the offer components:

Incremental Energy Offer and No-load Cost components of each offer segment shall be evaluated for whether it exceeds the reasonably expected costs for that resource by applying the test described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.4.3.

Start-Up Cost component shall be evaluated for whether it exceeds the reasonably expected costs for that resource by applying the following formula:

$$\text{Start-Up Cost (\$)} = [[(\text{Performance Factor}) \times (\text{Start Fuel}) \times (\text{Fuel Cost})] + \text{Start Maintenance Adder} + \text{Station Service Cost}] \times (1 + A)$$

Where:

Start Fuel =

For units without a soak process, “Start Fuel” shall consist of fuel consumed from first fire of the start process to first breaker closing, plus any fuel expended from last breaker opening to shutdown.

For units with a soak process, “Start Fuel” is fuel consumed from first fire of the start process (initial reactor criticality for nuclear units) to dispatchable output (including auxiliary boiler fuel), plus any fuel expended from last breaker opening to shutdown, excluding normal plant heating/auxiliary equipment fuel requirements. Start Fuel included for each temperature state from breaker closure to dispatchable output shall not exceed the unit specific soak time period reviewed and approved as part of the unit-specific parameter process detailed in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.6(c) or the defaults below:

- Cold Soak Time = 0.73 * unit specific Minimum Run Time (in hours)
- Intermediate Soak Time = 0.61 * unit specific Minimum Run Time (in hours)

- Hot Soak Time = 0.43 * unit specific Minimum Run Time (in hours);

~~fuel consumed from first fire of start process to breaker closing plus fuel expended from breaker opening of the previous shutdown to initialization of the (hot) unit start-up, excluding normal plant heating/auxiliary equipment fuel requirements;~~

Fuel Cost = applicable fuel cost as estimated by the Office of the Interconnection at a geographically appropriate commodity trading hub, plus 10 percent;

Performance Factor = a scaling factor that is a calculated ratio of actual fuel burn to either theoretical fuel burn (i.e., design Heat Input) or other current tested Heat Input, which is determined annually in accordance with the Market Seller's PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy under Operating Agreement, Schedule 2 and PJM Manual 15, reflecting the resource's actual ability to convert fuel into energy (normal operation is 1.0);

Start Maintenance Adder = an adder based on all available maintenance expense history for the defined Maintenance Period regardless of unit ownership. Only expenses incurred as a result of electric production qualify for inclusion. Only Maintenance Adders specified as \$/Start, \$/MMBtu, or \$/equivalent operating hour can be included in the Start Maintenance Adder;

~~Start Additional Labor = additional labor costs for startup required above normal station manning levels; and~~

Station Service Cost = station service usage (MWh) during start-up multiplied by the 12-month rolling average off-peak energy prices as updated quarterly by the Office of the Interconnection.

A = cost adder, in accordance with Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.4.2(a)(ii).

(b) Should the submitted Incremental Energy Offer and No-load Cost exceed the reasonably expected costs for that resource as calculated pursuant to subsection (a) above for any segment, then for the determination of Locational Marginal Prices as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices):

- (i) the Incremental Energy Offer for each segment shall be capped at the lesser of the cap described above in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.4.3 or the submitted Incremental Energy Offer; and

- (ii) the amortized No-load cost shall be adjusted as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4 (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4A (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Day-ahead Prices).

(c) Should the submitted Start-Up Cost exceed the reasonably expected costs for that resource as calculated pursuant to subsection (a) above, then for the determination of Locational Marginal Prices as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Start-Up Costs shall be adjusted as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4 (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4A (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Day-ahead Prices).

(d) If an Economic Load Response Participant submits an offer to reduce demand for a Fast-Start Resource where the maximum segment of the resulting Composite Energy Offer exceeds \$1,000/megawatt-hour, then, in order for that Composite Energy Offer to be eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price under Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Economic Load Response Participant must validate such costs with the end use customer(s) and, upon request, submit to the Office of the Interconnection supporting documentation demonstrating that the end-use customer's costs in providing such demand reduction are greater than \$1,000/megawatt-hour in accordance with the following provisions:

- (i) The supporting documentation must explain and support the quantification of the end-use customer's incremental costs and shutdown costs; and

- (ii) The end use customer's incremental and shutdown costs shall include quantifiable cost incurred for not consuming electricity when dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection, such as wages paid without production, lost sales, damaged products that cannot be sold, or other incremental costs as defined in the PJM Manuals or as approved by the Office of the Interconnection.

If upon review of the supporting documentation for the Economic Load Response Participant's, cost-based offer by the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit determines that the offer was not reasonably supported by incremental and shutdown costs greater than or equal to \$1,000/megawatt-hour, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit may refer the matter to the FERC Office of Enforcement for investigation.

Should the submitted shutdown cost exceed the reasonably supported costs for that resource, then for the determination of Locational Marginal Prices as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the shutdown costs shall be adjusted as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4 (Determination of Energy Offers Used in

Calculating Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4A (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Day-ahead Prices).

Revisions to the
PJM Operating Agreement

(Marked / Redline Format)

Definitions S – T

Sector Votes:

“Sector Votes” shall mean the affirmative and negative votes of each sector of a Senior Standing Committee, as specified in Operating Agreement, section 8.4.

Securities:

“Securities” shall mean negotiable or non-negotiable investment or financing instruments that can be sold and bought. Securities include bonds, stocks, debentures, notes and options.

Segment:

“Segment” shall have the same meaning as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 3.2.3(e) and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 3.2.3(e).

Senior Standing Committees:

“Senior Standing Committees” shall mean the Members Committee, and the Markets, and Reliability Committee, as established in Operating Agreement, section 8.1 and Operating Agreement, section 8.6.

SERC:

“SERC” or “Southeastern Electric Reliability Council” shall mean the reliability council under section 202 of the Federal Power Act established pursuant to the SERC Agreement dated January 14, 1970, or any successor thereto.

Short-term Project:

“Short-term Project” shall mean a transmission enhancement or expansion with an in-service date of more than three years but no more than five years from the year in which, pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 6, section 1.5.8(c), the Office of the Interconnection posts the violations, system conditions, or Public Policy Requirements to be addressed by the enhancement or expansion.

Special Member:

“Special Member” shall mean an entity that satisfies the requirements of Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 1.5A.02, and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 1.5A.02, or the special membership provisions established under the Emergency Load Response and Pre-Emergency Load Response Programs.

Spot Market Backup:

“Spot Market Backup” shall mean the purchase of energy from, or the delivery of energy to, the PJM Interchange Energy Market in quantities sufficient to complete the delivery or receipt obligations of a bilateral contract that has been curtailed or interrupted for any reason.

Spot Market Energy:

“Spot Market Energy” shall mean energy bought or sold by Market Participants through the PJM Interchange Energy Market at System Energy Prices determined as specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2, and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.

Standing Committees:

“Standing Committees” shall mean the Members Committee, the committees established and maintained under Operating Agreement, section 8.6, and such other committees as the Members Committee may establish and maintain from time to time.

Start Fuel:

For units without a soak process, “Start Fuel” shall consist of fuel consumed from first fire of the start process to first breaker closing, plus any fuel expended from last breaker opening to shutdown.

For units with a soak process, “Start Fuel” is fuel consumed from first fire of the start process (initial reactor criticality for nuclear units) to dispatchable output (including auxiliary boiler fuel), plus any fuel expended from last breaker opening to shutdown, excluding normal plant heating/auxiliary equipment fuel requirements. Start Fuel included for each temperature state from breaker closure to dispatchable output shall not exceed the unit specific soak time period reviewed and approved as part of the unit-specific parameter process detailed in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.6(c) or the defaults below:

- Cold Soak Time = $0.73 * \text{unit specific Minimum Run Time (in hours)}$
- Intermediate Soak Time = $0.61 * \text{unit specific Minimum Run Time (in hours)}$
- Hot Soak Time = $0.43 * \text{unit specific Minimum Run Time (in hours)}$

Start-Up Costs:

“Start-Up Costs” shall consist primarily of the cost of fuel, as determined by the unit’s start heat input (adjusted by the performance factor) times the fuel cost. It also includes operating costs, Maintenance Adders, emissions allowances/adders, and station service cost. Start-Up Costs can vary with the unit offline time being categorized in three unit temperature conditions: hot, intermediate and cold.

For units with a steam turbine and a soak process (nuclear, steam, and combined cycle), “Start Fuel” is fuel consumed from first fire of start process (initial reactor criticality for nuclear units):

Start-Up Costs shall mean the net unit costs from PJM's notification to the level at which the unit can follow PJM's dispatch, and from last breaker open to shutdown.

For units without a steam turbine and no soak process (engines, combustion turbines, Intermittent Resources, and Energy Storage Resources): Start-Up Costs shall mean the unit costs from PJM's notification to first breaker close and from last breaker open to shutdown.~~mean the unit costs to bring the boiler, turbine and generator from shutdown conditions to the point after breaker closure which is typically indicated by telemetered or aggregated state estimator megawatts greater than zero and is determined based on the cost of start fuel, total fuel-related cost, performance factor, electrical costs (station service), start maintenance adder, and additional labor cost if required above normal station manning. Start-Up Costs can vary with the unit offline time being categorized in three unit temperature conditions: hot, intermediate and cold.~~

State:

“State” shall mean the District of Columbia and any State or Commonwealth of the United States.

State Certification:

“State Certification” shall mean the Certification of an Authorized Commission, pursuant to Operating Agreement, section 18, the form of which is appended to the Operating Agreement as Operating Agreement, Schedule 10A, wherein the Authorized Commission identifies all Authorized Persons employed or retained by such Authorized Commission, a copy of which shall be filed with FERC.

State Consumer Advocate:

“State Consumer Advocate” shall mean a legislatively created office from any State, all or any part of the territory of which is within the PJM Region, and the District of Columbia established, inter alia, for the purpose of representing the interests of energy consumers before the utility regulatory commissions of such states and the District of Columbia and the FERC.

State Estimator:

“State Estimator” shall mean the computer model of power flows specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.3, and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.3.

State of Charge:

“State of Charge” shall mean the quantity of physical energy stored in an Energy Storage Resource Model Participant or in the storage component of a Hybrid Resource in proportion to

its maximum State of Charge capability. State of Charge is quantified as defined in the PJM Manuals.

State of Charge Management:

“State of Charge Management” shall mean the control of State of Charge of an Energy Storage Resource Market Participant or Hybrid Resource using minimum and maximum discharge (and, as applicable, charge) limits, changes in operating mode (as applicable), discharging (and, as applicable, charging) offer curves, and self-scheduling of non-dispatchable sales (and, as applicable, purchases) of energy in the PJM markets. State of Charge Management shall not interfere with the obligation of a Market Seller of an Energy Storage Resource Model Participant or of a Hybrid Resource to follow PJM dispatch, consistent with all other resources.

Station Power:

“Station Power” shall mean energy used for operating the electric equipment on the site of a generation facility located in the PJM Region or for the heating, lighting, air-conditioning and office equipment needs of buildings on the site of such a generation facility that are used in the operation, maintenance, or repair of the facility. Station Power does not include any energy (i) used to power synchronous condensers; (ii) used for pumping at a pumped storage facility; (iii) used in association with restoration or black start service; or (iv) that is Direct Charging Energy.

Sub-meter:

“Sub-meter” shall mean a metering point for electricity consumption that does not include all electricity consumption for the end-use customer as defined by the electric distribution company account number. PJM shall only accept sub-meter load data from end-use customers for measurement and verification of Regulation service as set forth in the Economic Load Response rules and PJM Manuals.

Subregional RTEP Project:

“Subregional RTEP Project” shall mean a transmission expansion or enhancement rated below 230 kV which is required for compliance with the following PJM criteria: system reliability, operational performance or economic criteria, pursuant to a determination by the Office of the Interconnection.

Supplemental Project:

“Supplemental Project” shall mean a transmission expansion or enhancement that is not required for compliance with the following PJM criteria: system reliability, operational performance or economic criteria, pursuant to a determination by the Office of the Interconnection and is not a state public policy project pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 6, section 1.5.9(a)(ii). Any system upgrades required to maintain the reliability of the system that are driven by a Supplemental Project are considered part of that Supplemental Project and are the responsibility of the entity sponsoring that Supplemental Project.

Synchronized Reserve:

“Synchronized Reserve” shall mean the reserve capability of generation resources that can be converted fully into energy or Economic Load Response Participant resources whose demand can be reduced within ten minutes from the request of the Office of the Interconnection dispatcher, and is provided by equipment that is electrically synchronized to the Transmission System.

Synchronized Reserve Event:

“Synchronized Reserve Event” shall mean a request from the Office of the Interconnection to generation resources and/or Economic Load Response Participant resources able, assigned or self-scheduled to provide Synchronized Reserve in one or more specified Reserve Zones or Reserve Sub-zones, within ten minutes, to increase the energy output or reduce load by the amount of assigned or self-scheduled Synchronized Reserve capability.

Synchronized Reserve Requirement:

“Synchronized Reserve Requirement” shall mean the megawatts required to be maintained in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone as Synchronized Reserve, absent any increase to account for additional reserves scheduled to address operational uncertainty. The Synchronized Reserve Requirement is calculated in accordance with the PJM Manuals. This requirement can only be satisfied by Synchronized Reserve resources.

System:

“System” shall mean the interconnected electric supply system of a Member and its interconnected subsidiaries exclusive of facilities which it may own or control outside of the PJM Region. Each Member may include in its system the electric supply systems of any party or parties other than Members which are within the PJM Region, provided its interconnection agreements with such other party or parties do not conflict with such inclusion.

System Energy Price:

“System Energy Price” shall mean the energy component of the Locational Marginal Price, which is the price at which the Market Seller has offered to supply an additional increment of energy from a resource, calculated as specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2, and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.

Target Allocation:

“Target Allocation” shall mean the allocation of Transmission Congestion Credits as set forth in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 5.2.3, and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 5.2.3 or the allocation of Auction Revenue Rights Credits as set

forth in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 7.4.3, and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 7.4.3.

Third Party Request:

“Third Party Request” shall mean any request or demand by any entity upon an Authorized Person or an Authorized Commission for release or disclosure of confidential information provided to the Authorized Person or Authorized Commission by the Office of the Interconnection or the Market Monitoring Unit. A Third Party Request shall include, but shall not be limited to, any subpoena, discovery request, or other request for confidential information made by any: (i) federal, state, or local governmental subdivision, department, official, agency or court, or (ii) arbitration panel, business, company, entity or individual.

Tie Line:

“Tie Line” shall have the same meaning provided in the Open Access Transmission Tariff.

Total Lost Opportunity Cost Offer:

“Total Lost Opportunity Cost Offer” shall mean the applicable offer used to calculate lost opportunity cost credits. For pool-scheduled resources specified in PJM Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 3.2.3(f-1) and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 3.2.3(f-1), the Total Lost Opportunity Cost Offer shall equal the Real-time Settlement Interval offer integrated under the applicable offer curve for the LOC Deviation, as determined by the greater of the Committed Offer or last Real-Time Offer submitted for the offer on which the resource was committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market for each hour in an Operating Day. For all other pool-scheduled resources, the Total Lost Opportunity Cost Offer shall equal the Real-time Settlement Interval offer integrated under the applicable offer curve for the LOC Deviation, as determined by the offer curve associated with the greater of the Committed Offer or Final Offer for each hour in an Operating Day. For self-scheduled generation resources, the Total Lost Opportunity Cost Offer shall equal the Real-time Settlement Interval offer integrated under the applicable offer curve for the LOC Deviation, where for self-scheduled generation resources (a) operating pursuant to a cost-based offer, the applicable offer curve shall be the greater of the originally submitted cost-based offer or the cost-based offer that the resource was dispatched on in real-time; or (b) operating pursuant to a market-based offer, the applicable offer curve shall be determined in accordance with the following process: (1) select the greater of the cost-based day-ahead offer and updated costbased Real-time Offer; (2) for resources with multiple cost-based offers, first, for each cost-based offer select the greater of the day-ahead offer and updated Real-time Offer, and then select the lesser of the resulting cost-based offers; and (3) compare the offer selected in (1), or for resources with multiple cost-based offers the offer selected in (2), with the market-based day-ahead offer and the market-based Real-time Offer and select the highest offer.

Total Operating Reserve Offer:

“Total Operating Reserve Offer” shall mean the applicable offer used to calculate Operating Reserve credits. The Total Operating Reserve Offer shall equal the sum of all individual Real-time Settlement Interval energy offers, inclusive of Start-Up Costs (shut-down costs for Demand Resources) and No-load Costs, for every Real-time Settlement Interval in a Segment, integrated under the applicable offer curve up to the applicable megawatt output as further described in the PJM Manuals. The applicable offer used to calculate day-ahead Operating Reserve credits shall be the Committed Offer, and the applicable offer used to calculate balancing Operating Reserve credits shall be lesser of the Committed Offer or Final Offer for each hour in an Operating Day.

Transmission Congestion Charge:

“Transmission Congestion Charge” shall mean a charge attributable to the increased cost of energy delivered at a given load bus when the transmission system serving that load bus is operating under constrained conditions, or as necessary to provide energy for third-party transmission losses, which shall be calculated and allocated as specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 5.1, and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 5.1.

Transmission Congestion Credit:

“Transmission Congestion Credit” shall mean the allocated share of total Transmission Congestion Charges credited to each FTR Holder, calculated and allocated as specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 5.2 and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 5.2.

Transmission Customer:

“Transmission Customer” shall have the meaning set forth in the PJM Tariff.

Transmission Facilities:

“Transmission Facilities” shall mean facilities that: (i) are within the PJM Region; (ii) meet the definition of transmission facilities pursuant to FERC’s Uniform System of Accounts or have been classified as transmission facilities in a ruling by FERC addressing such facilities; and (iii) have been demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Office of the Interconnection to be integrated with the PJM Region transmission system and integrated into the planning and operation of the PJM Region to serve all of the power and transmission customers within the PJM Region.

Transmission Forced Outage:

“Transmission Forced Outage” shall mean an immediate removal from service of a transmission facility by reason of an Emergency or threatened Emergency, unanticipated failure, or other cause beyond the control of the owner or operator of the transmission facility, as specified in the relevant portions of the PJM Manuals. A removal from service of a transmission facility at the request of the Office of the Interconnection to improve transmission capability shall not constitute a Forced Transmission Outage.

Transmission Loading Relief:

“Transmission Loading Relief” shall mean NERC’s procedures for preventing operating security limit violations, as implemented by PJM as the security coordinator responsible for maintaining transmission security for the PJM Region.

Transmission Loss Charge:

“Transmission Loss Charge” shall mean the charges to each Market Participant, Network Customer, or Transmission Customer for the cost of energy lost in the transmission of electricity from a generation resource to load as specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 5, and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 5.

Transmission Operator:

“Transmission Operator” shall have the same meaning set forth in the NERC Glossary of Terms used in NERC Reliability Standards.

Transmission Owner:

“Transmission Owner” shall mean a Member that owns or leases with rights equivalent to ownership Transmission Facilities and is a signatory to the PJM Transmission Owners Agreement. Taking transmission service shall not be sufficient to qualify a Member as a Transmission Owner.

Transmission Owner Upgrade:

“Transmission Owner Upgrade” shall mean an upgrade to a Transmission Owner’s own transmission facilities, which is an improvement to, addition to, or replacement of a part of, an existing facility and is not an entirely new transmission facility.

Transmission Planned Outage:

“Transmission Planned Outage” shall mean any transmission outage scheduled in advance for a pre-determined duration and which meets the notification requirements for such outages specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, or the PJM Manuals.

Turn Down Ratio:

“Turn Down Ratio” shall mean the ratio of a generating unit’s economic maximum megawatts to its economic minimum megawatts.

6.4 Offer Price Caps.

6.4.1 Applicability.

(a) If, at any time, it is determined by the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with Sections 1.10.8 or 6.1 of this Schedule that any generation resource may be dispatched out of economic merit order to maintain system reliability as a result of limits on transmission capability, the offer prices for energy from such resource shall be capped as specified below. For such generation resources committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market, if the Office of the Interconnection is able to do so, such offer prices shall be capped for the entire commitment period, and such offer prices will be capped at a cost-based offer in accordance with section 6.4.2 and committed at the market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest overall system production cost. For such generation resources committed in the Real-time Energy Market such offer prices shall be capped at a cost-based offer in accordance with section 6.4.2 and dispatched on the market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest dispatch cost in accordance with 6.4.1(g) until the earlier of: (i) the resource is released from its commitment by the Office of the Interconnection; (ii) the end of the Operating Day; or (iii) the start of the generation resource's next pre-existing commitment.

The offer on which a resource is committed shall initially be determined at the time of the commitment. If any of the resource's Incremental Energy Offer, No-load Cost or Start-Up Cost are updated for any portion of the offer capped hours subsequent to commitment, the Office of the Interconnection will redetermine the level of the offer cap using the updated offer values. The Office of the Interconnection will dispatch the resource on the market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest dispatch cost as determined in accordance with section 6.4.1(g).

Resources that are self-scheduled to run in either the Day-ahead Energy Market or in the Real-time Energy Market are subject to the provisions of this section 6.4. The offer on which a resource is dispatched shall be used to determine any Locational Marginal Price affected by the offer price of such resource and as further limited as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4 and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4A.

In accordance with section 6.4.1(h), a generation resource that is offer capped in the Real-time Energy Market but released from its commitment by the Office of the Interconnection will be subject to the three pivotal supplier test and further offer capping, as applicable, if the resource is committed for a period later in the same Operating Day.

(b) The energy offer price by any generation resource requested to be dispatched in accordance with Section 6.3 of this Schedule shall be capped at the levels specified in Section 6.4.2 of this Schedule. If the Office of the Interconnection is able to do so, such offer prices shall be capped only during each hour when the affected resource is so scheduled, and otherwise shall be capped for the entire Operating Day. Energy offer prices as capped shall be used to determine any Locational Marginal Price affected by the price of such resource.

(c) Generation resources subject to an offer price cap shall be paid for energy at the applicable Locational Marginal Price.

(d) [Reserved for Future Use]

(e) Offer price caps under section 6.4 of this Schedule shall be suspended for a generation resource with respect to transmission limit(s) for any period in which a generation resource is committed by the Office of the Interconnection for the Operating Day or any period for which the generation resource has been self-scheduled where (1) there are not three or fewer generation suppliers available for redispatch under subsection (a) that are jointly pivotal with respect to such transmission limit(s), and (2) the Market Seller of the generation resource, when combined with the two largest other generation suppliers, is not pivotal (“three pivotal supplier test”). In the event the Office of the Interconnection system is unable to perform the three pivotal supplier test for a Market Seller, generation resources of that Market Seller that are dispatched to control transmission constraints will be dispatched on the resource’s market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest dispatch cost as determined in accordance with section 6.4.1(g).

(f) For the purposes of conducting the three pivotal supplier test in subsection (e), the following applies:

- (i) All megawatts of available incremental supply, including available self-scheduled supply for which the power distribution factor (“dfax”) has an absolute value equal to or greater than the dfax used by the Office of the Interconnection’s system operators when evaluating the impact of generation with respect to the constraint (“effective megawatts”) will be included in the available supply analysis at costs equal to the cost-based offers of the available incremental supply adjusted for dfax (“effective costs”). The Office of the Interconnection will post on the PJM website the dfax value used by operators with respect to a constraint when it varies from three percent.
- (ii) The three pivotal supplier test will include in the definition of the relevant market incremental supply up to and including all such supply available at an effective cost equal to 150% of the cost-based clearing price calculated using effective costs and effective megawatts and the need for megawatts to solve the constraint.
- (iii) Offer price caps will apply on a generation supplier basis (i.e. not a generating unit by generating unit basis) and only the generation suppliers that fail the three pivotal supplier test with respect to any hour in the relevant period will have their units that are dispatched with respect to the constraint offer capped. A generation supplier for the purposes of this section includes corporate affiliates. Supply controlled by a generation supplier or its affiliates by contract with unaffiliated third parties or otherwise will be included as supply of that generation supplier; supply owned by a generation supplier but controlled by an unaffiliated third party by contract or otherwise will be included as supply of that third party.

A generation supplier's units, including self-scheduled units, are offer capped if, when combined with the two largest other generation suppliers, the generation supplier is pivotal.

- (iv) In the Day-ahead Energy Market, the Office of the Interconnection shall include price sensitive demand, Increment Offers and Decrement Bids as demand or supply, as applicable, in the relevant market.

(g) In the Real-time Energy Market, the schedule on which offer capped resources will be placed shall be determined using dispatch cost, where dispatch cost is calculated pursuant to the following formulas:

Dispatch cost for the applicable hour = ((Incremental Energy Offer @ Economic Minimum for the hour [\$/MWh] * Economic Minimum for the hour [MW]) + No-load Cost for the hour [\$/H])

- (i) For resources committed in the Real-time Energy Market, the resource is committed on the offer with the lowest Total Dispatch cost at the time of commitment,

where:

Total Dispatch cost = Sum of hourly dispatch cost over a resource's minimum run time [\$] + Start-Up Cost [\$]

- (ii) For resources operating in real-time pursuant to a day-ahead or real-time commitment, and whose offers are updated after commitment, the resource is dispatched on the offer with the lowest dispatch cost for the each of the updated hours.
- (iii) However, once the resource is dispatched on a cost-based offer, it will remain on a cost-based offer regardless of the determination of the cheapest schedule.

(h) A generation resource that was committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market or Real-time Energy Market, is operating in real time, and may be dispatched out of economic merit order to maintain system reliability as a result of limits on transmission capability, will be offer price capped, subject to the outcome of a three pivotal supplier test, for each hour the resource operates beyond its committed hours or Minimum Run Time, whichever is greater, or in the case of resources self-scheduled in the Real-time Energy Market, for each hour the resource operates beyond its first hour of operation, in accordance with the following provisions.

- (i) If the resource is operating on a cost-based offer, it will remain on a cost-based offer regardless of the results of the three pivotal supplier test.

- (ii) If the resource is operating on a market-based offer and the Market Seller fails the three pivotal supplier test then the resource will be dispatched on the cheaper of its market-based offer or the cost-based offer representing the offer cap as determined by section 6.4.2, whichever results in the lowest dispatch cost as determined under section 6.4.1(g).
- (iii) If the Market Seller passes the three pivotal supplier test and the resource is currently operating on a market-based offer then the resource will remain on that offer, unless the Market Seller elects to not have its market-based offer considered for dispatch and to have only the cost-based offer that represents the offer cap level as determined under section 6.4.2 considered for dispatch in which case the resource will be dispatched on its cost-based offer for the remainder of the Operating Day.

6.4.2 Level.

- (a) The offer price cap shall be one of the amounts specified below, as specified in advance by the Market Seller for the affected unit:
 - (i) The weighted average Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus at which energy from the capped resource was delivered during a specified number of hours during which the resource was dispatched for energy in economic merit order, the specified number of hours to be determined by the Office of the Interconnection and to be a number of hours sufficient to result in an offer price cap that reflects reasonably contemporaneous competitive market conditions for that unit;
 - (ii) For offers of \$2,000/MWh or less, the incremental operating cost of the generation resource as determined in accordance with Schedule 2 of the Operating Agreement and the PJM Manuals (“incremental cost”), plus up to the lesser of 10% of such costs or \$100 MWh, the sum of which shall not exceed \$2,000/MWh; and, for offers greater than \$2,000/MWh, the incremental cost of the generation resource;
 - (iii) For units that are frequently offer capped (“Frequently Mitigated Unit” or “FMU”), and for which the unit’s market-based offer was greater than its cost based offer, the following shall apply:
 - (a) For units that are offer capped for 60% or more of their run hours, but less than 70% of their run hours, the offer price cap will be the greater of either (i) incremental cost plus 10% or (ii) incremental cost plus \$20 per megawatt-hour;
 - (b) For units that are offer capped for 70% or more of their run hours, but less than 80% of their run hours, the offer price cap will be the greater of either (i) incremental cost plus 10%, or (ii) incremental cost plus \$30

per megawatt-hour;

(c) For units that are offer capped for 80% or more of their run hours, the offer price cap will be the greater of either (i) incremental costs plus 10%; or (ii) incremental cost plus \$40 per megawatt-hour.

(b) For purposes of section 6.4.2(a)(iii), a generating unit shall qualify for the specified offer cap upon issuance of written notice from the Market Monitoring Unit, pursuant to Section II.A of the Attachment M-Appendix, that it is a “Frequently Mitigated Unit” because it meets all of the following criteria:

- (i) The unit was offer capped for the applicable percentage of its run hours, determined on a rolling 12-month basis, effective with a one month lag.
- (ii) The unit’s Projected PJM Market Revenues plus the unit’s PJM capacity market revenues on a rolling 12-month basis, divided by the unit’s MW of installed capacity (in \$/MW-year) are less than its accepted unit specific Avoidable Cost Rate (in \$/MW-year) (excluding APIR and ARPIR), or its default Avoidable Cost Rate (in \$/MW-year) if no unit-specific Avoidable Cost Rate is accepted for the BRAs for the Delivery Years included in the rolling 12-month period, determined pursuant to Sections 6.7 and 6.8 of Attachment DD of the Tariff. (The relevant Avoidable Cost Rate is the weighted average of the Avoidable Cost Rates for each Delivery Year included in the rolling 12-month period, weighted by month.)
- (iii) No portion of the unit is included in a FRR Capacity Plan or receiving compensation under Part V of the Tariff.
- (iv) The unit is internal to the PJM Region and subject only to PJM dispatch.

(c) Any generating unit, without regard to ownership, located at the same site as a Frequently Mitigated Unit qualifying under Sections 6.4.2(a)(iii) shall become an “Associated Unit” upon issuance of written notice from the Market Monitoring Unit pursuant to Section II.A of Attachment M-Appendix, that it meets all of the following criteria:

- 1. The unit has the identical electric impact on the transmission system as the FMU;
- 2. The unit (i) belongs to the same design class (where a design class includes generation that is the same size and utilizes the same technology, without regard to manufacturer) and uses the identical primary fuel as the FMU or (ii) is regularly dispatched by PJM as a substitute for the FMU based on differences in cost that result from the currently applicable FMU adder;
- 3. The unit (i) has an average daily cost-based offer, as measured over the preceding 12-month period, that is less than or equal to the FMU’s

average daily cost-based offer adjusted to include the currently applicable FMU adder or (ii) is regularly dispatched by PJM as a substitute for the FMU based on differences in cost that result from the currently applicable FMU adder.

The offer cap for an associated unit shall be equal to the incremental operating cost of such unit, as determined in accordance with Schedule 2 of the Operating Agreement and the PJM Manuals, plus the applicable percentage adder or dollar per megawatt-hour adder as specified in Section 6.4.2(a)(iii)(a), (b), or (c) for the unit with which it is associated.

(d) Market Participants shall have exclusive responsibility for preparing and submitting their offers on the basis of accurate information and in compliance with the FERC Market Rules, inclusive of the level of any applicable offer cap, and in no event shall PJM be held liable for the consequences of or make any retroactive adjustment to any clearing price on the basis of any offer submitted on the basis of inaccurate or non-compliant information.

6.4.3 Verification of Cost-Based Offers Over \$1,000/Megawatt-hour

(a) If a Market Seller submits a cost-based energy offer for a generation resource that includes an Incremental Energy Offer greater than \$1,000/megawatt-hour, then, in order for that offer to be eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Office of the Interconnection shall apply a formulaic screen to verify the reasonableness of the Incremental Energy Offer component of such cost-based offer. For each Incremental Energy Offer segment greater than \$1,000/megawatt-hour, the Office of the Interconnection shall evaluate whether such offer segment exceeds the reasonably expected costs for that generation resource by determining the Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost for each segment in accordance with the following formula:

Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost (\$/MWh segment in accordance with the following formula: @ MW) =

$$[(\text{Maximum Allowable Operating Rate}_i) - (\text{Bid Production Cost}_{i-1})] / (\text{MW}_i - \text{MW}_{i-1})$$

where

i = an offer segment within the Incremental Energy Offer, which is comprised of a pairing of price (\$/MWh) and a megawatt quantity

Maximum Allowable Operating Rate (\$/hour @ MW) =

$$[(\text{Heat Input}_i \text{ @ MW}_i) \times (\text{Performance Factor}) \times (\text{Fuel Cost})] \times (1 + A)$$

where

Heat Input = a point on the heat input curve (in MMBtu/hr), determined in accordance with PJM Manual 15, describing the resource's operational

characteristics for converting the applicable fuel input (MMBtu) into energy (MWh) specified in the Incremental Energy Offer;

Performance Factor = a scaling factor that is a calculated ratio of actual fuel burn to either theoretical fuel burn (i.e., design Heat Input) or other current tested Heat Input, which is determined annually in accordance with the Market Seller's PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy, Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, and PJM Manual 15, reflecting the resource's actual ability to convert fuel into energy (normal operation is 1.0);

Fuel Cost = applicable fuel cost as estimated by the Office of the Interconnection at a geographically appropriate commodity trading hub, plus 10 percent; and

A = Cost adder, in accordance with section 6.4.2(a)(ii) of this Schedule.

$$\text{Bid Production Cost (\$/hour @ MW)} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (MW_i - MW_{i-1}) \times (P_i) - \frac{1}{2} \times \text{UBS} \times (MW_i - MW_{i-1}) \times (P_i - P_{i-1}) \right] + \text{No-Load Cost}$$

where

MW = the MW quantity per offer segment within the Incremental Energy Offer;

P = the price (in dollars per megawatt-hour) per offer segment within the Incremental Energy Offer;

UBS = Uses Bid-Slope = 0 for block-offer resources (i.e., a resource with an Incremental Energy Offer that uses a step function curve); and 1 for all other resources (i.e., resources with an Incremental Energy Offer that uses a sloped offer curve); and

If the price submitted for the offer segment is less than or equal to the Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost then that offer segment shall be deemed verified and is eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price. If the price submitted for the offer segment is greater than the Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost, then the Market Seller's cost-based offer for that segment and all segments at an equal or greater price are deemed not verified and are not eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price and such offer shall be price capped at the greater of \$1,000/megawatt-hour or the offer price of the most expensive verified segment on the Incremental Energy Offer for the purpose of setting Locational Marginal Prices; provided however, such Market Seller shall be allowed to submit a challenge to a non-verification determination, including supporting documentation, to the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with the procedures set forth in the PJM Manuals. Upon review of such documentation, the Office of the Interconnection may determine that the Market Seller's cost-based offer is verified and eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price as described above.

- (i) For the first incremental segment ($i=1$), when the MW in the segment is greater than zero, the first segment shall be screened as a block-loaded segment ($UBS=0$) as if there was a preceding MW_{i-1} of zero. The Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost calculation for the first incremental would use a preceding Bid Production Cost $i-1$ (at zero MW) equal to the energy No-Load Cost.
- (ii) For the first incremental segment ($i=1$), when the MW in the segment is equal to zero, and is the only bid-in segment to be verified, then the segment shall be deemed not verified and subject to the rules as described above.
- (iii) For the first incremental segment ($i=1$), when the MW in the segment is equal to zero, and there are additional segments to be verified, then the first segment shall be deemed verified only if the second segment is deemed verified. If the second segment is deemed not verified, then the first segment shall also be deemed not verified and subject to the rules as described above.

(b) If an Economic Load Response Participant a cost-based demand reduction offer that includes incremental costs greater than or equal to \$1,000/megawatt-hour, in order for that offer to be eligible to determine the applicable Locational Marginal Price as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Economic Load Response Participant must validate the incremental costs with the end use customer(s) and, upon request, submit to the Office of the Interconnection supporting documentation demonstrating that the end-use customer's costs in providing such demand reduction are greater than \$1,000/megawatt-hour in accordance with the following provisions:

- (i) The supporting documentation must explain and support the quantification of the end-use customer's incremental costs; and
- (ii) The end use customer's incremental costs shall include quantifiable cost incurred for not consuming electricity when dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection, such as wages paid without production, lost sales, damaged products that cannot be sold, or other incremental costs as defined in the PJM Manuals or as approved by the Office of the Interconnection, and may not include shutdown costs.

If upon review of the supporting documentation for the Economic Load Response Participant's, cost-based offer by the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit determines that the offer was not reasonably supported by incremental costs greater than or equal to \$1,000/megawatt-hour, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit may refer the matter to the FERC Office of Enforcement for investigation.

6.4.3A Verification of Fast-Start Resource Composite Energy Offers Over \$1,000/Megawatt-hour

(a) If a Market Seller submits a cost-based offer for a generation resource that is a Fast-Start Resource that results in a Composite Energy Offer that is greater than \$1,000/megawatt-hour, then, in order for that Composite Energy Offer to be eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price under Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Office of the Interconnection shall apply a formulaic screen to verify the reasonableness of the offer components:

Incremental Energy Offer and No-load Cost components of each offer segment shall be evaluated for whether it exceeds the reasonably expected costs for that resource by applying the test described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3.

Start-Up Cost component shall be evaluated for whether it exceeds the reasonably expected costs for that resource by applying the following formula:

$$\text{Start-Up Cost (\$)} = [[(\text{Performance Factor}) \times (\text{Start Fuel}) \times (\text{Fuel Cost})] + \text{Start Maintenance Adder} + \text{Station Service Cost}] \times (1 + A)$$

Where:

Start Fuel =

For units without a soak process, "Start Fuel" shall consist of fuel consumed from first fire of the start process to first breaker closing, plus any fuel expended from last breaker opening to shutdown.

For units with a soak process, "Start Fuel" is fuel consumed from first fire of the start process (initial reactor criticality for nuclear units) to dispatchable output (including auxiliary boiler fuel), plus any fuel expended from last breaker opening to shutdown, excluding normal plant heating/auxiliary equipment fuel requirements. Start Fuel included for each temperature state from breaker closure to dispatchable output shall not exceed the unit specific soak time period reviewed and approved as part of the unit-specific parameter process detailed in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.6(c) or the defaults below:

- Cold Soak Time = 0.73 * unit specific Minimum Run Time (in hours)
- Intermediate Soak Time = 0.61 * unit specific Minimum Run Time (in hours)

- Hot Soak Time = 0.43 * unit specific Minimum Run Time (in hours);

~~fuel consumed from first fire of start process to breaker closing plus fuel expended from breaker opening of the previous shutdown to initialization of the (hot) unit start-up, excluding normal plant heating/auxiliary equipment fuel requirements;~~

Fuel Cost = applicable fuel cost as estimated by the Office of the Interconnection at a geographically appropriate commodity trading hub, plus 10 percent;

Performance Factor = a scaling factor that is a calculated ratio of actual fuel burn to either theoretical fuel burn (i.e., design Heat Input) or other current tested Heat Input, which is determined annually in accordance with the Market Seller's PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy under Operating Agreement, Schedule 2 and PJM Manual 15, reflecting the resource's actual ability to convert fuel into energy (normal operation is 1.0);

Start Maintenance Adder = an adder based on all available maintenance expense history for the defined Maintenance Period regardless of unit ownership. Only expenses incurred as a result of electric production qualify for inclusion. Only Maintenance Adders specified as \$/Start, \$/MMBtu, or \$/equivalent operating hour can be included in the Start Maintenance Adder;

~~Start Additional Labor = additional labor costs for startup required above normal station manning levels; and~~

Station Service Cost = station service usage (MWh) during start-up multiplied by the 12-month rolling average off-peak energy prices as updated quarterly by the Office of the Interconnection.

A = cost adder, in accordance with Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.2(a)(ii).

(b) Should the submitted Incremental Energy Offer and No-load Cost exceed the reasonably expected costs for that resource as calculated pursuant to subsection (a) above for any segment, then for the determination of Locational Marginal Prices as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices):

- (i) the Incremental Energy Offer for each segment shall be capped at the lesser of the cap described above in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3 or the submitted Incremental Energy Offer; and

- (ii) the amortized No-load cost shall be adjusted as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4 (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4A (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Day-ahead Prices).

(c) Should the submitted Start-Up Cost exceed the reasonably expected costs for that resource as calculated pursuant to subsection (a) above, then for the determination of Locational Marginal Prices as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Start-Up Costs shall be adjusted as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4 (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4A (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Day-ahead Prices).

(d) If an Economic Load Response Participant submits an offer to reduce demand for a Fast-Start Resource where the maximum segment of the resulting Composite Energy Offer exceeds \$1,000/megawatt-hour, then, in order for that Composite Energy Offer to be eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price under Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Economic Load Response Participant must validate such costs with the end use customer(s) and, upon request, submit to the Office of the Interconnection supporting documentation demonstrating that the end-use customer's costs in providing such demand reduction are greater than \$1,000/megawatt-hour in accordance with the following provisions:

- (i) The supporting documentation must explain and support the quantification of the end-use customer's incremental costs and shutdown costs; and

- (ii) The end use customer's incremental and shutdown costs shall include quantifiable cost incurred for not consuming electricity when dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection, such as wages paid without production, lost sales, damaged products that cannot be sold, or other incremental costs as defined in the PJM Manuals or as approved by the Office of the Interconnection.

If upon review of the supporting documentation for the Economic Load Response Participant's, cost-based offer by the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit determines that the offer was not reasonably supported by incremental and shutdown costs greater than or equal to \$1,000/megawatt-hour, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit may refer the matter to the FERC Office of Enforcement for investigation.

Should the submitted shutdown cost exceed the reasonably supported costs for that resource, then for the determination of Locational Marginal Prices as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the shutdown costs shall be adjusted as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4 (Determination of Energy Offers

Used in Calculating Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4A (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Day-ahead Prices).

SCHEDULE 2 - COMPONENTS OF COST

1. GENERAL COST PROVISIONS

1.1 Permissible Components of Cost-based Offers of Energy.

Each Market Participant obligated to sell energy on the PJM Interchange Energy Market at cost-based rates may include the following components or their equivalent in the determination of costs for energy supplied to or from the PJM Region:

(a) For generating units powered by boilers

Start-Up Costs ~~Firing-up cost (including Start Fuel)~~

Peak-prepared-for maintenance cost

(b) For generating units powered by machines

Start~~ing cost from cold to synchronized operation~~-Up Cost (including Start Fuel)

(c) For all generating units

Incremental maintenance cost

No-load cost during period of operation

Labor cost

Operating Costs

Opportunity Costs

Emission allowances/adders

Maintenance Adders

Ten percent adder

Charging costs for Energy Storage Resources

Fuel Cost

1.2 Method of Determining Cost Components.

The PJM Board, upon consideration of the advice and recommendations of the Members Committee, shall from time to time define in detail the method of determining the costs entering into the said components, and the Members shall adhere to such definitions in the preparation of incremental costs used on the Interconnection.

1.3 Application of Cost Components to Three-Part Cost-based Offers.

A cost-based offer, as defined in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 1.2, is a three-part offer consisting of Start-up Costs, No-load Costs, and the Incremental Energy Offer. These terms are as defined in Operating Agreement, section 1.

The following lists the categories of cost that may be applicable to a Market Participant's three-part cost-based offer:

(a) For Start-up Costs

Fuel cost
Emission allowances/adders
Maintenance Adders
Operating Costs
Station service
~~Labor costs~~

(b) For No-load Costs

Fuel cost
Emission allowances/adders
Maintenance Adders
Operating Costs

(c) Incremental Costs in Incremental Energy Offers

Fuel cost
Emission allowances/adders
Maintenance Adders
Operating Costs
Opportunity Costs

(d) All fuel costs shall employ the marginal fuel price experienced by the Member.

2. FUEL COST POLICY

2.1 Approved Fuel Cost Policy Requirement for Non-Zero Cost-based Offer.

A Market Seller may only submit a non-zero cost-based offer into the PJM Interchange Energy Market for a generation resource if it has a PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy, or follows the temporary cost offer methodology set forth in Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 6.3, consistent with each fuel type for such generation resource.

2.2 Fuel Cost Policy Approval Process.

(a) A Market Seller shall provide a Fuel Cost Policy to PJM and the Market Monitoring Unit for each generation resource that it intends to submit with a non-zero cost-based offer into the PJM Interchange Energy Market, for each fuel type utilized by the resource. The Market Seller shall submit its initial Fuel Cost Policy for a generation resource to PJM and the Market Monitoring Unit for review and shall update existing Fuel Cost Policies consistent with the requirements set forth below in Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 2.6.

(i) For each new generation resource for which the Market Seller intends to submit a non-zero cost-based offer, the Market Seller may also:

- A. Submit a provisional Fuel Cost Policy to PJM and the Market Monitoring Unit for review and approval when it does not have commercial operating data. The provisional Fuel Cost Policy shall describe the Market Seller's methodology to procure and price fuel and include all available operating data. Within 90 calendar days of the commercial operation date of such generation resource, the Market Seller shall submit to PJM and the Market Monitoring Unit for review an updated Fuel Cost Policy reflecting actual commercial operating data of the resource; or
 - B. Follow the temporary cost offer methodology set forth in Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 6.3, until PJM approves a new Fuel Cost Policy.
- (ii) A Market Seller of a generation resource that is transferred from another Market Seller that intends to submit a non-zero cost-based offer must:
- A. Affirm the currently approved Fuel Cost Policy on file for such generation resource prior to the submission of a cost-based offer; or
 - B. Submit an updated Fuel Cost Policy for review, which must be approved prior to the submission of a cost-based offer developed in accordance with such policy; or
 - C. Follow the temporary cost offer methodology set forth in Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 6.3, until PJM approved a new Fuel Cost Policy.
- (b) PJM and the Market Monitoring Unit will have an initial thirty (30) Business Days for review of a submitted policy.
- (c) The basis for the Market Monitoring Unit's review is described in Tariff, Attachment M-Appendix. PJM shall consult with the Market Monitoring Unit, and consider any input and advice timely received from the Market Monitoring Unit, in its determination of whether to approve a Market Seller's Fuel Cost Policy.
- (d) After it has completed its evaluation of the submitted Fuel Cost Policy, PJM shall notify the Market Seller in writing, with a copy to the Market Monitoring Unit, whether the Fuel Cost Policy is approved or rejected. If PJM rejects a Market Seller's Fuel Cost Policy, PJM shall include an explanation for why the Fuel Cost Policy was rejected in its written notification.
- (e) PJM shall establish an expiration date for each Fuel Cost Policy, with timely input and advice from the Market Monitoring Unit and Market Seller, and notify the Market Seller of such date at the time of the Fuel Cost Policy approval. Upon such expiration, the Fuel Cost Policy will no longer be deemed approved by PJM and the provisions of Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 2.4(b) shall apply.

2.3 Standard of Review.

(a) PJM shall review and approve a Fuel Cost Policy if it meets the requirements set forth in subsections (a)(i) through (vii) of this section. PJM shall reject Fuel Cost Policies that fail to meet such requirements and that do not accurately reflect the applicable costs, such as the fuel source, transportation cost, procurement process used, applicable adders, commodity cost, or provide sufficient information for PJM to verify the Market Seller's fuel cost at the time of the Market Seller's cost-based offer. If PJM rejects a Market Seller's Fuel Cost Policy, PJM shall include an explanation for why the Fuel Cost Policy was rejected in its written notification. A Fuel Cost Policy must:

(i) Provide information sufficient for the verification of the Market Seller's fuel pricing and/or cost estimation method, as further described below and in PJM Manual 15, and how those practices are utilized to determine cost-based offers the Market Seller submits into the PJM Interchange Energy Market;

(ii) Reflect the Market Seller's applicable commodity and/or transportation contracts (to the extent it holds such contracts) and the Market Seller's method of calculating delivered fossil fuel cost, limited to inventoried cost, replacement cost or a combination thereof, that reflect the way fuel is purchased or scheduled for purchase, and set forth all applicable indices as a measure that PJM can use to verify how anticipated spot market purchases are utilized in determining fuel costs;

(iii) Provide a detailed explanation of the basis for and reasonableness of any applicable adders included in determining fuel costs in accordance with PJM Manual 15;

(iv) Account for situations where applicable indices or other objective market measures are not sufficiently liquid by documenting the alternative means actually utilized by the Market Seller to price the applicable fuel used in the determination of its cost-based offers, such as documented quotes for the procurement of natural gas;

(v) Adhere to all requirements of PJM Manual 15 applicable to the generation resource;

(vi) Specify a source for fuel price that can be verified by the Office of the Interconnection or the Market Monitoring Unit after the fact with the same data available to the Market Seller at the time the fuel price estimation was made; and

(vii) Document a standardized method or methods for calculating fuel costs including defining objective triggers for optional fuel cost updates.

(b) To the extent a Market Seller proposes alternative measures to document its fuel costs in its Fuel Cost Policy for a generation resource, the Market Seller shall explain how such alternative measures are consistent with or superior to the standard specified in subsection (a) of this section, accounting for the unique circumstances associated with procurement of fuel to supply the generation resource.

(c) If PJM determines that a Fuel Cost Policy submitted for review does not contain adequate support for PJM to make a determination as to the acceptability of any portion of the proposed policy consistent with the standards set forth above, PJM shall reject the Fuel Cost Policy. If PJM rejects the Fuel Cost Policy, the Market Seller may use:

(i) The existing approved Fuel Cost Policy, if the policy is not expired and is still reflective of the Market Sellers current fuel pricing and/or cost estimation method; or

(ii) The temporary cost offer methodology provided in Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 6.3 to develop its cost-based offers until such time as PJM approves a new Fuel Cost Policy for the Market Seller.

2.4 Expiration of Approved Fuel Cost Policies.

(a) PJM, in consultation with the Market Seller and with timely input and advice from the Market Monitoring Unit, may:

(i) Update the Market Seller's Fuel Cost Policy expiration date, with at least 90 days notification to the Market Seller, due to a business rule change in the PJM Governing Documents.

(ii) Immediately expire the Market Seller's Fuel Cost Policy with written notification to the Market Seller when a change in circumstance causes the Market Seller's fuel pricing and/or cost estimation method to be no longer consistent with the approved Fuel Cost Policy, this Operating Agreement, Schedule 2 or PJM Manual 15.

(b) If the Market Seller of a generation resource that has been transferred from another Market Seller does not affirm the current approved Fuel Cost Policy on file for that generation resource, then such Fuel Cost Policy shall terminate as of the date on which the generation resource was transferred to the new Market Seller.

(c) PJM shall notify the Market Seller and the Market Monitoring Unit in writing when it has approved or denied a requested update to a Fuel Cost Policy expiration date and the rationale for its determination.

(d) On the next Business Day following the expiration of a Fuel Cost Policy, the Market Seller may only submit a cost-based offer of zero or a cost-based offer that is consistent with the temporary cost offer methodology in Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 6.3 until a new Fuel Cost Policy is approved by PJM for the relevant resource. If PJM expires a Market Seller's previously approved Fuel Cost Policy under Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 2.4(a)(i) or (ii), PJM shall notify the Market Seller in writing, with a copy to the Market Monitoring Unit, and include an explanation for the expiration, along with relevant documentation to support the expiration of a Fuel Cost Policy. Upon expiration, the Market Seller may rebut the expiration pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 6.2

2.5 Information Required To Be Included In Fuel Cost Policies.

(a) Each Market Seller shall include in its Fuel Cost Policy the following information, as further described in the applicable provisions of PJM Manual 15:

(i) For all Fuel Cost Policies, regardless of fuel type, the Market Seller shall provide a detailed explanation of the Market Seller's established method of calculating or estimating fuel costs, indicating whether fuel purchases are subject to a contract price and/or spot pricing, and specifying how it is determined which of the contract prices and/or spot market prices to use. The Market Seller shall include its method for determining commodity, handling and transportation costs.

(ii) For Fuel Cost Policies applicable to generation resources using a fuel source other than natural gas, the Market Seller shall adhere to the following guidelines:

1. Fuel costs for solar and run-of-river hydro resources shall be zero.
2. Fuel costs for nuclear resources shall not include in-service interest charges whether related to fuel that is leased or capitalized.
3. For Pumped Storage Hydro resources, fuel cost shall be determined based on the amount of energy necessary to pump from the lower reservoir to the upper reservoir.
4. For ~~wind~~all-resources receiving renewable energy credits and/or production tax credits that plan to submit a non-zero cost based offer into the energy market, the Market Seller shall identify how it accounts for renewable energy credits and production tax credits.
5. For solid waste, bio-mass and landfill gas resources, the Market Seller shall include the costs of such fuels even when the cost is negative.
6. For Energy Storage Resources, fuel cost shall include costs to charge for later injection to the grid.

(iii) Market Sellers shall report, for all of the generation resource's operating modes, fuels, and at various operating temperatures, the incremental, no load and start heat requirements, the method of developing heat inputs, and the frequency of updating heat inputs when requested by the Office of the Interconnection.

(iv) Market Sellers shall include any applicable unit specific performance factors, and the method used to determine them, which may be modified seasonally to reflect ambient conditions when requested by the Office of the Interconnection.

(v) Market Sellers shall include the cost-based Start-Up Cost calculation for the generation resource, and identify for each temperature state the starting fuel (MMBtu), station

service (MWh), and start Maintenance Adder, ~~and any Start Additional Labor Cost~~ when requested by the Office of the Interconnection.

(vi) A Fuel Cost Policy shall also include any other incremental operating costs included in a Market Seller's cost-based offer for a resource, including but not limited to the consumables used for operation and the marginal value of costs in terms of dollars per MWh or dollars per unit of fuel, along with all applicable descriptions, calculation methodologies associated with such costs, and frequency of updating such costs.

2.6 Periodic Update and Review of Fuel Cost Policies.

Prior to expiration of a Fuel Cost Policy, all Market Sellers will be required to either submit to PJM and the Market Monitoring Unit an updated Fuel Cost Policy that complies with this Operating Agreement, Schedule 2 and PJM Manual 15, or confirm that their expiring Fuel Cost Policy remains compliant, pursuant to the procedures and deadlines specified in PJM Manual 15. PJM shall consult with the Market Monitoring Unit, and consider any input timely received from the Market Monitoring Unit, in its determination of whether to approve a Market Seller's updated Fuel Cost Policy. After it has completed its evaluation of the request, PJM shall notify the Market Seller in writing, with a copy to the Market Monitoring Unit, of its determination whether the updated Fuel Cost Policy is approved or rejected. If PJM rejects a Market Seller's updated Fuel Cost Policy, in its written notification, PJM shall provide an explanation for why the Fuel Cost Policy was rejected.

The Market Seller shall follow the applicable processes and deadlines specified in this Operating Agreement, Schedule 2 and the PJM Manual 15 to submit an updated Fuel Cost Policy:

- (a) If the Market Seller's fuel pricing or cost estimation method is no longer consistent with the approved Fuel Cost Policy, or
- (b) If a Market Seller desires to update its Fuel Cost Policy.

2.7 Market Monitoring Unit Review For Market Power Concerns.

Nothing in this Operating Agreement, Schedule 2 is intended to abrogate or in any way alter the responsibility of the Market Monitoring Unit to make determinations about market power pursuant to Tariff, Attachment M and Attachment M-Appendix.

3. EMISSION ALLOWANCES/ADDERS

3.1 Review of Emissions Allowances/Adders.

- (a) For emissions costs, Market Sellers shall ~~report~~specify the emissions rate of each generation resource, the method for determining the emissions allowance cost, and the frequency of updating emission rates in the resource's Fuel Cost Policy. ~~Such adders~~ Emissions rates must be submitted to PJM and the Market Monitoring Unit. Emissions rates must be updated when they are no longer accurate, and reviewed at least annually by PJM and be changed if they are no

longer accurate. PJM shall establish an expiration date for emissions rates, with timely input and advice from the Market Monitoring Unit and Market Seller, and notify the Market Seller of such date at the time of the emissions rate approval. Market Sellers must submit updated rates prior to the expiration of the current adder. The Market Seller of a generation resource with an expired emission rate, or otherwise does not have an approved emission rate, may not include an emission adder in the cost-based offer associated with such generation resource.

(b) Market Sellers may submit emissions cost information to PJM and the Market Monitoring Unit as part of the information it submits during the annual Fuel Cost Policy review process, described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 2.6. The basis for the Market Monitoring Unit's review is described in Tariff, Attachment M-Appendix, section II.A.2. PJM shall consult with the Market Monitoring Unit, and consider any input and advice timely received from the Market Monitoring Unit, in its determination of whether to approve emissions costs.

4. MAINTENANCE ADDERS & OPERATING COSTS

4.1 Maintenance Adders

Maintenance Adders are expenses directly related to electric production and can be a function of starts and/or run hours. Allowable expenses may include repair, replacement, and major inspection, and overhaul expenses including variable long term service agreement expenses. Maintenance Adders are calculated as the 10 or 20 year average cost of a unit's maintenance history, or all available actual maintenance history if a unit has less than 20 years of maintenance history. The major inspection and overhaul costs listed below in sections (a)-(c) are not exhaustive. A Market Seller may include costs in cost-based offers if those costs are similar to the costs outlined in this provision, so long as they are variable costs that are directly attributable to the production of electricity.

(a) Major inspections and overhauls of gas turbine and steam turbine generators include, but are not limited to, the following costs:

- turbine blade repair/replacement;
- turbine diaphragm repair;
- casing repair/replacement;
- bearing repair/refurbishment;
- seal repair/replacement and generator refurbishment;
- heat transfer replacement and cleaning;
- cooling tower fan motor and gearbox inspection;
- cooling tower fill and drift eliminators replacement;
- Selective Catalytic Reduction and CO Reduction Catalyst replacement;
- Reverse Osmosis Cartridges replacement;
- air filter replacement;
- fuel and water pump inspection/replacement;

(b) Major maintenance of gas turbine generators directly related to electric production include, but are not limited to:

- compressor blade repair/replacement;
- hot gas path inspections, repairs, or replacements.

(c) Major maintenance of steam turbine generators directly related to electric production include, but are not limited to:

- stop valve repairs;
- throttle valve repairs;
- nozzle block repairs;
- intercept valve repairs.

(d) Maintenance Costs that cannot be included in a Market Seller's cost-based offer are preventative maintenance and routine maintenance on auxiliary equipment like buildings, HVAC, compressed air, closed cooling water, heat tracing/freeze protection, and water treatment.

4.2 Operating Costs

(a) Operating Costs are expenses related to consumable materials used during unit operation and include, but are not limited to, lubricants, chemicals, limestone, trona, ammonia, acids, caustics, water injection, activated carbon for mercury control, and demineralizers usage. These operating costs not exhaustive. A Market Seller may include other operating costs in cost-based offers so long as they are operating costs that are directly attributable to the production of energy.

(b) Operating Costs may be calculated based on a fixed or rolling average of values from one to five years in length, reviewed (and updated if changed) annually, or a rolling average from twelve to sixty months in length, reviewed (and updated if changed) monthly.

4.3 Labor Costs

Labor costs included in cost-based offers do not include straight-time labor costs and are limited to: ~~(1) start-up costs for additional staffing requirements and (2) contractor labor or plant personnel overtime labor included in the Maintenance Adder associated with maintenance activities directly related to electric production.~~ Straight time labor expenses may be included under an Avoidable Cost Rate in the RPM auction.

4.4 Review of Maintenance Adders & Operating Costs.

(a) Maintenance Adders and Operating Costs must be submitted and reviewed at least annually by PJM and be changed if they are no longer accurate. Maintenance Adders and Operating Costs cannot include any costs that are included in the generation resource's Avoidable Cost Rate pursuant to Tariff, Attachment DD, section 6.8(c).

- (b) Market Sellers must specify the maintenance history years utilized in calculating Maintenance Adders during the annual review.
- (c) Market Sellers must specify the years used to calculate Operating Costs during the annual review. Market Sellers that elect to use a six month to twelve month rolling average must submit these costs for a monthly review.
- (d) Market Sellers may submit Maintenance Adder and Operating Costs information to PJM and the Market Monitoring Unit as part of the information it submits during the annual Fuel Cost Policy review process, described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 2.6. The basis for the Market Monitoring Unit's review is described in Tariff, Attachment M-Appendix, section II.A.2. PJM shall consult with the Market Monitoring Unit, and consider any input and advice timely received from the Market Monitoring Unit, in its determination of whether to approve Maintenance Adders and Operating Costs.

5. OPPORTUNITY COSTS

- (a) For a generating unit that is subject to operational limitations due to energy or environmental limitations imposed on the generating unit by Applicable Laws and Regulations, the Market Participant may include a calculation of its "Opportunity Costs" which is an amount reflecting the unit-specific Energy Market Opportunity Costs expected to be incurred. Such unit-specific Energy Market Opportunity Costs are calculated by forecasting Locational Marginal Prices based on future contract prices for electricity using PJM Western Hub forward prices, taking into account historical variability and basis differentials for the bus at which the generating unit is located for the prior three year period immediately preceding the relevant compliance period, and subtract therefrom the forecasted costs to generate energy at the bus at which the generating unit is located, as specified in more detail in PJM Manual 15. If the difference between the forecasted Locational Marginal Prices and forecasted costs to generate energy is negative, the resulting Energy Market Opportunity Cost shall be zero. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a Market Participant may submit a request to PJM for consideration and approval of an alternative method of calculating its Energy Market Opportunity Cost if the standard methodology described herein does not accurately represent the Market Participant's Energy Market Opportunity Cost.
- (b) For a generating unit that is subject to operational limitations because it only has a limited number of starts or available run hours resulting from (i) the physical equipment limitations of the unit, for up to one year, due to original equipment manufacturer recommendations or insurance carrier restrictions, or (ii) a fuel supply limitation, for up to one year, resulting from an event of Catastrophic Force Majeure, the Market Participant may include a calculation of its "Opportunity Costs" which is an amount reflecting the unit-specific Non-Regulatory Opportunity Costs expected to be incurred. Such unit-specific Non-Regulatory Opportunity Costs are calculated by forecasting Locational Marginal Prices based on future contract prices for electricity using PJM Western Hub forward prices, taking into account historical variability and basis differentials for the bus at which the generating unit is located for the prior three year period immediately preceding the period of time in which the unit is bound

by the referenced restrictions, and subtract therefrom the forecasted costs to generate energy at the bus at which the generating unit is located, as specified in more detail in PJM Manual 15. If the difference between the forecasted Locational Marginal Prices and forecasted costs to generate energy is negative, the resulting Non-Regulatory Opportunity Cost shall be zero.

6. PENALTY PROVISIONS

6.1 Penalties.

(a) If upon review of a Market Seller’s cost-based offer, PJM determines that the offer is not in compliance with the Market Seller’s PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy or this Operating Agreement, Schedule 2 and the Market Monitoring Unit agrees with that determination, or the Market Monitoring Unit determines that the offer is not in compliance with the Market Seller’s PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy and PJM agrees with the Market Monitoring Unit’s determination, or PJM determines that any portion of the cost-based offer is not in compliance with this Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, the Market Seller shall be subject to a penalty. If:

1. The Market Seller ceased submitting the non-compliant offer either prior to, or upon notification from PJM, or the Market Seller reports such error to PJM after ceasing submission of the non-compliant cost-based offer then the penalty calculation will use the average hourly MWh and LMP for each hour of the day across the non-compliant period, as shown in the equation below. For the purposes of this equation, the non-compliant period is defined as the first hour of the Operating Day for which the non-compliant offer was first submitted through the earlier of: a) the last hour of the Operating Day for which the non-compliant offer was submitted (inclusive of all hours, even where the offer was correct, in between the same non-compliant offer); or b) notification of the non-compliant offer from PJM (inclusive of all hours, even where the offer was correct, in between the same non-compliant offer).

$$\text{Non-Escalating Penalty} = \sum_{h=1}^{24} \left(\left(\frac{1}{20} \right) \times \text{LMP}_h \times \text{MW}_h \times \text{E} \times \text{I} \right)$$

where:

h is the applicable hour of the Operating Day.

LMP_h is the average hourly real-time LMP at the applicable location of the resource for the given hour across the non-compliant period.

MW_h is the average hourly available capacity of the resource for the given hour across the non-compliant period, where available capacity is defined as the greater of the real-time megawatt output and emergency maximum of the generation resource.

E is the Market Seller error identification factor. The Market Seller error identification factor shall be equal 0.25 when the non-compliant offer is identified by the Market Seller without inquiry from or being prompted by PJM or the Market Monitoring Unit, and PJM, with timely input and advice from the Market Monitoring Unit, agrees that the Market Seller first identified the error. The Market Seller error identification shall equal 1 in the absence of a valid self-identified error.

I is the market impact factor over the duration of the non-compliant cost-based offer. The market impact factor shall be equal to 1 if the Market Seller continued submitting non-compliant offers after receiving notice from PJM of its non-compliant offer, or if the Market Seller continued submitting non-compliant offers after notifying PJM of the non-compliant cost-based offer, or when any of the following conditions exist for any hour throughout the duration of the non-compliant cost-based offer:

A. The generation resource clears in the Day-ahead Energy Market on the non-compliant cost-based offer, or runs in Real-time Energy Market on the non-compliant cost-based offer and is either:

(i) paid day-ahead or balancing operating reserves as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 3.2.3; or

(ii) The marginal resource for energy, transmission constraint control, regulation or reserves.

B. The Market Seller does not pass the three pivotal supplier test as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.1(e) and any of the following conditions apply:

(i) The generation resource is not committed

(ii) The generation resource runs on its cost-based offer

(iii) The generation resource is running on its market-based offer and it did not pass the three pivotal supplier test at the time of commitment

C. The non-compliant incremental cost-based offer is greater than \$1,000.MWh

If none of the above conditions apply, then the market impact factor shall be equal to 0.1

2. In addition to being issued the penalty described in 6.1(a)(1), a Market Seller will be subject to a daily escalating penalty for each day beyond which the Market Seller continues submitting the non-compliant cost-based offer after notification from PJM, or after the Market Seller reports such error to PJM. Escalating daily penalty will be calculated as shown in the equation below:

$$\text{Escalating Daily Penalty} = \sum_{h=1}^{24} \left(\left(\frac{d}{20} \right) \times \text{LMP}_h \times \text{MW}_h \right)$$

where:

d is the the number of days, starting at 2 and increasing by 1 for each additional day of non-compliance following notification, and capped at a value of 15.

h is the applicable hour of the Operating Day.

LMP_h is the hourly real-time LMP at the applicable pricing location for the resource for the applicable hour of the Operating Day.

MW_h is the hourly available capacity of the resource for the applicable hour of the Operating Day, where available capacity is defined as the greater of the real-time megawatt output and emergency maximum of the generation resource.

(b) All charges collected pursuant to this provision shall be allocated to Market Participants based on each Market Participant's real-time load ratio share for each applicable hour, as determined based on the Market Participant's total hourly load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero) to the total hourly load of all Market Participants in the PJM Region.

(c) Market Sellers that are assessed a penalty for a cost-based offer not in compliance with the Market Seller's PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy, the temporary cost offer methodology, or this Schedule 2 shall be assessed penalties until the day after PJM determines that the Market Seller's cost-based offers are in compliance with the Market Seller's approved Fuel Cost Policy or in compliance with this Schedule 2. Such penalties will be assessed for no less than one (1) Operating Day.

6.2 Rebuttal Period To Challenge Expiration of Fuel Cost Policy.

Market Sellers who have a Fuel Cost Policy that has been immediately expired by PJM will be provided a three (3) Business Day rebuttal period, starting from the date of expiration, to submit supporting documentation to PJM demonstrating that the expired Fuel Cost Policy accurately

reflects the fuel pricing and/or cost estimation method documented in the previously approved Fuel Cost Policy that was expired. However, if, upon review of the Market Seller's supporting documentation, PJM determines that the expired policy accurately reflects the Market Seller's actual methodology used to develop the cost-based offer that was submitted at the time of expiration and that the Market Seller has not violated its Fuel Cost Policy, then PJM will make whole the Market Seller via uplift payments for the time period for which the applicable Fuel Cost Policy had been expired and the generation resource was mitigated to its cost-based offer.

6.3 Exemption From Penalty

(a) A Market Seller will not be subject to a penalty under Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 6.1 for utilizing a fuel pricing and/or cost estimation method inconsistent with the methodology in the Market Seller's PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy or this Operating Agreement, Schedule 2 if the reason for fuel pricing and/or cost estimation deviation is due to an unforeseen event outside of the control of the Market Seller, its agents, and its affiliated fuel suppliers which, by exercise of due diligence the Market Seller could not reasonably have contemplated at the time the Fuel Cost Policy was developed, such as:

(i) physical events such as acts of God, landslides, lightning, earthquakes, fires, storms or storm warnings, such as hurricanes, which result in evacuation of the affected area, floods, washouts, explosions, breakage or accident or necessity of repairs to machinery or equipment or lines of pipe;

(ii) weather related events affecting an entire geographic region, such as low temperatures which cause freezing or failure of wells or lines of pipe or other fuel delivery infrastructure;

(iii) interruption and/or curtailment of firm transportation and/or storage by transporters;

(iv) acts of unaffiliated third parties including but not limited to strikes, lockouts or other industrial disturbances, riots, sabotage, insurrections or wars, or acts of terror; and

(v) governmental actions such as necessity for compliance with any court order, law, statute, ordinance, regulation, or policy having the effect of law promulgated by a governmental authority having jurisdiction.

(b) Market Seller shall provide evidence of the event and direct impact on the Market Seller's ability to utilize a fuel pricing and/or cost estimation method consistent with the methodology in the Market Seller's PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy or this Operating Agreement, Schedule 2. Such evidence shall be provided to PJM and the Market Monitoring Unit. Upon providing such evidence to PJM and the Market Monitoring Unit, and after receiving timely comments from the Market Monitoring Unit, PJM shall determine and notify the Market Seller as to whether the evidence sufficiently demonstrates that the force majeure event directly impacted the Market Seller's ability to conform to the methodology described in the applicable PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy. The applicability of this provision shall not apply for economic hardship nor obviate the requirement for a Market Seller to submit cost-based offers that are just and reasonable, and utilize best available information to develop fuel costs during a force majeure event.

6.4 Temporary Cost Offer Methodology

(a) As an option, Market Sellers may utilize the temporary cost offer methodology to calculate a generation resource's cost-based offer while developing a new Fuel Cost Policy in good faith for the following:

- (i) Generation resources that initiate participation in the PJM Energy Market
- (ii) Generation resources transferring from one Market Seller to another Market Seller
- (iii) Generation resources that have an expired Fuel Cost Policy

(b) The temporary cost offer methodology shall be comprised of the index settle price, described below, at the PJM-assigned commodity pricing point multiplied by heat input curves submitted by the Market Seller, as described in Manual 15.

For generation resources that opt-out of intraday offers, the last published closing index settle price shall be used for all hours of the Operating Day.

For generation resources that opt-in to intraday offers, index settle prices shall be based on the last published closing settle price for all hours of the Operating Day, and updated to reflect the:

- 1. last published closing settle price, if decreased, for hours ending 11 through 24 for natural gas
- 2. last published closing settle price, if decreased, for all hours of the Operating Day for all other fuel types

(c) The commodity pricing point and index publication source shall be assigned by PJM in consultation with the Market Seller and with timely input and advice from the Market Monitoring Unit.

(d) A Market Seller may not include any of the other permissible components for cost-based offers that listed in this Operating Agreement, section 1.1.

(e) If a Market Seller without a PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy does not utilize this temporary cost offer methodology to calculate its cost-based offer, the Market Seller shall only submit a zero cost-based offer.

Attachment B

Revisions to the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff and PJM Operating Agreement

(Clean Format)

Revisions to the
PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff

(Clean Format)

Definitions – R - S

Ramping Capability:

“Ramping Capability” shall mean the sustained rate of change of generator output, in megawatts per minute.

Real-time Congestion Price:

“Real-time Congestion Price” shall mean the Congestion Price resulting from the Office of the Interconnection’s dispatch of the PJM Interchange Energy Market in the Operating Day.

Real-time Loss Price:

“Real-time Loss Price” shall mean the Loss Price resulting from the Office of the Interconnection’s dispatch of the PJM Interchange Energy Market in the Operating Day.

Real-time Energy Market:

“Real-time Energy Market” shall mean the purchase or sale of energy and payment of Transmission Congestion Charges for quantity deviations from the Day-ahead Energy Market in the Operating Day.

Real-time Offer:

“Real-time Offer” shall mean a new offer or an update to a Market Seller’s existing cost-based or market-based offer for a clock hour, submitted for use after the close of the Day-ahead Energy Market.

Real-time Prices:

“Real-time Prices” shall mean the Locational Marginal Prices resulting from the Office of the Interconnection’s dispatch of the PJM Interchange Energy Market in the Operating Day.

Real-time Settlement Interval:

“Real-time Settlement Interval” shall mean the interval used by settlements, which shall be every five minutes.

Real-time System Energy Price:

“Real-time System Energy Price” shall mean the System Energy Price resulting from the Office of the Interconnection’s dispatch of the PJM Interchange Energy Market in the Operating Day.

Reasonable Efforts:

“Reasonable Efforts” shall mean, with respect to any action required to be made, attempted, or taken by an Interconnection Party or by a Construction Party under Tariff, Part IV or Part VI, an Interconnection Service Agreement, or a Construction Service Agreement, such efforts as are timely and consistent with Good Utility Practice and with efforts that such party would undertake for the protection of its own interests.

Receiving Party:

“Receiving Party” shall mean the entity receiving the capacity and energy transmitted by the Transmission Provider to Point(s) of Delivery.

Referral:

“Referral” shall mean a formal report of the Market Monitoring Unit to the Commission for investigation of behavior of a Market Participant, of behavior of PJM, or of a market design flaw, pursuant to Tariff, Attachment M, section IV.I.

Reference Resource:

“Reference Resource” shall mean a combustion turbine generating station, configured with a single General Electric Frame 7HA turbine with evaporative cooling, Selective Catalytic Reduction technology all CONE Areas, dual fuel capability, and a heat rate of 9.134 Mmbtu/MWh.

Regional Entity:

“Regional Entity” shall have the same meaning specified in the Operating Agreement.

Regional Transmission Expansion Plan:

“Regional Transmission Expansion Plan” shall mean the plan prepared by the Office of the Interconnection pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 6 for the enhancement and expansion of the Transmission System in order to meet the demands for firm transmission service in the PJM Region.

Regional Transmission Group (RTG):

“Regional Transmission Group” or “RTG” shall mean a voluntary organization of transmission owners, transmission users and other entities approved by the Commission to efficiently coordinate transmission planning (and expansion), operation and use on a regional (and interregional) basis.

Regulation:

“Regulation” shall mean the capability of a specific generation resource or Demand Resource with appropriate telecommunications, control and response capability to separately increase and

decrease its output or adjust load in response to a regulating control signal, in accordance with the specifications in the PJM Manuals.

Regulation Zone:

“Regulation Zone” shall mean any of those one or more geographic areas, each consisting of a combination of one or more Control Zone(s) as designated by the Office of the Interconnection in the PJM Manuals, relevant to provision of, and requirements for, regulation service.

Relevant Electric Retail Regulatory Authority:

“Relevant Electric Retail Regulatory Authority” shall mean an entity that has jurisdiction over and establishes prices and policies for competition for providers of retail electric service to end-customers, such as the city council for a municipal utility, the governing board of a cooperative utility, the state public utility commission or any other such entity.

Reliability Assurance Agreement or PJM Reliability Assurance Agreement:

“Reliability Assurance Agreement” or “PJM Reliability Assurance Agreement” shall mean that certain Reliability Assurance Agreement Among Load Serving Entities in the PJM Region, on file with FERC as PJM Interconnection L.L.C. Rate Schedule FERC No. 44, and as amended from time to time thereafter.

Reliability Pricing Model Auction:

“Reliability Pricing Model Auction” or “RPM Auction” shall mean the Base Residual Auction or any Incremental Auction, or, for the 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 Delivery Years, any Capacity Performance Transition Incremental Auction.

Required Transmission Enhancements:

“Regional Transmission Enhancements” shall mean enhancements and expansions of the Transmission System that (1) a Regional Transmission Expansion Plan developed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 6 or (2) any joint planning or coordination agreement between PJM and another region or transmission planning authority set forth in Tariff, Schedule 12-Appendix B (“Appendix B Agreement”) designates one or more of the Transmission Owner(s) to construct and own or finance. Required Transmission Enhancements shall also include enhancements and expansions of facilities in another region or planning authority that meet the definition of transmission facilities pursuant to FERC’s Uniform System of Accounts or have been classified as transmission facilities in a ruling by FERC addressing such facilities constructed pursuant to an Appendix B Agreement cost responsibility for which has been assigned at least in part to PJM pursuant to such Appendix B Agreement.

Reserved Capacity:

“Reserved Capacity” shall mean the maximum amount of capacity and energy that the Transmission Provider agrees to transmit for the Transmission Customer over the Transmission Provider’s Transmission System between the Point(s) of Receipt and the Point(s) of Delivery under Tariff, Part II. Reserved Capacity shall be expressed in terms of whole megawatts on a sixty (60) minute interval (commencing on the clock hour) basis.

Reserve Penalty Factor:

“Reserve Penalty Factor” shall mean the cost, in \$/MWh, associated with being unable to meet a specific reserve requirement in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone. A Reserve Penalty Factor will be defined for each reserve requirement in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone.

Reserve Sub-zone:

“Reserve Sub-zone” shall mean any of those geographic areas wholly contained within a Reserve Zone, consisting of a combination of a portion of one or more Control Zone(s) as designated by the Office of the Interconnection in the PJM Manuals, relevant to provision of, and requirements for, reserve service.

Reserve Zone:

“Reserve Zone” shall mean any of those geographic areas consisting of a combination of one or more Control Zone(s), as designated by the Office of the Interconnection in the PJM Manuals, relevant to provision of, and requirements for, reserve service.

Residual Auction Revenue Rights:

“Residual Auction Revenue Rights” shall mean incremental stage 1 Auction Revenue Rights created within a Planning Period by an increase in transmission system capability, including the return to service of existing transmission capability, that was not modeled pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 7.5 and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 7.5 in compliance with Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 7.4.2 (h) and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 7.4.2(h), and, if modeled, would have increased the amount of stage 1 Auction Revenue Rights allocated pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 7.4.2 and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 7.4.2; provided that, the foregoing notwithstanding, Residual Auction Revenue Rights shall exclude: 1) Incremental Auction Revenue Rights allocated pursuant to Tariff, Part VI; and 2) Auction Revenue Rights allocated to entities that are assigned cost responsibility pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 6 for transmission upgrades that create such rights.

Residual Metered Load:

“Residual Metered Load” shall mean all load remaining in an electric distribution company’s fully metered franchise area(s) or service territory(ies) after all nodally priced load of entities serving load in such area(s) or territory(ies) has been carved out.

Resource Substitution Charge:

“Resource Substitution Charge” shall mean a charge assessed on Capacity Market Buyers in an Incremental Auction to recover the cost of replacement Capacity Resources.

Revenue Data for Settlements:

“Revenue Data for Settlements” shall mean energy quantities used in accounting and billing as determined pursuant to Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix and the corresponding provisions of Operating Agreement, Schedule 1.

RPM Seller Credit:

“RPM Seller Credit” shall mean an additional form of Unsecured Credit defined in Tariff, Attachment Q, section IV.

Scheduled Incremental Auctions:

“Scheduled Incremental Auctions” shall refer to the First, Second, or Third Incremental Auction.

Schedule of Work:

“Schedule of Work” shall mean that schedule attached to the Interconnection Construction Service Agreement setting forth the timing of work to be performed by the Constructing Entity pursuant to the Interconnection Construction Service Agreement, based upon the Facilities Study and subject to modification, as required, in accordance with Transmission Provider’s scope change process for interconnection projects set forth in the PJM Manuals.

Scope of Work:

“Scope of Work” shall mean that scope of the work attached as a schedule to the Interconnection Construction Service Agreement and to be performed by the Constructing Entity(ies) pursuant to the Interconnection Construction Service Agreement, provided that such Scope of Work may be modified, as required, in accordance with Transmission Provider’s scope change process for interconnection projects set forth in the PJM Manuals.

Seasonal Capacity Performance Resource:

“Seasonal Capacity Performance Resource” shall have the same meaning specified in Tariff, Attachment DD, section 5.5A.

Secondary Reserve:

“Secondary Reserve” shall mean the reserve capability of generation resources that can be converted fully into energy or Economic Load Response Participant resources whose demand

can be reduced within 30 minutes (less the capability of such resources to provide Primary Reserve), from the request of the Office of the Interconnection, regardless of whether the equipment providing the reserve is electrically synchronized to the Transmission System or not.

Secondary Systems:

“Secondary Systems” shall mean control or power circuits that operate below 600 volts, AC or DC, including, but not limited to, any hardware, control or protective devices, cables, conductors, electric raceways, secondary equipment panels, transducers, batteries, chargers, and voltage and current transformers.

Second Incremental Auction:

“Second Incremental Auction” shall mean an Incremental Auction conducted ten months before the Delivery Year to which it relates.

Security:

“Security” shall mean the security provided by the New Service Customer pursuant to Tariff, section 212.4 or Tariff, Part VI, section 213.4 to secure the New Service Customer’s responsibility for Costs under the Interconnection Service Agreement or Upgrade Construction Service Agreement and Tariff, Part VI, section 217.

Segment:

“Segment” shall have the same meaning as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 3.2.3(e).

Self-Supply:

“Self-Supply” shall mean Capacity Resources secured by a Load-Serving Entity, by ownership or contract, outside a Reliability Pricing Model Auction, and used to meet obligations under this Attachment or the Reliability Assurance Agreement through submission in a Base Residual Auction or an Incremental Auction of a Sell Offer indicating such Market Seller’s intent that such Capacity Resource be Self-Supply. Self-Supply may be either committed regardless of clearing price or submitted as a Sell Offer with a price bid. A Load Serving Entity’s Sell Offer with a price bid for an owned or contracted Capacity Resource shall not be deemed “Self-Supply,” unless it is designated as Self-Supply and used by the LSE to meet obligations under this Attachment or the Reliability Assurance Agreement.

Self-Supply Entity:

“Self-Supply Entity” shall mean the following types of Load Serving Entity that operate under long-standing business models: single customer entity, public power entity, or vertically integrated utility, where “vertically integrated utility” means a utility that owns generation, includes such generation in its regulated rates, and earns a regulated return on its investment in such generation or receives any cost recovery for such generation through bilateral contracts;

“single customer entity” means a Load Serving Entity that serves at retail only customers that are under common control with such Load Serving Entity, where such control means holding 51% or more of the voting securities or voting interests of the Load Serving Entity and all its retail customers; and “public power entity” means cooperative and municipal utilities, including public power supply entities comprised of either or both of the same and rural electric cooperatives, and joint action agencies.

Self-Supply Seller:

“Self-Supply Seller” shall mean, for purposes of evaluating Buyer-Side Market Power, the following types of Load Serving Entities that operate under long-standing business models: vertically integrated utility or public power entity, where “vertically integrated utility” means a utility that owns generation, includes such generation in its state-regulated rates, and earns a state-regulated return on its investment in such generation; and “public power entity” means electric cooperatives that are either rate regulated by the state or have their long-term resource plan approved or otherwise reviewed and accepted by a Relevant Electric Retail Regulatory Authority and municipal utilities or joint action agencies that are subject to direct regulation by a Relevant Electric Retail Regulatory Authority.

Sell Offer:

“Sell Offer” shall mean an offer to sell Capacity Resources in a Base Residual Auction, Incremental Auction, or Reliability Backstop Auction.

Service Agreement:

“Service Agreement” shall mean the initial agreement and any amendments or supplements thereto entered into by the Transmission Customer and the Transmission Provider for service under the Tariff.

Service Commencement Date:

“Service Commencement Date” shall mean the date the Transmission Provider begins to provide service pursuant to the terms of an executed Service Agreement, or the date the Transmission Provider begins to provide service in accordance with Tariff, Part II, section 15.3 or Tariff, Part III, section 29.1.

Short-Term Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service:

“Short-Term Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service” shall mean Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service under Tariff, Part II with a term of less than one year.

Short-term Project:

“Short-term Project” shall have the same meaning provided in the Operating Agreement.

Short-Term Resource Procurement Target:

“Short-Term Resource Procurement Target” shall mean, for Delivery Years through May 31, 2018, as to the PJM Region, for purposes of the Base Residual Auction, 2.5% of the PJM Region Reliability Requirement determined for such Base Residual Auction, for purposes of the First Incremental Auction, 2% of the of the PJM Region Reliability Requirement as calculated at the time of the Base Residual Auction; and, for purposes of the Second Incremental Auction, 1.5% of the of the PJM Region Reliability Requirement as calculated at the time of the Base Residual Auction; and, as to any Zone, an allocation of the PJM Region Short-Term Resource Procurement Target based on the Preliminary Zonal Forecast Peak Load, reduced by the amount of load served under the FRR Alternative. For any LDA, the LDA Short-Term Resource Procurement Target shall be the sum of the Short-Term Resource Procurement Targets of all Zones in the LDA.

Short-Term Resource Procurement Target Applicable Share:

“Short-Term Resource Procurement Target Applicable Share” shall mean, for Delivery Years through May 31, 2018: (i) for the PJM Region, as to the First and Second Incremental Auctions, 0.2 times the Short-Term Resource Procurement Target used in the Base Residual Auction and, as to the Third Incremental Auction for the PJM Region, 0.6 times such target; and (ii) for an LDA, as to the First and Second Incremental Auctions, 0.2 times the Short-Term Resource Procurement Target used in the Base Residual Auction for such LDA and, as to the Third Incremental Auction, 0.6 times such target.

Site:

“Site” shall mean all of the real property, including but not limited to any leased real property and easements, on which the Customer Facility is situated and/or on which the Customer Interconnection Facilities are to be located.

Small Commercial Customer:

“Small Commercial Customer,” as used in RAA, Schedule 6 and Tariff, Attachment DD-1, shall mean a commercial retail electric end-use customer of an electric distribution company that participates in a mass market demand response program under the jurisdiction of a RERRA and satisfies the definition of a “small commercial customer” under the terms of the applicable RERRA’s program, provided that the customer has an annual peak demand no greater than 100kW.

Small Generation Resource:

“Small Generation Resource” shall mean an Interconnection Customer’s device of 20 MW or less for the production and/or storage for later injection of electricity identified in an Interconnection Request, but shall not include the Interconnection Customer’s Interconnection Facilities. This term shall include Energy Storage Resources and/or other devices for storage for later injection of energy.

Small Inverter Facility:

“Small Inverter Facility” shall mean an Energy Resource that is a certified small inverter-based facility no larger than 10 kW.

Small Inverter ISA:

“Small Inverter ISA” shall mean an agreement among Transmission Provider, Interconnection Customer, and Interconnected Transmission Owner regarding interconnection of a Small Inverter Facility under Tariff, Part IV, section 112B.

Special Member:

“Special Member” shall mean an entity that satisfies the requirements of Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 1.5A.02, and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 1.5A.02, or the special membership provisions established under the Emergency Load Response and Pre-Emergency Load Response Programs.

Spot Market Backup:

“Spot Market Backup” shall mean the purchase of energy from, or the delivery of energy to, the PJM Interchange Energy Market in quantities sufficient to complete the delivery or receipt obligations of a bilateral contract that has been curtailed or interrupted for any reason.

Spot Market Energy:

“Spot Market Energy” shall mean energy bought or sold by Market Participants through the PJM Interchange Energy Market at System Energy Prices determined as specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2, and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.

Start Additional Labor Costs:

“Start Additional Labor Costs” shall mean additional labor costs for startup required above normal station manning levels.

Start Fuel:

For units without a soak process, “Start Fuel” shall consist of fuel consumed from first fire of the start process to first breaker closing, plus any fuel expended from last breaker opening to shutdown.

For units with a soak process, “Start Fuel” is fuel consumed from first fire of the start process (initial reactor criticality for nuclear units) to dispatchable output (including auxiliary boiler fuel), plus any fuel expended from last breaker opening to shutdown, excluding normal plant

heating/auxiliary equipment fuel requirements. Start Fuel included for each temperature state from breaker closure to dispatchable output shall not exceed the unit specific soak time period reviewed and approved as part of the unit-specific parameter process detailed in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.6(c) or the defaults below:

- Cold Soak Time = $0.73 * \text{unit specific Minimum Run Time (in hours)}$
- Intermediate Soak Time = $0.61 * \text{unit specific Minimum Run Time (in hours)}$
- Hot Soak Time = $0.43 * \text{unit specific Minimum Run Time (in hours)}$

Start-Up Costs:

“Start-Up Costs” shall consist primarily of the cost of fuel, as determined by the unit’s start heat input (adjusted by the performance factor) times the fuel cost. It also includes operating costs, Maintenance Adders, emissions allowances/adders, and station service cost. Start-Up Costs can vary with the unit offline time being categorized in three unit temperature conditions: hot, intermediate and cold.

For units with a steam turbine and a soak process (nuclear, steam, and combined cycle), “Start Fuel” is fuel consumed from first fire of start process (initial reactor criticality for nuclear units): Start-Up Costs shall mean the net unit costs from PJM’s notification to the level at which the unit can follow PJM’s dispatch, and from last breaker open to shutdown.

For units without a steam turbine and no soak process (engines, combustion turbines, Intermittent Resources, and Energy Storage Resources): Start-Up Costs shall mean the unit costs from PJM’s notification to first breaker close and from last breaker open to shutdown.

State:

“State” shall mean the District of Columbia and any State or Commonwealth of the United States.

State Commission:

“State Commission” shall mean any state regulatory agency having jurisdiction over retail electricity sales in any State in the PJM Region.

State Estimator:

“State Estimator” shall mean the computer model of power flows specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.3 and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.3.

State Subsidy:

“State Subsidy” shall mean a direct or indirect payment, concession, rebate, subsidy, non-bypassable consumer charge, or other financial benefit that is as a result of any action, mandated

process, or sponsored process of a state government, a political subdivision or agency of a state, or an electric cooperative formed pursuant to state law, and that

(1) is derived from or connected to the procurement of (a) electricity or electric generation capacity sold at wholesale in interstate commerce, or (b) an attribute of the generation process for electricity or electric generation capacity sold at wholesale in interstate commerce; or

(2) will support the construction, development, or operation of a new or existing Capacity Resource; or

(3) could have the effect of allowing the unit to clear in any PJM capacity auction.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, State Subsidy shall not include (a) payments, concessions, rebates, subsidies, or incentives designed to incent, or participation in a program, contract or other arrangement that utilizes criteria designed to incent or promote, general industrial development in an area or designed to incent siting facilities in that county or locality rather than another county or locality; (b) state action that imposes a tax or assesses a charge utilizing the parameters of a regional program on a given set of resources notwithstanding the tax or cost having indirect benefits on resources not subject to the tax or cost (e.g., Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative); (c) any indirect benefits to a Capacity Resource as a result of any transmission project approved as part of the Regional Transmission Expansion Plan; (d) any contract, legally enforceable obligation, or rate pursuant to the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act or any other state-administered federal regulatory program (e.g., the Cross-State Air Pollution Rule); (e) any revenues from the sale or allocation, either direct or indirect, to an Entity Providing Supply Services to Default Retail Service Provider where such entity's obligations was awarded through a state default procurement auction that was subject to independent oversight by a consultant or manager who certifies that the auction was conducted through a non-discriminatory and competitive bidding process, subject to the below condition, and provided further that nothing herein would exempt a Capacity Resource that would otherwise be subject to the minimum offer price rule pursuant to this Tariff; (f) any revenues for providing capacity as part of an FRR Capacity Plan or through bilateral transactions with FRR Entities; or (g) any voluntary and arm's length bilateral transaction (including but not limited to those reported pursuant to Tariff, Attachment DD, section 4.6), such as a power purchase agreement or other similar contract where the buyer is a Self-Supply Entity and the transaction is (1) a short term transaction (one-year or less) or (2) a long-term transaction that is the result of a competitive process that was not fuel-specific and is not used for the purpose of supporting uneconomic construction, development, or operation of the subject Capacity Resource, provided however that if the Self-Supply Entity is responsible for offering the Capacity Resource into an RPM Auction, the specified amount of installed capacity purchased by such Self-Supply Entity shall be considered to receive a State Subsidy in the same manner, under the same conditions, and to the same extent as any other Capacity Resource of a Self-Supply Entity. For purposes of subsection (e) of this definition, a state default procurement auction that has been certified to be a result of a non-discriminatory and competitive bidding process shall:

(i) have no conditions based on the ownership (except supplier diversity requirements or limits), location (except to meet PJM deliverability requirements), affiliation, fuel type, technology, or emissions of any resources or supply (except state-mandated renewable portfolio standards for which Capacity Resources are separately subject to the minimum offer price rule or eligible for an exemption);

- (ii) result in contracts between an Entity Providing Supply Services to Default Retail Service Provider and the electric distribution company for a retail default generation supply product and none of those contracts require that the retail obligation be sourced from any specific Capacity Resource or resource type as set forth in subsection (i) above; and
- (iii) establish market-based compensation for a retail default generation supply product that retail customers can avoid paying for by obtaining supply from a competitive retail supplier of their choice.

State of Charge:

“State of Charge” shall mean the quantity of physical energy stored in an Energy Storage Resource Model Participant or in the storage component of a Hybrid Resource in proportion to its maximum State of Charge capability. State of Charge is quantified as defined in the PJM Manuals.

State of Charge Management:

“State of Charge Management” shall mean the control of State of Charge of an Energy Storage Resource Market Participant or Hybrid Resource using minimum and maximum discharge (and, as applicable, charge) limits, changes in operating mode (as applicable), discharging (and, as applicable, charging) offer curves, and self-scheduling of non-dispatchable sales (and, as applicable, purchases) of energy in the PJM markets. State of Charge Management shall not interfere with the obligation of a Market Seller of an Energy Storage Resource Model Participant or of a Hybrid Resource to follow PJM dispatch, consistent with all other resources.

Station Power:

“Station Power” shall mean energy used for operating the electric equipment on the site of a generation facility located in the PJM Region or for the heating, lighting, air-conditioning and office equipment needs of buildings on the site of such a generation facility that are used in the operation, maintenance, or repair of the facility. Station Power does not include any energy (i) used to power synchronous condensers; (ii) used for pumping at a pumped storage facility; (iii) used in association with restoration or black start service; or (iv) that is Direct Charging Energy.

Sub-Annual Resource Constraint:

“Sub-Annual Resource Constraint” shall mean, for the 2017/2018 Delivery Year and for FRR Capacity Plans the 2017/2018 and 2018/2019 Delivery Years, for the PJM Region or for each LDA for which the Office of the Interconnection is required under Tariff, Attachment DD, section 5.10(a) to establish a separate VRR Curve for a Delivery Year, a limit on the total amount of Unforced Capacity that can be committed as Limited Demand Resources and Extended Summer Demand Resources for the 2017/2018 Delivery Year in the PJM Region or in such LDA, calculated as the Sub-Annual Resource Reliability Target for the PJM Region or for such LDA, respectively, minus the Short-Term Resource Procurement Target for the PJM Region or for such LDA, respectively.

Sub-Annual Resource Price Decrement:

“Sub-Annual Resource Price Decrement” shall mean, for the 2017/2018 Delivery Year, a difference between the clearing price for Extended Summer Demand Resources and the clearing price for Annual Resources, representing the cost to procure additional Annual Resources out of merit order when the Sub-Annual Resource Constraint is binding.

Sub-Annual Resource Reliability Target:

“Sub-Annual Reliability Target” for the PJM Region or an LDA, shall mean the maximum amount of the combination of Extended Summer Demand Resources and Limited Demand Resources in Unforced Capacity determined by PJM to be consistent with the maintenance of reliability, stated in Unforced Capacity, that shall be used to calculate the Minimum Annual Resource Requirement for Delivery Years through May 31, 2017 and the Sub-Annual Resource Constraint for the 2017/2018 and 2018/2019 Delivery Years. As more fully set forth in the PJM Manuals, PJM calculates the Sub-Annual Resource Reliability Target, by first determining a reference annual loss of load expectation (“LOLE”) assuming no Demand Resources. The calculation for the unconstrained portion of the PJM Region uses a daily distribution of loads under a range of weather scenarios (based on the most recent load forecast and iteratively shifting the load distributions to result in the Installed Reserve Margin established for the Delivery Year in question) and a weekly capacity distribution (based on the cumulative capacity availability distributions developed for the Installed Reserve Margin study for the Delivery Year in question). The calculation for each relevant LDA uses a daily distribution of loads under a range of weather scenarios (based on the most recent load forecast for the Delivery Year in question) and a weekly capacity distribution (based on the cumulative capacity availability distributions developed for the Capacity Emergency Transfer Objective study for the Delivery Year in question). For the relevant LDA calculation, the weekly capacity distributions are adjusted to reflect the Capacity Emergency Transfer Limit for the Delivery Year in question.

For both the PJM Region and LDA analyses, PJM then models the commitment of varying amounts of DR (displacing otherwise committed generation) as interruptible from May 1 through October 31 and unavailable from November 1 through April 30 and calculates the LOLE at each DR level. The Extended Summer DR Reliability Target is the DR amount, stated as a percentage of the unrestricted peak load, that produces no more than a ten percent increase in the LOLE, compared to the reference value. The Sub-Annual Resource Reliability Target shall be expressed as a percentage of the forecasted peak load of the PJM Region or such LDA and is converted to Unforced Capacity by multiplying [the reliability target percentage] times [the Forecast Pool Requirement] times [the DR Factor] times [the forecasted peak load of the PJM Region or such LDA, reduced by the amount of load served under the FRR Alternative].

Sub-meter:

“Sub-meter” shall mean a metering point for electricity consumption that does not include all electricity consumption for the end-use customer as defined by the electric distribution company account number. PJM shall only accept sub-meter load data from end-use customers for

measurement and verification of Regulation service as set forth in the Economic Load Response rules and PJM Manuals.

Summer-Period Capacity Performance Resource:

“Summer-Period Capacity Performance Resource” shall have the same meaning specified in Tariff, Attachment DD, section 5.5A.

Surplus Interconnection Customer:

“Surplus Interconnection Customer” shall mean either an Interconnection Customer whose Generating Facility is already interconnected to the PJM Transmission System or one of its affiliates, or an unaffiliated entity that submits a Surplus Interconnection Request to utilize Surplus Interconnection Service within the Transmission System in the PJM Region. A Surplus Interconnection Customer is not a New Service Customer.

Surplus Interconnection Request:

“Surplus Interconnection Request” shall mean a request submitted by a Surplus Interconnection Customer, pursuant to Tariff, Attachment RR, to utilize Surplus Interconnection Service within the Transmission System in the PJM Region. A Surplus Interconnection Request is not a New Service Request.

Surplus Interconnection Service:

“Surplus Interconnection Service” shall mean any unneeded portion of Interconnection Service established in an Interconnection Service Agreement, such that if Surplus Interconnection Service is utilized, the total amount of Interconnection Service at the Point of Interconnection would remain the same.

Switching and Tagging Rules:

“Switching and Tagging Rules” shall mean the switching and tagging procedures of Interconnected Transmission Owners and Interconnection Customer as they may be amended from time to time.

Synchronized Reserve:

“Synchronized Reserve” shall mean the reserve capability of generation resources that can be converted fully into energy or Economic Load Response Participant resources whose demand can be reduced within ten minutes from the request of the Office of the Interconnection dispatcher, and is provided by equipment that is electrically synchronized to the Transmission System.

Synchronized Reserve Event:

“Synchronized Reserve Event” shall mean a request from the Office of the Interconnection to generation resources and/or Economic Load Response Participant resources able, assigned or self-scheduled to provide Synchronized Reserve in one or more specified Reserve Zones or Reserve Sub-zones, within ten minutes, to increase the energy output or reduce load by the amount of assigned or self-scheduled Synchronized Reserve capability.

Synchronized Reserve Requirement:

“Synchronized Reserve Requirement” shall mean the megawatts required to be maintained in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone as Synchronized Reserve, absent any increase to account for additional reserves scheduled to address operational uncertainty. The Synchronized Reserve Requirement is calculated in accordance with the PJM Manuals. This requirement can only be satisfied by Synchronized Reserve resources.

System Condition:

“System Condition” shall mean a specified condition on the Transmission Provider’s system or on a neighboring system, such as a constrained transmission element or flowgate, that may trigger Curtailment of Long-Term Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service using the curtailment priority pursuant to Tariff, Part II, section 13.6. Such conditions must be identified in the Transmission Customer’s Service Agreement.

System Energy Price:

“System Energy Price” shall mean the energy component of the Locational Marginal Price, which is the price at which the Market Seller has offered to supply an additional increment of energy from a resource, calculated as specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2 and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.

System Impact Study:

“System Impact Study” shall mean an assessment by the Transmission Provider of (i) the adequacy of the Transmission System to accommodate a Completed Application, an Interconnection Request or an Upgrade Request, (ii) whether any additional costs may be incurred in order to provide such transmission service or to accommodate an Interconnection Request, and (iii) with respect to an Interconnection Request, an estimated date that an Interconnection Customer’s Customer Facility can be interconnected with the Transmission System and an estimate of the Interconnection Customer’s cost responsibility for the interconnection; and (iv) with respect to an Upgrade Request, the estimated cost of the requested system upgrades or expansion, or of the cost of the system upgrades or expansion, necessary to provide the requested incremental rights.

System Protection Facilities:

“System Protection Facilities” shall refer to the equipment required to protect (i) the Transmission System, other delivery systems and/or other generating systems connected to the

Transmission System from faults or other electrical disturbance occurring at or on the Customer Facility, and (ii) the Customer Facility from faults or other electrical system disturbance occurring on the Transmission System or on other delivery systems and/or other generating systems to which the Transmission System is directly or indirectly connected. System Protection Facilities shall include such protective and regulating devices as are identified in the Applicable Technical Requirements and Standards or that are required by Applicable Laws and Regulations or other Applicable Standards, or as are otherwise necessary to protect personnel and equipment and to minimize deleterious effects to the Transmission System arising from the Customer Facility.

6. “MUST-RUN” FOR RELIABILITY GENERATION

6.4 Offer Price Caps.

6.4.1 Applicability.

(a) If, at any time, it is determined by the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with Sections 1.10.8 or 6.1 of this Schedule that any generation resource may be dispatched out of economic merit order to maintain system reliability as a result of limits on transmission capability, the offer prices for energy from such resource shall be capped as specified below. For such generation resources committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market, if the Office of the Interconnection is able to do so, such offer prices shall be capped for the entire commitment period, and such offer prices will be capped at a cost-based offer in accordance with section 6.4.2 and committed at the market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest overall system production cost. For such generation resources committed in the Real-time Energy Market such offer prices shall be capped at a cost-based offer in accordance with section 6.4.2 and dispatched on the market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest dispatch cost in accordance with 6.4.1(g) until the earlier of: (i) the resource is released from its commitment by the Office of the Interconnection; (ii) the end of the Operating Day; or (iii) the start of the generation resource's next pre-existing commitment.

The offer on which a resource is committed shall initially be determined at the time of the commitment. If any of the resource's Incremental Energy Offer, No-load Cost or Start-Up Cost are updated for any portion of the offer capped hours subsequent to commitment, the Office of the Interconnection will redetermine the level of the offer cap using the updated offer values. The Office of the Interconnection will dispatch the resource on the market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest dispatch cost as determined in accordance with section 6.4.1(g).

Resources that are self-scheduled to run in either the Day-ahead Energy Market or in the Real-time Energy Market are subject to the provisions of this section 6.4. The offer on which a resource is dispatched shall be used to determine any Locational Marginal Price affected by the offer price of such resource and as further limited as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4 and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4A.

In accordance with section 6.4.1(h), a generation resource that is offer capped in the Real-time Energy Market but released from its commitment by the Office of the Interconnection will be subject to the three pivotal supplier test and further offer capping, as applicable, if the resource is committed for a period later in the same Operating Day.

(b) The energy offer price by any generation resource requested to be dispatched in accordance with Section 6.3 of this Schedule shall be capped at the levels specified in Section 6.4.2 of this Schedule. If the Office of the Interconnection is able to do so, such offer prices shall be capped only during each hour when the affected resource is so scheduled, and otherwise shall be capped for the entire Operating Day. Energy offer prices as capped shall be used to determine any Locational Marginal Price affected by the price of such resource.

(c) Generation resources subject to an offer price cap shall be paid for energy at the applicable Locational Marginal Price.

(d) [Reserved for Future Use]

(e) Offer price caps under section 6.4 of this Schedule shall be suspended for a generation resource with respect to transmission limit(s) for any period in which a generation resource is committed by the Office of the Interconnection for the Operating Day or any period for which the generation resource has been self-scheduled where (1) there are not three or fewer generation suppliers available for redispatch under subsection (a) that are jointly pivotal with respect to such transmission limit(s), and (2) the Market Seller of the generation resource, when combined with the two largest other generation suppliers, is not pivotal (“three pivotal supplier test”). In the event the Office of the Interconnection system is unable to perform the three pivotal supplier test for a Market Seller, generation resources of that Market Seller that are dispatched to control transmission constraints will be dispatched on the resource’s market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest dispatch cost as determined in accordance with section 6.4.1(g).

(f) For the purposes of conducting the three pivotal supplier test in subsection (e), the following applies:

- (i) All megawatts of available incremental supply, including available self-scheduled supply for which the power distribution factor (“dfax”) has an absolute value equal to or greater than the dfax used by the Office of the Interconnection’s system operators when evaluating the impact of generation with respect to the constraint (“effective megawatts”) will be included in the available supply analysis at costs equal to the cost-based offers of the available incremental supply adjusted for dfax (“effective costs”). The Office of the Interconnection will post on the PJM website the dfax value used by operators with respect to a constraint when it varies from three percent.
- (ii) The three pivotal supplier test will include in the definition of the relevant market incremental supply up to and including all such supply available at an effective cost equal to 150% of the cost-based clearing price calculated using effective costs and effective megawatts and the need for megawatts to solve the constraint.
- (iii) Offer price caps will apply on a generation supplier basis (i.e. not a generating unit by generating unit basis) and only the generation suppliers that fail the three pivotal supplier test with respect to any hour in the relevant period will have their units that are dispatched with respect to the constraint offer capped. A generation supplier for the purposes of this section includes corporate affiliates. Supply controlled by a generation supplier or its affiliates by contract with unaffiliated third parties or otherwise will be included as supply of that generation supplier; supply owned by a generation supplier but controlled by an unaffiliated third party by contract or otherwise will be included as supply of that third party.

A generation supplier's units, including self-scheduled units, are offer capped if, when combined with the two largest other generation suppliers, the generation supplier is pivotal.

- (iv) In the Day-ahead Energy Market, the Office of the Interconnection shall include price sensitive demand, Increment Offers and Decrement Bids as demand or supply, as applicable, in the relevant market.

(g) In the Real-time Energy Market, the schedule on which offer capped resources will be placed shall be determined using dispatch cost, where dispatch cost is calculated pursuant to the following formulas:

Dispatch cost for the applicable hour = ((Incremental Energy Offer @ Economic Minimum for the hour [\$/MWh] * Economic Minimum for the hour [MW]) + No-load Cost for the hour [\$/H])

- (i) For resources committed in the Real-time Energy Market, the resource is committed on the offer with the lowest Total Dispatch cost at the time of commitment,

where:

Total Dispatch cost = Sum of hourly dispatch cost over a resource's minimum run time [\$] + Start-Up Cost [\$]

- (ii) For resources operating in real-time pursuant to a day-ahead or real-time commitment, and whose offers are updated after commitment, the resource is dispatched on the offer with the lowest dispatch cost for the each of the updated hours.
- (iii) However, once the resource is dispatched on a cost-based offer, it will remain on a cost-based offer regardless of the determination of the cheapest schedule.

(h) A generation resource that was committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market or Real-time Energy Market, is operating in real time, and may be dispatched out of economic merit order to maintain system reliability as a result of limits on transmission capability, will be offer price capped, subject to the outcome of a three pivotal supplier test, for each hour the resource operates beyond its committed hours or Minimum Run Time, whichever is greater, or in the case of resources self-scheduled in the Real-time Energy Market, for each hour the resource operates beyond its first hour of operation, in accordance with the following provisions.

- (i) If the resource is operating on a cost-based offer, it will remain on a cost-based offer regardless of the results of the three pivotal supplier test.

- (ii) If the resource is operating on a market-based offer and the Market Seller fails the three pivotal supplier test then the resource will be dispatched on the cheaper of its market-based offer or the cost-based offer representing the offer cap as determined by section 6.4.2, whichever results in the lowest dispatch cost as determined under section 6.4.1(g).
- (iii) If the Market Seller passes the three pivotal supplier test and the resource is currently operating on a market-based offer then the resource will remain on that offer, unless the Market Seller elects to not have its market-based offer considered for dispatch and to have only the cost-based offer that represents the offer cap level as determined under section 6.4.2 considered for dispatch in which case the resource will be dispatched on its cost-based offer for the remainder of the Operating Day.

6.4.2 Level.

- (a) The offer price cap shall be one of the amounts specified below, as specified in advance by the Market Seller for the affected unit:
 - (i) The weighted average Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus at which energy from the capped resource was delivered during a specified number of hours during which the resource was dispatched for energy in economic merit order, the specified number of hours to be determined by the Office of the Interconnection and to be a number of hours sufficient to result in an offer price cap that reflects reasonably contemporaneous competitive market conditions for that unit;
 - (ii) For offers of \$2,000/MWh or less, the incremental operating cost of the generation resource as determined in accordance with Schedule 2 of the Operating Agreement and the PJM Manuals (“incremental cost”), plus up to the lesser of 10% of such costs or \$100 MWh, the sum of which shall not exceed \$2,000/MWh; and, for offers greater than \$2,000/MWh, the incremental cost of the generation resource;
 - (iii) For units that are frequently offer capped (“Frequently Mitigated Unit” or “FMU”), and for which the unit’s market-based offer was greater than its cost based offer, the following shall apply:
 - (a) For units that are offer capped for 60% or more of their run hours, but less than 70% of their run hours, the offer price cap will be the greater of either (i) incremental cost plus 10% or (ii) incremental cost plus \$20 per megawatt-hour;
 - (b) For units that are offer capped for 70% or more of their run hours, but less than 80% of their run hours, the offer price cap will be the greater

of either (i) incremental cost plus 10%, or (ii) incremental cost plus \$30 per megawatt-hour;

(c) For units that are offer capped for 80% or more of their run hours, the offer price cap will be the greater of either (i) incremental costs plus 10%; or (ii) incremental cost plus \$40 per megawatt-hour.

(b) For purposes of section 6.4.2(a)(iii), a generating unit shall qualify for the specified offer cap upon issuance of written notice from the Market Monitoring Unit, pursuant to Section II.A of the Attachment M-Appendix, that it is a “Frequently Mitigated Unit” because it meets all of the following criteria:

- (i) The unit was offer capped for the applicable percentage of its run hours, determined on a rolling 12-month basis, effective with a one month lag.
- (ii) The unit’s Projected PJM Market Revenues plus the unit’s PJM capacity market revenues on a rolling 12-month basis, divided by the unit’s MW of installed capacity (in \$/MW-year) are less than its accepted unit specific Avoidable Cost Rate (in \$/MW-year) (excluding APIR and ARPIR), or its default Avoidable Cost Rate (in \$/MW-year) if no unit-specific Avoidable Cost Rate is accepted for the BRAs for the Delivery Years included in the rolling 12-month period, determined pursuant to Sections 6.7 and 6.8 of Attachment DD of the Tariff. (The relevant Avoidable Cost Rate is the weighted average of the Avoidable Cost Rates for each Delivery Year included in the rolling 12-month period, weighted by month.)
- (iii) No portion of the unit is included in a FRR Capacity Plan or receiving compensation under Part V of the Tariff.
- (iv) The unit is internal to the PJM Region and subject only to PJM dispatch.

(c) Any generating unit, without regard to ownership, located at the same site as a Frequently Mitigated Unit qualifying under Sections 6.4.2(a)(iii) shall become an “Associated Unit” upon issuance of written notice from the Market Monitoring Unit pursuant to Section II.A of Attachment M-Appendix, that it meets all of the following criteria:

1. The unit has the identical electric impact on the transmission system as the FMU;
2. The unit (i) belongs to the same design class (where a design class includes generation that is the same size and utilizes the same technology, without regard to manufacturer) and uses the identical primary fuel as the FMU or (ii) is regularly dispatched by PJM as a substitute for the FMU based on differences in cost that result from the currently applicable FMU adder;
3. The unit (i) has an average daily cost-based offer, as measured over the

preceding 12-month period, that is less than or equal to the FMU's average daily cost-based offer adjusted to include the currently applicable FMU adder or (ii) is regularly dispatched by PJM as a substitute for the FMU based on differences in cost that result from the currently applicable FMU adder.

The offer cap for an associated unit shall be equal to the incremental operating cost of such unit, as determined in accordance with Schedule 2 of the Operating Agreement and the PJM Manuals, plus the applicable percentage adder or dollar per megawatt-hour adder as specified in Section 6.4.2(a)(iii)(a), (b), or (c) for the unit with which it is associated.

(d) Market Participants shall have exclusive responsibility for preparing and submitting their offers on the basis of accurate information and in compliance with the FERC Market Rules, inclusive of the level of any applicable offer cap, and in no event shall PJM be held liable for the consequences of or make any retroactive adjustment to any clearing price on the basis of any offer submitted on the basis of inaccurate or non-compliant information.

6.4.3 Verification of Cost-Based Offers Over \$1,000/Megawatt-hour

(a) If a Market Seller submits a cost-based energy offer for a generation resource that includes an Incremental Energy Offer greater than \$1,000/megawatt-hour, then, in order for that offer to be eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Office of the Interconnection shall apply a formulaic screen to verify the reasonableness of the Incremental Energy Offer component of such cost-based offer. For each Incremental Energy Offer segment greater than \$1,000/megawatt-hour, the Office of the Interconnection shall evaluate whether such offer segment exceeds the reasonably expected costs for that generation resource by determining the Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost for each segment in accordance with the following formula:

Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost (\$/MWh segment in accordance with the following formula: @ MW) =

$$[(\text{Maximum Allowable Operating Rate}_i) - (\text{Bid Production Cost}_{i-1})] / (\text{MW}_i - \text{MW}_{i-1})$$

where

i = an offer segment within the Incremental Energy Offer, which is comprised of a pairing of price (\$/MWh) and a megawatt quantity

Maximum Allowable Operating Rate (\$/hour @ MW) =

$$[(\text{Heat Input}_i \text{ @ MW}_i) \times (\text{Performance Factor}) \times (\text{Fuel Cost})] \times (1 + A)$$

where

Heat Input = a point on the heat input curve (in MMBtu/hr), determined in accordance with PJM Manual 15, describing the resource's operational

characteristics for converting the applicable fuel input (MMBtu) into energy (MWh) specified in the Incremental Energy Offer;

Performance Factor = a scaling factor that is a calculated ratio of actual fuel burn to either theoretical fuel burn (i.e., design Heat Input) or other current tested Heat Input, which is determined annually in accordance with the Market Seller's PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy, Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, and PJM Manual 15, reflecting the resource's actual ability to convert fuel into energy (normal operation is 1.0);

Fuel Cost = applicable fuel cost as estimated by the Office of the Interconnection at a geographically appropriate commodity trading hub, plus 10 percent; and

A = Cost adder, in accordance with section 6.4.2(a)(ii) of this Schedule.

$$\text{Bid Production Cost (\$/hour @ MW)} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (MW_i - MW_{i-1}) \times (P_i) - \frac{1}{2} \times \text{UBS} \times (MW_i - MW_{i-1}) \times (P_i - P_{i-1}) \right] + \text{No-Load Cost}$$

where

MW = the MW quantity per offer segment within the Incremental Energy Offer;

P = the price (in dollars per megawatt-hour) per offer segment within the Incremental Energy Offer;

UBS = Uses Bid-Slope = 0 for block-offer resources (i.e., a resource with an Incremental Energy Offer that uses a step function curve); and 1 for all other resources (i.e., resources with an Incremental Energy Offer that uses a sloped offer curve); and

If the price submitted for the offer segment is less than or equal to the Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost then that offer segment shall be deemed verified and is eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price. If the price submitted for the offer segment is greater than the Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost, then the Market Seller's cost-based offer for that segment and all segments at an equal or greater price are deemed not verified and are not eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price and such offer shall be price capped at the greater of \$1,000/megawatt-hour or the offer price of the most expensive verified segment on the Incremental Energy Offer for the purpose of setting Locational Marginal Prices; provided however, such Market Seller shall be allowed to submit a challenge to a non-verification determination, including supporting documentation, to the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with the procedures set forth in the PJM Manuals. Upon review of such documentation, the Office of the Interconnection may determine that the Market Seller's cost-based offer is verified and eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price as described above.

- (i) For the first incremental segment ($i=1$), when the MW in the segment is greater than zero, the first segment shall be screened as a block-loaded segment ($UBS=0$) as if there was a preceding MW_{i-1} of zero. The Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost calculation for the first incremental would use a preceding Bid Production Cost $i-1$ (at zero MW) equal to the energy No-Load Cost.
- (ii) For the first incremental segment ($i=1$), when the MW in the segment is equal to zero, and is the only bid-in segment to be verified, then the segment shall be deemed not verified and subject to the rules as described above.
- (iii) For the first incremental segment ($i=1$), when the MW in the segment is equal to zero, and there are additional segments to be verified, then the first segment shall be deemed verified only if the second segment is deemed verified. If the second segment is deemed not verified, then the first segment shall also be deemed not verified and subject to the rules as described above.

(b) If an Economic Load Response Participant a cost-based demand reduction offer that includes incremental costs greater than or equal to \$1,000/megawatt-hour, in order for that offer to be eligible to determine the applicable Locational Marginal Price as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Economic Load Response Participant must validate the incremental costs with the end use customer(s) and, upon request, submit to the Office of the Interconnection supporting documentation demonstrating that the end-use customer's costs in providing such demand reduction are greater than \$1,000/megawatt-hour in accordance with the following provisions:

(i) The supporting documentation must explain and support the quantification of the end-use customer's incremental costs; and

(ii) The end use customer's incremental costs shall include quantifiable cost incurred for not consuming electricity when dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection, such as wages paid without production, lost sales, damaged products that cannot be sold, or other incremental costs as defined in the PJM Manuals or as approved by the Office of the Interconnection, and may not include shutdown costs.

If upon review of the supporting documentation for the Economic Load Response Participant's, cost-based offer by the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit determines that the offer was not reasonably supported by incremental costs greater than or equal to \$1,000/megawatt-hour, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit may refer the matter to the FERC Office of Enforcement for investigation.

6.4.3A Verification of Fast-Start Resource Composite Energy Offers Over \$1,000/Megawatt-hour

(a) If a Market Seller submits a cost-based offer for a generation resource that is a Fast-Start Resource that results in a Composite Energy Offer that is greater than \$1,000/megawatt-hour, then, in order for that Composite Energy Offer to be eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price under Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Office of the Interconnection shall apply a formulaic screen to verify the reasonableness of the offer components:

Incremental Energy Offer and No-load Cost components of each offer segment shall be evaluated for whether it exceeds the reasonably expected costs for that resource by applying the test described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.4.3.

Start-Up Cost component shall be evaluated for whether it exceeds the reasonably expected costs for that resource by applying the following formula:

$$\text{Start-Up Cost (\$)} = [[(\text{Performance Factor}) \times (\text{Start Fuel}) \times (\text{Fuel Cost})] + \text{Start Maintenance Adder} + \text{Station Service Cost}] \times (1 + A)$$

Where:

Start Fuel =

For units without a soak process, “Start Fuel” shall consist of fuel consumed from first fire of the start process to first breaker closing, plus any fuel expended from last breaker opening to shutdown.

For units with a soak process, “Start Fuel” is fuel consumed from first fire of the start process (initial reactor criticality for nuclear units) to dispatchable output (including auxiliary boiler fuel), plus any fuel expended from last breaker opening to shutdown, excluding normal plant heating/auxiliary equipment fuel requirements. Start Fuel included for each temperature state from breaker closure to dispatchable output shall not exceed the unit specific soak time period reviewed and approved as part of the unit-specific parameter process detailed in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.6(c) or the defaults below:

- Cold Soak Time = 0.73 * unit specific Minimum Run Time (in hours)
- Intermediate Soak Time = 0.61 * unit specific Minimum Run Time (in hours)

- Hot Soak Time = 0.43 * unit specific Minimum Run Time (in hours);

Fuel Cost = applicable fuel cost as estimated by the Office of the Interconnection at a geographically appropriate commodity trading hub, plus 10 percent;

Performance Factor = a scaling factor that is a calculated ratio of actual fuel burn to either theoretical fuel burn (i.e., design Heat Input) or other current tested Heat Input, which is determined annually in accordance with the Market Seller's PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy under Operating Agreement, Schedule 2 and PJM Manual 15, reflecting the resource's actual ability to convert fuel into energy (normal operation is 1.0);

Start Maintenance Adder = an adder based on all available maintenance expense history for the defined Maintenance Period regardless of unit ownership. Only expenses incurred as a result of electric production qualify for inclusion. Only Maintenance Adders specified as \$/Start, \$/MMBtu, or \$/equivalent operating hour can be included in the Start Maintenance Adder;

Station Service Cost = station service usage (MWh) during start-up multiplied by the 12-month rolling average off-peak energy prices as updated quarterly by the Office of the Interconnection.

A = cost adder, in accordance with Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.4.2(a)(ii).

(b) Should the submitted Incremental Energy Offer and No-load Cost exceed the reasonably expected costs for that resource as calculated pursuant to subsection (a) above for any segment, then for the determination of Locational Marginal Prices as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices):

- (i) the Incremental Energy Offer for each segment shall be capped at the lesser of the cap described above in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.4.3 or the submitted Incremental Energy Offer; and
- (ii) the amortized No-load cost shall be adjusted as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4 (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4A (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Day-ahead Prices).

(c) Should the submitted Start-Up Cost exceed the reasonably expected costs for that resource as calculated pursuant to subsection (a) above, then for the determination of Locational Marginal Prices as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Start-Up Costs shall be adjusted as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4 (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4A (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Day-ahead Prices).

(d) If an Economic Load Response Participant submits an offer to reduce demand for a Fast-Start Resource where the maximum segment of the resulting Composite Energy Offer exceeds \$1,000/megawatt-hour, then, in order for that Composite Energy Offer to be eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price under Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Economic Load Response Participant must validate such costs with the end use customer(s) and, upon request, submit to the Office of the Interconnection supporting documentation demonstrating that the end-use customer's costs in providing such demand reduction are greater than \$1,000/megawatt-hour in accordance with the following provisions:

(i) The supporting documentation must explain and support the quantification of the end-use customer's incremental costs and shutdown costs; and

(ii) The end use customer's incremental and shutdown costs shall include quantifiable cost incurred for not consuming electricity when dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection, such as wages paid without production, lost sales, damaged products that cannot be sold, or other incremental costs as defined in the PJM Manuals or as approved by the Office of the Interconnection.

If upon review of the supporting documentation for the Economic Load Response Participant's, cost-based offer by the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit determines that the offer was not reasonably supported by incremental and shutdown costs greater than or equal to \$1,000/megawatt-hour, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit may refer the matter to the FERC Office of Enforcement for investigation.

Should the submitted shutdown cost exceed the reasonably supported costs for that resource, then for the determination of Locational Marginal Prices as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the shutdown costs shall be adjusted as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4 (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4A (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Day-ahead Prices).

Revisions to the
PJM Operating Agreement

(Clean Format)

Definitions S – T

Sector Votes:

“Sector Votes” shall mean the affirmative and negative votes of each sector of a Senior Standing Committee, as specified in Operating Agreement, section 8.4.

Securities:

“Securities” shall mean negotiable or non-negotiable investment or financing instruments that can be sold and bought. Securities include bonds, stocks, debentures, notes and options.

Segment:

“Segment” shall have the same meaning as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 3.2.3(e) and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 3.2.3(e).

Senior Standing Committees:

“Senior Standing Committees” shall mean the Members Committee, and the Markets, and Reliability Committee, as established in Operating Agreement, section 8.1 and Operating Agreement, section 8.6.

SERC:

“SERC” or “Southeastern Electric Reliability Council” shall mean the reliability council under section 202 of the Federal Power Act established pursuant to the SERC Agreement dated January 14, 1970, or any successor thereto.

Short-term Project:

“Short-term Project” shall mean a transmission enhancement or expansion with an in-service date of more than three years but no more than five years from the year in which, pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 6, section 1.5.8(c), the Office of the Interconnection posts the violations, system conditions, or Public Policy Requirements to be addressed by the enhancement or expansion.

Special Member:

“Special Member” shall mean an entity that satisfies the requirements of Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 1.5A.02, and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 1.5A.02, or the special membership provisions established under the Emergency Load Response and Pre-Emergency Load Response Programs.

Spot Market Backup:

“Spot Market Backup” shall mean the purchase of energy from, or the delivery of energy to, the PJM Interchange Energy Market in quantities sufficient to complete the delivery or receipt obligations of a bilateral contract that has been curtailed or interrupted for any reason.

Spot Market Energy:

“Spot Market Energy” shall mean energy bought or sold by Market Participants through the PJM Interchange Energy Market at System Energy Prices determined as specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2, and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.

Standing Committees:

“Standing Committees” shall mean the Members Committee, the committees established and maintained under Operating Agreement, section 8.6, and such other committees as the Members Committee may establish and maintain from time to time.

Start Fuel:

For units without a soak process, “Start Fuel” shall consist of fuel consumed from first fire of the start process to first breaker closing, plus any fuel expended from last breaker opening to shutdown.

For units with a soak process, “Start Fuel” is fuel consumed from first fire of the start process (initial reactor criticality for nuclear units) to dispatchable output (including auxiliary boiler fuel), plus any fuel expended from last breaker opening to shutdown, excluding normal plant heating/auxiliary equipment fuel requirements. Start Fuel included for each temperature state from breaker closure to dispatchable output shall not exceed the unit specific soak time period reviewed and approved as part of the unit-specific parameter process detailed in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.6(c) or the defaults below:

- Cold Soak Time = $0.73 * \text{unit specific Minimum Run Time (in hours)}$
- Intermediate Soak Time = $0.61 * \text{unit specific Minimum Run Time (in hours)}$
- Hot Soak Time = $0.43 * \text{unit specific Minimum Run Time (in hours)}$

Start-Up Costs:

“Start-Up Costs” shall consist primarily of the cost of fuel, as determined by the unit’s start heat input (adjusted by the performance factor) times the fuel cost. It also includes operating costs, Maintenance Adders, emissions allowances/adders, and station service cost. Start-Up Costs can vary with the unit offline time being categorized in three unit temperature conditions: hot, intermediate and cold.

For units with a steam turbine and a soak process (nuclear, steam, and combined cycle), “Start Fuel” is fuel consumed from first fire of start process (initial reactor criticality for nuclear units):

Start-Up Costs shall mean the net unit costs from PJM's notification to the level at which the unit can follow PJM's dispatch, and from last breaker open to shutdown.

For units without a steam turbine and no soak process (engines, combustion turbines, Intermittent Resources, and Energy Storage Resources): Start-Up Costs shall mean the unit costs from PJM's notification to first breaker close and from last breaker open to shutdown.

State:

"State" shall mean the District of Columbia and any State or Commonwealth of the United States.

State Certification:

"State Certification" shall mean the Certification of an Authorized Commission, pursuant to Operating Agreement, section 18, the form of which is appended to the Operating Agreement as Operating Agreement, Schedule 10A, wherein the Authorized Commission identifies all Authorized Persons employed or retained by such Authorized Commission, a copy of which shall be filed with FERC.

State Consumer Advocate:

"State Consumer Advocate" shall mean a legislatively created office from any State, all or any part of the territory of which is within the PJM Region, and the District of Columbia established, inter alia, for the purpose of representing the interests of energy consumers before the utility regulatory commissions of such states and the District of Columbia and the FERC.

State Estimator:

"State Estimator" shall mean the computer model of power flows specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.3, and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.3.

State of Charge:

"State of Charge" shall mean the quantity of physical energy stored in an Energy Storage Resource Model Participant or in the storage component of a Hybrid Resource in proportion to its maximum State of Charge capability. State of Charge is quantified as defined in the PJM Manuals.

State of Charge Management:

"State of Charge Management" shall mean the control of State of Charge of an Energy Storage Resource Market Participant or Hybrid Resource using minimum and maximum discharge (and, as applicable, charge) limits, changes in operating mode (as applicable), discharging (and, as

applicable, charging) offer curves, and self-scheduling of non-dispatchable sales (and, as applicable, purchases) of energy in the PJM markets. State of Charge Management shall not interfere with the obligation of a Market Seller of an Energy Storage Resource Model Participant or of a Hybrid Resource to follow PJM dispatch, consistent with all other resources.

Station Power:

“Station Power” shall mean energy used for operating the electric equipment on the site of a generation facility located in the PJM Region or for the heating, lighting, air-conditioning and office equipment needs of buildings on the site of such a generation facility that are used in the operation, maintenance, or repair of the facility. Station Power does not include any energy (i) used to power synchronous condensers; (ii) used for pumping at a pumped storage facility; (iii) used in association with restoration or black start service; or (iv) that is Direct Charging Energy.

Sub-meter:

“Sub-meter” shall mean a metering point for electricity consumption that does not include all electricity consumption for the end-use customer as defined by the electric distribution company account number. PJM shall only accept sub-meter load data from end-use customers for measurement and verification of Regulation service as set forth in the Economic Load Response rules and PJM Manuals.

Subregional RTEP Project:

“Subregional RTEP Project” shall mean a transmission expansion or enhancement rated below 230 kV which is required for compliance with the following PJM criteria: system reliability, operational performance or economic criteria, pursuant to a determination by the Office of the Interconnection.

Supplemental Project:

“Supplemental Project” shall mean a transmission expansion or enhancement that is not required for compliance with the following PJM criteria: system reliability, operational performance or economic criteria, pursuant to a determination by the Office of the Interconnection and is not a state public policy project pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 6, section 1.5.9(a)(ii). Any system upgrades required to maintain the reliability of the system that are driven by a Supplemental Project are considered part of that Supplemental Project and are the responsibility of the entity sponsoring that Supplemental Project.

Synchronized Reserve:

“Synchronized Reserve” shall mean the reserve capability of generation resources that can be converted fully into energy or Economic Load Response Participant resources whose demand can be reduced within ten minutes from the request of the Office of the Interconnection dispatcher, and is provided by equipment that is electrically synchronized to the Transmission System.

Synchronized Reserve Event:

“Synchronized Reserve Event” shall mean a request from the Office of the Interconnection to generation resources and/or Economic Load Response Participant resources able, assigned or self-scheduled to provide Synchronized Reserve in one or more specified Reserve Zones or Reserve Sub-zones, within ten minutes, to increase the energy output or reduce load by the amount of assigned or self-scheduled Synchronized Reserve capability.

Synchronized Reserve Requirement:

“Synchronized Reserve Requirement” shall mean the megawatts required to be maintained in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone as Synchronized Reserve, absent any increase to account for additional reserves scheduled to address operational uncertainty. The Synchronized Reserve Requirement is calculated in accordance with the PJM Manuals. This requirement can only be satisfied by Synchronized Reserve resources.

System:

“System” shall mean the interconnected electric supply system of a Member and its interconnected subsidiaries exclusive of facilities which it may own or control outside of the PJM Region. Each Member may include in its system the electric supply systems of any party or parties other than Members which are within the PJM Region, provided its interconnection agreements with such other party or parties do not conflict with such inclusion.

System Energy Price:

“System Energy Price” shall mean the energy component of the Locational Marginal Price, which is the price at which the Market Seller has offered to supply an additional increment of energy from a resource, calculated as specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2, and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.

Target Allocation:

“Target Allocation” shall mean the allocation of Transmission Congestion Credits as set forth in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 5.2.3, and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 5.2.3 or the allocation of Auction Revenue Rights Credits as set forth in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 7.4.3, and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 7.4.3.

Third Party Request:

“Third Party Request” shall mean any request or demand by any entity upon an Authorized Person or an Authorized Commission for release or disclosure of confidential information provided to the Authorized Person or Authorized Commission by the Office of the Interconnection or the Market Monitoring Unit. A Third Party Request shall include, but shall

not be limited to, any subpoena, discovery request, or other request for confidential information made by any: (i) federal, state, or local governmental subdivision, department, official, agency or court, or (ii) arbitration panel, business, company, entity or individual.

Tie Line:

“Tie Line” shall have the same meaning provided in the Open Access Transmission Tariff.

Total Lost Opportunity Cost Offer:

“Total Lost Opportunity Cost Offer” shall mean the applicable offer used to calculate lost opportunity cost credits. For pool-scheduled resources specified in PJM Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 3.2.3(f-1) and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 3.2.3(f-1), the Total Lost Opportunity Cost Offer shall equal the Real-time Settlement Interval offer integrated under the applicable offer curve for the LOC Deviation, as determined by the greater of the Committed Offer or last Real-Time Offer submitted for the offer on which the resource was committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market for each hour in an Operating Day. For all other pool-scheduled resources, the Total Lost Opportunity Cost Offer shall equal the Real-time Settlement Interval offer integrated under the applicable offer curve for the LOC Deviation, as determined by the offer curve associated with the greater of the Committed Offer or Final Offer for each hour in an Operating Day. For self-scheduled generation resources, the Total Lost Opportunity Cost Offer shall equal the Real-time Settlement Interval offer integrated under the applicable offer curve for the LOC Deviation, where for self-scheduled generation resources (a) operating pursuant to a cost-based offer, the applicable offer curve shall be the greater of the originally submitted cost-based offer or the cost-based offer that the resource was dispatched on in real-time; or (b) operating pursuant to a market-based offer, the applicable offer curve shall be determined in accordance with the following process: (1) select the greater of the cost-based day-ahead offer and updated costbased Real-time Offer; (2) for resources with multiple cost-based offers, first, for each cost-based offer select the greater of the day-ahead offer and updated Real-time Offer, and then select the lesser of the resulting cost-based offers; and (3) compare the offer selected in (1), or for resources with multiple cost-based offers the offer selected in (2), with the market-based day-ahead offer and the market-based Real-time Offer and select the highest offer.

Total Operating Reserve Offer:

“Total Operating Reserve Offer” shall mean the applicable offer used to calculate Operating Reserve credits. The Total Operating Reserve Offer shall equal the sum of all individual Real-time Settlement Interval energy offers, inclusive of Start-Up Costs (shut-down costs for Demand Resources) and No-load Costs, for every Real-time Settlement Interval in a Segment, integrated under the applicable offer curve up to the applicable megawatt output as further described in the PJM Manuals. The applicable offer used to calculate day-ahead Operating Reserve credits shall be the Committed Offer, and the applicable offer used to calculate balancing Operating Reserve credits shall be lesser of the Committed Offer or Final Offer for each hour in an Operating Day.

Transmission Congestion Charge:

“Transmission Congestion Charge” shall mean a charge attributable to the increased cost of energy delivered at a given load bus when the transmission system serving that load bus is operating under constrained conditions, or as necessary to provide energy for third-party transmission losses, which shall be calculated and allocated as specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 5.1, and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 5.1.

Transmission Congestion Credit:

“Transmission Congestion Credit” shall mean the allocated share of total Transmission Congestion Charges credited to each FTR Holder, calculated and allocated as specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 5.2 and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 5.2.

Transmission Customer:

“Transmission Customer” shall have the meaning set forth in the PJM Tariff.

Transmission Facilities:

“Transmission Facilities” shall mean facilities that: (i) are within the PJM Region; (ii) meet the definition of transmission facilities pursuant to FERC’s Uniform System of Accounts or have been classified as transmission facilities in a ruling by FERC addressing such facilities; and (iii) have been demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Office of the Interconnection to be integrated with the PJM Region transmission system and integrated into the planning and operation of the PJM Region to serve all of the power and transmission customers within the PJM Region.

Transmission Forced Outage:

“Transmission Forced Outage” shall mean an immediate removal from service of a transmission facility by reason of an Emergency or threatened Emergency, unanticipated failure, or other cause beyond the control of the owner or operator of the transmission facility, as specified in the relevant portions of the PJM Manuals. A removal from service of a transmission facility at the request of the Office of the Interconnection to improve transmission capability shall not constitute a Forced Transmission Outage.

Transmission Loading Relief:

“Transmission Loading Relief” shall mean NERC’s procedures for preventing operating security limit violations, as implemented by PJM as the security coordinator responsible for maintaining transmission security for the PJM Region.

Transmission Loss Charge:

“Transmission Loss Charge” shall mean the charges to each Market Participant, Network Customer, or Transmission Customer for the cost of energy lost in the transmission of electricity from a generation resource to load as specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 5, and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 5.

Transmission Operator:

“Transmission Operator” shall have the same meaning set forth in the NERC Glossary of Terms used in NERC Reliability Standards.

Transmission Owner:

“Transmission Owner” shall mean a Member that owns or leases with rights equivalent to ownership Transmission Facilities and is a signatory to the PJM Transmission Owners Agreement. Taking transmission service shall not be sufficient to qualify a Member as a Transmission Owner.

Transmission Owner Upgrade:

“Transmission Owner Upgrade” shall mean an upgrade to a Transmission Owner’s own transmission facilities, which is an improvement to, addition to, or replacement of a part of, an existing facility and is not an entirely new transmission facility.

Transmission Planned Outage:

“Transmission Planned Outage” shall mean any transmission outage scheduled in advance for a pre-determined duration and which meets the notification requirements for such outages specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, or the PJM Manuals.

Turn Down Ratio:

“Turn Down Ratio” shall mean the ratio of a generating unit’s economic maximum megawatts to its economic minimum megawatts.

6.4 Offer Price Caps.

6.4.1 Applicability.

(a) If, at any time, it is determined by the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with Sections 1.10.8 or 6.1 of this Schedule that any generation resource may be dispatched out of economic merit order to maintain system reliability as a result of limits on transmission capability, the offer prices for energy from such resource shall be capped as specified below. For such generation resources committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market, if the Office of the Interconnection is able to do so, such offer prices shall be capped for the entire commitment period, and such offer prices will be capped at a cost-based offer in accordance with section 6.4.2 and committed at the market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest overall system production cost. For such generation resources committed in the Real-time Energy Market such offer prices shall be capped at a cost-based offer in accordance with section 6.4.2 and dispatched on the market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest dispatch cost in accordance with 6.4.1(g) until the earlier of: (i) the resource is released from its commitment by the Office of the Interconnection; (ii) the end of the Operating Day; or (iii) the start of the generation resource's next pre-existing commitment.

The offer on which a resource is committed shall initially be determined at the time of the commitment. If any of the resource's Incremental Energy Offer, No-load Cost or Start-Up Cost are updated for any portion of the offer capped hours subsequent to commitment, the Office of the Interconnection will redetermine the level of the offer cap using the updated offer values. The Office of the Interconnection will dispatch the resource on the market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest dispatch cost as determined in accordance with section 6.4.1(g).

Resources that are self-scheduled to run in either the Day-ahead Energy Market or in the Real-time Energy Market are subject to the provisions of this section 6.4. The offer on which a resource is dispatched shall be used to determine any Locational Marginal Price affected by the offer price of such resource and as further limited as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4 and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4A.

In accordance with section 6.4.1(h), a generation resource that is offer capped in the Real-time Energy Market but released from its commitment by the Office of the Interconnection will be subject to the three pivotal supplier test and further offer capping, as applicable, if the resource is committed for a period later in the same Operating Day.

(b) The energy offer price by any generation resource requested to be dispatched in accordance with Section 6.3 of this Schedule shall be capped at the levels specified in Section 6.4.2 of this Schedule. If the Office of the Interconnection is able to do so, such offer prices shall be capped only during each hour when the affected resource is so scheduled, and otherwise shall be capped for the entire Operating Day. Energy offer prices as capped shall be used to determine any Locational Marginal Price affected by the price of such resource.

(c) Generation resources subject to an offer price cap shall be paid for energy at the applicable Locational Marginal Price.

(d) [Reserved for Future Use]

(e) Offer price caps under section 6.4 of this Schedule shall be suspended for a generation resource with respect to transmission limit(s) for any period in which a generation resource is committed by the Office of the Interconnection for the Operating Day or any period for which the generation resource has been self-scheduled where (1) there are not three or fewer generation suppliers available for redispatch under subsection (a) that are jointly pivotal with respect to such transmission limit(s), and (2) the Market Seller of the generation resource, when combined with the two largest other generation suppliers, is not pivotal (“three pivotal supplier test”). In the event the Office of the Interconnection system is unable to perform the three pivotal supplier test for a Market Seller, generation resources of that Market Seller that are dispatched to control transmission constraints will be dispatched on the resource’s market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest dispatch cost as determined in accordance with section 6.4.1(g).

(f) For the purposes of conducting the three pivotal supplier test in subsection (e), the following applies:

- (i) All megawatts of available incremental supply, including available self-scheduled supply for which the power distribution factor (“dfax”) has an absolute value equal to or greater than the dfax used by the Office of the Interconnection’s system operators when evaluating the impact of generation with respect to the constraint (“effective megawatts”) will be included in the available supply analysis at costs equal to the cost-based offers of the available incremental supply adjusted for dfax (“effective costs”). The Office of the Interconnection will post on the PJM website the dfax value used by operators with respect to a constraint when it varies from three percent.
- (ii) The three pivotal supplier test will include in the definition of the relevant market incremental supply up to and including all such supply available at an effective cost equal to 150% of the cost-based clearing price calculated using effective costs and effective megawatts and the need for megawatts to solve the constraint.
- (iii) Offer price caps will apply on a generation supplier basis (i.e. not a generating unit by generating unit basis) and only the generation suppliers that fail the three pivotal supplier test with respect to any hour in the relevant period will have their units that are dispatched with respect to the constraint offer capped. A generation supplier for the purposes of this section includes corporate affiliates. Supply controlled by a generation supplier or its affiliates by contract with unaffiliated third parties or otherwise will be included as supply of that generation supplier; supply owned by a generation supplier but controlled by an unaffiliated third party by contract or otherwise will be included as supply of that third party.

A generation supplier's units, including self-scheduled units, are offer capped if, when combined with the two largest other generation suppliers, the generation supplier is pivotal.

- (iv) In the Day-ahead Energy Market, the Office of the Interconnection shall include price sensitive demand, Increment Offers and Decrement Bids as demand or supply, as applicable, in the relevant market.

(g) In the Real-time Energy Market, the schedule on which offer capped resources will be placed shall be determined using dispatch cost, where dispatch cost is calculated pursuant to the following formulas:

Dispatch cost for the applicable hour = ((Incremental Energy Offer @ Economic Minimum for the hour [\$/MWh] * Economic Minimum for the hour [MW]) + No-load Cost for the hour [\$/H])

- (i) For resources committed in the Real-time Energy Market, the resource is committed on the offer with the lowest Total Dispatch cost at the time of commitment,

where:

Total Dispatch cost = Sum of hourly dispatch cost over a resource's minimum run time [\$] + Start-Up Cost [\$]

- (ii) For resources operating in real-time pursuant to a day-ahead or real-time commitment, and whose offers are updated after commitment, the resource is dispatched on the offer with the lowest dispatch cost for the each of the updated hours.
- (iii) However, once the resource is dispatched on a cost-based offer, it will remain on a cost-based offer regardless of the determination of the cheapest schedule.

(h) A generation resource that was committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market or Real-time Energy Market, is operating in real time, and may be dispatched out of economic merit order to maintain system reliability as a result of limits on transmission capability, will be offer price capped, subject to the outcome of a three pivotal supplier test, for each hour the resource operates beyond its committed hours or Minimum Run Time, whichever is greater, or in the case of resources self-scheduled in the Real-time Energy Market, for each hour the resource operates beyond its first hour of operation, in accordance with the following provisions.

- (i) If the resource is operating on a cost-based offer, it will remain on a cost-based offer regardless of the results of the three pivotal supplier test.

- (ii) If the resource is operating on a market-based offer and the Market Seller fails the three pivotal supplier test then the resource will be dispatched on the cheaper of its market-based offer or the cost-based offer representing the offer cap as determined by section 6.4.2, whichever results in the lowest dispatch cost as determined under section 6.4.1(g).
- (iii) If the Market Seller passes the three pivotal supplier test and the resource is currently operating on a market-based offer then the resource will remain on that offer, unless the Market Seller elects to not have its market-based offer considered for dispatch and to have only the cost-based offer that represents the offer cap level as determined under section 6.4.2 considered for dispatch in which case the resource will be dispatched on its cost-based offer for the remainder of the Operating Day.

6.4.2 Level.

- (a) The offer price cap shall be one of the amounts specified below, as specified in advance by the Market Seller for the affected unit:
 - (i) The weighted average Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus at which energy from the capped resource was delivered during a specified number of hours during which the resource was dispatched for energy in economic merit order, the specified number of hours to be determined by the Office of the Interconnection and to be a number of hours sufficient to result in an offer price cap that reflects reasonably contemporaneous competitive market conditions for that unit;
 - (ii) For offers of \$2,000/MWh or less, the incremental operating cost of the generation resource as determined in accordance with Schedule 2 of the Operating Agreement and the PJM Manuals (“incremental cost”), plus up to the lesser of 10% of such costs or \$100 MWh, the sum of which shall not exceed \$2,000/MWh; and, for offers greater than \$2,000/MWh, the incremental cost of the generation resource;
 - (iii) For units that are frequently offer capped (“Frequently Mitigated Unit” or “FMU”), and for which the unit’s market-based offer was greater than its cost based offer, the following shall apply:
 - (a) For units that are offer capped for 60% or more of their run hours, but less than 70% of their run hours, the offer price cap will be the greater of either (i) incremental cost plus 10% or (ii) incremental cost plus \$20 per megawatt-hour;
 - (b) For units that are offer capped for 70% or more of their run hours, but less than 80% of their run hours, the offer price cap will be the greater of either (i) incremental cost plus 10%, or (ii) incremental cost plus \$30

per megawatt-hour;

(c) For units that are offer capped for 80% or more of their run hours, the offer price cap will be the greater of either (i) incremental costs plus 10%; or (ii) incremental cost plus \$40 per megawatt-hour.

(b) For purposes of section 6.4.2(a)(iii), a generating unit shall qualify for the specified offer cap upon issuance of written notice from the Market Monitoring Unit, pursuant to Section II.A of the Attachment M-Appendix, that it is a “Frequently Mitigated Unit” because it meets all of the following criteria:

- (i) The unit was offer capped for the applicable percentage of its run hours, determined on a rolling 12-month basis, effective with a one month lag.
- (ii) The unit’s Projected PJM Market Revenues plus the unit’s PJM capacity market revenues on a rolling 12-month basis, divided by the unit’s MW of installed capacity (in \$/MW-year) are less than its accepted unit specific Avoidable Cost Rate (in \$/MW-year) (excluding APIR and ARPIR), or its default Avoidable Cost Rate (in \$/MW-year) if no unit-specific Avoidable Cost Rate is accepted for the BRAs for the Delivery Years included in the rolling 12-month period, determined pursuant to Sections 6.7 and 6.8 of Attachment DD of the Tariff. (The relevant Avoidable Cost Rate is the weighted average of the Avoidable Cost Rates for each Delivery Year included in the rolling 12-month period, weighted by month.)
- (iii) No portion of the unit is included in a FRR Capacity Plan or receiving compensation under Part V of the Tariff.
- (iv) The unit is internal to the PJM Region and subject only to PJM dispatch.

(c) Any generating unit, without regard to ownership, located at the same site as a Frequently Mitigated Unit qualifying under Sections 6.4.2(a)(iii) shall become an “Associated Unit” upon issuance of written notice from the Market Monitoring Unit pursuant to Section II.A of Attachment M-Appendix, that it meets all of the following criteria:

1. The unit has the identical electric impact on the transmission system as the FMU;
2. The unit (i) belongs to the same design class (where a design class includes generation that is the same size and utilizes the same technology, without regard to manufacturer) and uses the identical primary fuel as the FMU or (ii) is regularly dispatched by PJM as a substitute for the FMU based on differences in cost that result from the currently applicable FMU adder;
3. The unit (i) has an average daily cost-based offer, as measured over the preceding 12-month period, that is less than or equal to the FMU’s

average daily cost-based offer adjusted to include the currently applicable FMU adder or (ii) is regularly dispatched by PJM as a substitute for the FMU based on differences in cost that result from the currently applicable FMU adder.

The offer cap for an associated unit shall be equal to the incremental operating cost of such unit, as determined in accordance with Schedule 2 of the Operating Agreement and the PJM Manuals, plus the applicable percentage adder or dollar per megawatt-hour adder as specified in Section 6.4.2(a)(iii)(a), (b), or (c) for the unit with which it is associated.

(d) Market Participants shall have exclusive responsibility for preparing and submitting their offers on the basis of accurate information and in compliance with the FERC Market Rules, inclusive of the level of any applicable offer cap, and in no event shall PJM be held liable for the consequences of or make any retroactive adjustment to any clearing price on the basis of any offer submitted on the basis of inaccurate or non-compliant information.

6.4.3 Verification of Cost-Based Offers Over \$1,000/Megawatt-hour

(a) If a Market Seller submits a cost-based energy offer for a generation resource that includes an Incremental Energy Offer greater than \$1,000/megawatt-hour, then, in order for that offer to be eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Office of the Interconnection shall apply a formulaic screen to verify the reasonableness of the Incremental Energy Offer component of such cost-based offer. For each Incremental Energy Offer segment greater than \$1,000/megawatt-hour, the Office of the Interconnection shall evaluate whether such offer segment exceeds the reasonably expected costs for that generation resource by determining the Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost for each segment in accordance with the following formula:

Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost (\$/MWh segment in accordance with the following formula: @ MW) =

$$[(\text{Maximum Allowable Operating Rate}_i) - (\text{Bid Production Cost}_{i-1})] / (\text{MW}_i - \text{MW}_{i-1})$$

where

i = an offer segment within the Incremental Energy Offer, which is comprised of a pairing of price (\$/MWh) and a megawatt quantity

Maximum Allowable Operating Rate (\$/hour @ MW) =

$$[(\text{Heat Input}_i \text{ @ MW}_i) \times (\text{Performance Factor}) \times (\text{Fuel Cost})] \times (1 + A)$$

where

Heat Input = a point on the heat input curve (in MMBtu/hr), determined in accordance with PJM Manual 15, describing the resource's operational

characteristics for converting the applicable fuel input (MMBtu) into energy (MWh) specified in the Incremental Energy Offer;

Performance Factor = a scaling factor that is a calculated ratio of actual fuel burn to either theoretical fuel burn (i.e., design Heat Input) or other current tested Heat Input, which is determined annually in accordance with the Market Seller's PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy, Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, and PJM Manual 15, reflecting the resource's actual ability to convert fuel into energy (normal operation is 1.0);

Fuel Cost = applicable fuel cost as estimated by the Office of the Interconnection at a geographically appropriate commodity trading hub, plus 10 percent; and

A = Cost adder, in accordance with section 6.4.2(a)(ii) of this Schedule.

$$\text{Bid Production Cost (\$/hour @ MW)} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (MW_i - MW_{i-1}) \times (P_i) - \frac{1}{2} \times \text{UBS} \times (MW_i - MW_{i-1}) \times (P_i - P_{i-1}) \right] + \text{No-Load Cost}$$

where

MW = the MW quantity per offer segment within the Incremental Energy Offer;

P = the price (in dollars per megawatt-hour) per offer segment within the Incremental Energy Offer;

UBS = Uses Bid-Slope = 0 for block-offer resources (i.e., a resource with an Incremental Energy Offer that uses a step function curve); and 1 for all other resources (i.e., resources with an Incremental Energy Offer that uses a sloped offer curve); and

If the price submitted for the offer segment is less than or equal to the Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost then that offer segment shall be deemed verified and is eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price. If the price submitted for the offer segment is greater than the Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost, then the Market Seller's cost-based offer for that segment and all segments at an equal or greater price are deemed not verified and are not eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price and such offer shall be price capped at the greater of \$1,000/megawatt-hour or the offer price of the most expensive verified segment on the Incremental Energy Offer for the purpose of setting Locational Marginal Prices; provided however, such Market Seller shall be allowed to submit a challenge to a non-verification determination, including supporting documentation, to the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with the procedures set forth in the PJM Manuals. Upon review of such documentation, the Office of the Interconnection may determine that the Market Seller's cost-based offer is verified and eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price as described above.

- (i) For the first incremental segment ($i=1$), when the MW in the segment is greater than zero, the first segment shall be screened as a block-loaded segment ($UBS=0$) as if there was a preceding MW_{i-1} of zero. The Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost calculation for the first incremental would use a preceding Bid Production Cost $i-1$ (at zero MW) equal to the energy No-Load Cost.
- (ii) For the first incremental segment ($i=1$), when the MW in the segment is equal to zero, and is the only bid-in segment to be verified, then the segment shall be deemed not verified and subject to the rules as described above.
- (iii) For the first incremental segment ($i=1$), when the MW in the segment is equal to zero, and there are additional segments to be verified, then the first segment shall be deemed verified only if the second segment is deemed verified. If the second segment is deemed not verified, then the first segment shall also be deemed not verified and subject to the rules as described above.

(b) If an Economic Load Response Participant a cost-based demand reduction offer that includes incremental costs greater than or equal to \$1,000/megawatt-hour, in order for that offer to be eligible to determine the applicable Locational Marginal Price as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Economic Load Response Participant must validate the incremental costs with the end use customer(s) and, upon request, submit to the Office of the Interconnection supporting documentation demonstrating that the end-use customer's costs in providing such demand reduction are greater than \$1,000/megawatt-hour in accordance with the following provisions:

- (i) The supporting documentation must explain and support the quantification of the end-use customer's incremental costs; and
- (ii) The end use customer's incremental costs shall include quantifiable cost incurred for not consuming electricity when dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection, such as wages paid without production, lost sales, damaged products that cannot be sold, or other incremental costs as defined in the PJM Manuals or as approved by the Office of the Interconnection, and may not include shutdown costs.

If upon review of the supporting documentation for the Economic Load Response Participant's, cost-based offer by the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit determines that the offer was not reasonably supported by incremental costs greater than or equal to \$1,000/megawatt-hour, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit may refer the matter to the FERC Office of Enforcement for investigation.

6.4.3A Verification of Fast-Start Resource Composite Energy Offers Over \$1,000/Megawatt-hour

(a) If a Market Seller submits a cost-based offer for a generation resource that is a Fast-Start Resource that results in a Composite Energy Offer that is greater than \$1,000/megawatt-hour, then, in order for that Composite Energy Offer to be eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price under Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Office of the Interconnection shall apply a formulaic screen to verify the reasonableness of the offer components:

Incremental Energy Offer and No-load Cost components of each offer segment shall be evaluated for whether it exceeds the reasonably expected costs for that resource by applying the test described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3.

Start-Up Cost component shall be evaluated for whether it exceeds the reasonably expected costs for that resource by applying the following formula:

$$\text{Start-Up Cost (\$)} = [[(\text{Performance Factor}) \times (\text{Start Fuel}) \times (\text{Fuel Cost})] + \text{Start Maintenance Adder} + \text{Station Service Cost}] \times (1 + A)$$

Where:

Start Fuel =

For units without a soak process, “Start Fuel” shall consist of fuel consumed from first fire of the start process to first breaker closing, plus any fuel expended from last breaker opening to shutdown.

For units with a soak process, “Start Fuel” is fuel consumed from first fire of the start process (initial reactor criticality for nuclear units) to dispatchable output (including auxiliary boiler fuel), plus any fuel expended from last breaker opening to shutdown, excluding normal plant heating/auxiliary equipment fuel requirements. Start Fuel included for each temperature state from breaker closure to dispatchable output shall not exceed the unit specific soak time period reviewed and approved as part of the unit-specific parameter process detailed in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.6(c) or the defaults below:

- Cold Soak Time = 0.73 * unit specific Minimum Run Time (in hours)
- Intermediate Soak Time = 0.61 * unit specific Minimum Run Time (in hours)
- Hot Soak Time = 0.43 * unit specific Minimum Run Time (in hours);

Fuel Cost = applicable fuel cost as estimated by the Office of the Interconnection at a geographically appropriate commodity trading hub, plus 10 percent;

Performance Factor = a scaling factor that is a calculated ratio of actual fuel burn to either theoretical fuel burn (i.e., design Heat Input) or other current tested Heat Input, which is determined annually in accordance with the Market Seller's PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy under Operating Agreement, Schedule 2 and PJM Manual 15, reflecting the resource's actual ability to convert fuel into energy (normal operation is 1.0);

Start Maintenance Adder = an adder based on all available maintenance expense history for the defined Maintenance Period regardless of unit ownership. Only expenses incurred as a result of electric production qualify for inclusion. Only Maintenance Adders specified as \$/Start, \$/MMBtu, or \$/equivalent operating hour can be included in the Start Maintenance Adder;

Station Service Cost = station service usage (MWh) during start-up multiplied by the 12-month rolling average off-peak energy prices as updated quarterly by the Office of the Interconnection.

A = cost adder, in accordance with Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.2(a)(ii).

(b) Should the submitted Incremental Energy Offer and No-load Cost exceed the reasonably expected costs for that resource as calculated pursuant to subsection (a) above for any segment, then for the determination of Locational Marginal Prices as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices):

- (i) the Incremental Energy Offer for each segment shall be capped at the lesser of the cap described above in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3 or the submitted Incremental Energy Offer; and
- (ii) the amortized No-load cost shall be adjusted as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4 (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4A (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Day-ahead Prices).

(c) Should the submitted Start-Up Cost exceed the reasonably expected costs for that resource as calculated pursuant to subsection (a) above, then for the determination of Locational Marginal Prices as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 (for determining

Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Start-Up Costs shall be adjusted as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4 (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4A (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Day-ahead Prices).

(d) If an Economic Load Response Participant submits an offer to reduce demand for a Fast-Start Resource where the maximum segment of the resulting Composite Energy Offer exceeds \$1,000/megawatt-hour, then, in order for that Composite Energy Offer to be eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price under Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Economic Load Response Participant must validate such costs with the end use customer(s) and, upon request, submit to the Office of the Interconnection supporting documentation demonstrating that the end-use customer's costs in providing such demand reduction are greater than \$1,000/megawatt-hour in accordance with the following provisions:

(i) The supporting documentation must explain and support the quantification of the end-use customer's incremental costs and shutdown costs; and

(ii) The end use customer's incremental and shutdown costs shall include quantifiable cost incurred for not consuming electricity when dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection, such as wages paid without production, lost sales, damaged products that cannot be sold, or other incremental costs as defined in the PJM Manuals or as approved by the Office of the Interconnection.

If upon review of the supporting documentation for the Economic Load Response Participant's, cost-based offer by the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit determines that the offer was not reasonably supported by incremental and shutdown costs greater than or equal to \$1,000/megawatt-hour, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit may refer the matter to the FERC Office of Enforcement for investigation.

Should the submitted shutdown cost exceed the reasonably supported costs for that resource, then for the determination of Locational Marginal Prices as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the shutdown costs shall be adjusted as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4 (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4A (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Day-ahead Prices).

SCHEDULE 2 - COMPONENTS OF COST

1. GENERAL COST PROVISIONS

1.1 Permissible Components of Cost-based Offers of Energy.

Each Market Participant obligated to sell energy on the PJM Interchange Energy Market at cost-based rates may include the following components or their equivalent in the determination of costs for energy supplied to or from the PJM Region:

(a) For generating units powered by boilers
Start-Up Costs (including Start Fuel)
Peak-prepared-for maintenance cost

(b) For generating units powered by machines
Start-Up Cost (including Start Fuel)

(c) For all generating units
Incremental maintenance cost
No-load cost during period of operation
Labor cost
Operating Costs
Opportunity Costs
Emission allowances/adders
Maintenance Adders
Ten percent adder
Charging costs for Energy Storage Resources
Fuel Cost

1.2 Method of Determining Cost Components.

The PJM Board, upon consideration of the advice and recommendations of the Members Committee, shall from time to time define in detail the method of determining the costs entering into the said components, and the Members shall adhere to such definitions in the preparation of incremental costs used on the Interconnection.

1.3 Application of Cost Components to Three-Part Cost-based Offers.

A cost-based offer, as defined in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 1.2, is a three-part offer consisting of Start-up Costs, No-load Costs, and the Incremental Energy Offer. These terms are as defined in Operating Agreement, section 1.

The following lists the categories of cost that may be applicable to a Market Participant's three-part cost-based offer:

(a) For Start-up Costs

Fuel cost
Emission allowances/adders
Maintenance Adders
Operating Costs
Station service

(b) For No-load Costs

Fuel cost
Emission allowances/adders
Maintenance Adders
Operating Costs

(c) Incremental Costs in Incremental Energy Offers

Fuel cost
Emission allowances/adders
Maintenance Adders
Operating Costs
Opportunity Costs

(d) All fuel costs shall employ the marginal fuel price experienced by the Member.

2. FUEL COST POLICY

2.1 Approved Fuel Cost Policy Requirement for Non-Zero Cost-based Offer.

A Market Seller may only submit a non-zero cost-based offer into the PJM Interchange Energy Market for a generation resource if it has a PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy, or follows the temporary cost offer methodology set forth in Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 6.3, consistent with each fuel type for such generation resource.

2.2 Fuel Cost Policy Approval Process.

(a) A Market Seller shall provide a Fuel Cost Policy to PJM and the Market Monitoring Unit for each generation resource that it intends to submit with a non-zero cost-based offer into the PJM Interchange Energy Market, for each fuel type utilized by the resource. The Market Seller shall submit its initial Fuel Cost Policy for a generation resource to PJM and the Market Monitoring Unit for review and shall update existing Fuel Cost Policies consistent with the requirements set forth below in Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 2.6.

(i) For each new generation resource for which the Market Seller intends to submit a non-zero cost-based offer, the Market Seller may also:

A. Submit a provisional Fuel Cost Policy to PJM and the Market Monitoring Unit for review and approval when it does not have commercial operating data. The

provisional Fuel Cost Policy shall describe the Market Seller's methodology to procure and price fuel and include all available operating data. Within 90 calendar days of the commercial operation date of such generation resource, the Market Seller shall submit to PJM and the Market Monitoring Unit for review an updated Fuel Cost Policy reflecting actual commercial operating data of the resource; or

B. Follow the temporary cost offer methodology set forth in Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 6.3, until PJM approves a new Fuel Cost Policy.

(ii) A Market Seller of a generation resource that is transferred from another Market Seller that intends to submit a non-zero cost-based offer must:

A. Affirm the currently approved Fuel Cost Policy on file for such generation resource prior to the submission of a cost-based offer; or

B. Submit an updated Fuel Cost Policy for review, which must be approved prior to the submission of a cost-based offer developed in accordance with such policy; or

C. Follow the temporary cost offer methodology set forth in Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 6.3, until PJM approved a new Fuel Cost Policy.

(b) PJM and the Market Monitoring Unit will have an initial thirty (30) Business Days for review of a submitted policy.

(c) The basis for the Market Monitoring Unit's review is described in Tariff, Attachment M-Appendix. PJM shall consult with the Market Monitoring Unit, and consider any input and advice timely received from the Market Monitoring Unit, in its determination of whether to approve a Market Seller's Fuel Cost Policy.

(d) After it has completed its evaluation of the submitted Fuel Cost Policy, PJM shall notify the Market Seller in writing, with a copy to the Market Monitoring Unit, whether the Fuel Cost Policy is approved or rejected. If PJM rejects a Market Seller's Fuel Cost Policy, PJM shall include an explanation for why the Fuel Cost Policy was rejected in its written notification.

(e) PJM shall establish an expiration date for each Fuel Cost Policy, with timely input and advice from the Market Monitoring Unit and Market Seller, and notify the Market Seller of such date at the time of the Fuel Cost Policy approval. Upon such expiration, the Fuel Cost Policy will no longer be deemed approved by PJM and the provisions of Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 2.4(b) shall apply.

2.3 Standard of Review.

(a) PJM shall review and approve a Fuel Cost Policy if it meets the requirements set forth in subsections (a)(i) through (vii) of this section. PJM shall reject Fuel Cost Policies that fail to meet such requirements and that do not accurately reflect the applicable costs, such as the fuel

source, transportation cost, procurement process used, applicable adders, commodity cost, or provide sufficient information for PJM to verify the Market Seller's fuel cost at the time of the Market Seller's cost-based offer. If PJM rejects a Market Seller's Fuel Cost Policy, PJM shall include an explanation for why the Fuel Cost Policy was rejected in its written notification. A Fuel Cost Policy must:

(i) Provide information sufficient for the verification of the Market Seller's fuel pricing and/or cost estimation method, as further described below and in PJM Manual 15, and how those practices are utilized to determine cost-based offers the Market Seller submits into the PJM Interchange Energy Market;

(ii) Reflect the Market Seller's applicable commodity and/or transportation contracts (to the extent it holds such contracts) and the Market Seller's method of calculating delivered fossil fuel cost, limited to inventoried cost, replacement cost or a combination thereof, that reflect the way fuel is purchased or scheduled for purchase, and set forth all applicable indices as a measure that PJM can use to verify how anticipated spot market purchases are utilized in determining fuel costs;

(iii) Provide a detailed explanation of the basis for and reasonableness of any applicable adders included in determining fuel costs in accordance with PJM Manual 15;

(iv) Account for situations where applicable indices or other objective market measures are not sufficiently liquid by documenting the alternative means actually utilized by the Market Seller to price the applicable fuel used in the determination of its cost-based offers, such as documented quotes for the procurement of natural gas;

(v) Adhere to all requirements of PJM Manual 15 applicable to the generation resource:

(vi) Specify a source for fuel price that can be verified by the Office of the Interconnection or the Market Monitoring Unit after the fact with the same data available to the Market Seller at the time the fuel price estimation was made; and

(vii) Document a standardized method or methods for calculating fuel costs including defining objective triggers for optional fuel cost updates.

(b) To the extent a Market Seller proposes alternative measures to document its fuel costs in its Fuel Cost Policy for a generation resource, the Market Seller shall explain how such alternative measures are consistent with or superior to the standard specified in subsection (a) of this section, accounting for the unique circumstances associated with procurement of fuel to supply the generation resource.

(c) If PJM determines that a Fuel Cost Policy submitted for review does not contain adequate support for PJM to make a determination as to the acceptability of any portion of the proposed policy consistent with the standards set forth above, PJM shall reject the Fuel Cost Policy. If PJM rejects the Fuel Cost Policy, the Market Seller may use:

(i) The existing approved Fuel Cost Policy, if the policy is not expired and is still reflective of the Market Sellers current fuel pricing and/or cost estimation method; or

(ii) The temporary cost offer methodology provided in Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 6.3 to develop its cost-based offers until such time as PJM approves a new Fuel Cost Policy for the Market Seller.

2.4 Expiration of Approved Fuel Cost Policies.

(a) PJM, in consultation with the Market Seller and with timely input and advice from the Market Monitoring Unit, may:

(i) Update the Market Seller's Fuel Cost Policy expiration date, with at least 90 days notification to the Market Seller, due to a business rule change in the PJM Governing Documents.

(ii) Immediately expire the Market Seller's Fuel Cost Policy with written notification to the Market Seller when a change in circumstance causes the Market Seller's fuel pricing and/or cost estimation method to be no longer consistent with the approved Fuel Cost Policy, this Operating Agreement, Schedule 2 or PJM Manual 15.

(b) If the Market Seller of a generation resource that has been transferred from another Market Seller does not affirm the current approved Fuel Cost Policy on file for that generation resource, then such Fuel Cost Policy shall terminate as of the date on which the generation resource was transferred to the new Market Seller.

(c) PJM shall notify the Market Seller and the Market Monitoring Unit in writing when it has approved or denied a requested update to a Fuel Cost Policy expiration date and the rationale for its determination.

(d) On the next Business Day following the expiration of a Fuel Cost Policy, the Market Seller may only submit a cost-based offer of zero or a cost-based offer that is consistent with the temporary cost offer methodology in Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 6.3 until a new Fuel Cost Policy is approved by PJM for the relevant resource. If PJM expires a Market Seller's previously approved Fuel Cost Policy under Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 2.4(a)(i) or (ii), PJM shall notify the Market Seller in writing, with a copy to the Market Monitoring Unit, and include an explanation for the expiration, along with relevant documentation to support the expiration of a Fuel Cost Policy. Upon expiration, the Market Seller may rebut the expiration pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 6.2

2.5 Information Required To Be Included In Fuel Cost Policies.

(a) Each Market Seller shall include in its Fuel Cost Policy the following information, as further described in the applicable provisions of PJM Manual 15:

(i) For all Fuel Cost Policies, regardless of fuel type, the Market Seller shall provide a detailed explanation of the Market Seller's established method of calculating or estimating fuel costs, indicating whether fuel purchases are subject to a contract price and/or spot pricing, and specifying how it is determined which of the contract prices and/or spot market prices to use. The Market Seller shall include its method for determining commodity, handling and transportation costs.

(ii) For Fuel Cost Policies applicable to generation resources using a fuel source other than natural gas, the Market Seller shall adhere to the following guidelines:

1. Fuel costs for solar and run-of-river hydro resources shall be zero.
2. Fuel costs for nuclear resources shall not include in-service interest charges whether related to fuel that is leased or capitalized.
3. For Pumped Storage Hydro resources, fuel cost shall be determined based on the amount of energy necessary to pump from the lower reservoir to the upper reservoir.
4. For all resources receiving renewable energy credits and/or production tax credits that plan to submit a non-zero cost based offer into the energy market, the Market Seller shall identify how it accounts for renewable energy credits and production tax credits.
5. For solid waste, bio-mass and landfill gas resources, the Market Seller shall include the costs of such fuels even when the cost is negative.
6. For Energy Storage Resources, fuel cost shall include costs to charge for later injection to the grid.

(iii) Market Sellers shall report, for all of the generation resource's operating modes, fuels, and at various operating temperatures, the incremental, no load and start heat requirements, the method of developing heat inputs, and the frequency of updating heat inputs when requested by the Office of the Interconnection.

(iv) Market Sellers shall include any applicable unit specific performance factors, and the method used to determine them, which may be modified seasonally to reflect ambient conditions when requested by the Office of the Interconnection.

(v) Market Sellers shall include the cost-based Start-Up Cost calculation for the generation resource, and identify for each temperature state the starting fuel (MMBtu), station service (MWh), and start Maintenance Adder, when requested by the Office of the Interconnection.

(vi) A Fuel Cost Policy shall also include any other incremental operating costs included in a Market Seller's cost-based offer for a resource, including but not limited to the consumables used for operation and the marginal value of costs in terms of dollars per MWh or dollars per unit of fuel, along with all applicable descriptions, calculation methodologies associated with such costs, and frequency of updating such costs.

2.6 Periodic Update and Review of Fuel Cost Policies.

Prior to expiration of a Fuel Cost Policy, all Market Sellers will be required to either submit to PJM and the Market Monitoring Unit an updated Fuel Cost Policy that complies with this Operating Agreement, Schedule 2 and PJM Manual 15, or confirm that their expiring Fuel Cost Policy remains compliant, pursuant to the procedures and deadlines specified in PJM Manual 15. PJM shall consult with the Market Monitoring Unit, and consider any input timely received from the Market Monitoring Unit, in its determination of whether to approve a Market Seller's updated Fuel Cost Policy. After it has completed its evaluation of the request, PJM shall notify the Market Seller in writing, with a copy to the Market Monitoring Unit, of its determination whether the updated Fuel Cost Policy is approved or rejected. If PJM rejects a Market Seller's updated Fuel Cost Policy, in its written notification, PJM shall provide an explanation for why the Fuel Cost Policy was rejected.

The Market Seller shall follow the applicable processes and deadlines specified in this Operating Agreement, Schedule 2 and the PJM Manual 15 to submit an updated Fuel Cost Policy:

- (a) If the Market Seller's fuel pricing or cost estimation method is no longer consistent with the approved Fuel Cost Policy, or
- (b) If a Market Seller desires to update its Fuel Cost Policy.

2.7 Market Monitoring Unit Review For Market Power Concerns.

Nothing in this Operating Agreement, Schedule 2 is intended to abrogate or in any way alter the responsibility of the Market Monitoring Unit to make determinations about market power pursuant to Tariff, Attachment M and Attachment M-Appendix.

3. EMISSION ALLOWANCES/ADDERS

3.1 Review of Emissions Allowances/Adders.

(a) For emissions costs, Market Sellers shall specify the emissions rate of each generation resource, the method for determining the emissions allowance cost, and the frequency of updating emission rates in the resource's Fuel Cost Policy. Emissions rates must be submitted to PJM and the Market Monitoring Unit. Emissions rates must be updated when they are no longer accurate. PJM shall establish an expiration date for emissions rates, with timely input and advice from the Market Monitoring Unit and Market Seller, and notify the Market Seller of such date at the time of the emissions rate approval. Market Sellers must submit updated rates prior to the expiration of the current adder. The Market Seller of a generation resource with an expired

emission rate, or otherwise does not have an approved emission rate, may not include an emission adder in the cost-based offer associated with such generation resource.

(b) Market Sellers may submit emissions cost information to PJM and the Market Monitoring Unit as part of the information it submits during the annual Fuel Cost Policy review process, described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 2.6. The basis for the Market Monitoring Unit's review is described in Tariff, Attachment M-Appendix, section II.A.2. PJM shall consult with the Market Monitoring Unit, and consider any input and advice timely received from the Market Monitoring Unit, in its determination of whether to approve emissions costs.

4. MAINTENANCE ADDERS & OPERATING COSTS

4.1 Maintenance Adders

Maintenance Adders are expenses directly related to electric production and can be a function of starts and/or run hours. Allowable expenses may include repair, replacement, and major inspection, and overhaul expenses including variable long term service agreement expenses. Maintenance Adders are calculated as the 10 or 20 year average cost of a unit's maintenance history, or all available actual maintenance history if a unit has less than 20 years of maintenance history. The major inspection and overhaul costs listed below in sections (a)-(c) are not exhaustive. A Market Seller may include costs in cost-based offers if those costs are similar to the costs outlined in this provision, so long as they are variable costs that are directly attributable to the production of electricity.

(a) Major inspections and overhauls of gas turbine and steam turbine generators include, but are not limited to, the following costs:

- turbine blade repair/replacement;
- turbine diaphragm repair;
- casing repair/replacement;
- bearing repair/refurbishment;
- seal repair/replacement and generator refurbishment;
- heat transfer replacement and cleaning;
- cooling tower fan motor and gearbox inspection;
- cooling tower fill and drift eliminators replacement;
- Selective Catalytic Reduction and CO Reduction Catalyst replacement;
- Reverse Osmosis Cartridges replacement;
- air filter replacement;
- fuel and water pump inspection/replacement;

(b) Major maintenance of gas turbine generators directly related to electric production include, but are not limited to:

- compressor blade repair/replacement;
- hot gas path inspections, repairs, or replacements.

(c) Major maintenance of steam turbine generators directly related to electric production include, but are not limited to:

- stop valve repairs;
- throttle valve repairs;
- nozzle block repairs;
- intercept valve repairs.

(d) Maintenance Costs that cannot be included in a Market Seller's cost-based offer are preventative maintenance and routine maintenance on auxiliary equipment like buildings, HVAC, compressed air, closed cooling water, heat tracing/freeze protection, and water treatment.

4.2 Operating Costs

(a) Operating Costs are expenses related to consumable materials used during unit operation and include, but are not limited to, lubricants, chemicals, limestone, trona, ammonia, acids, caustics, water injection, activated carbon for mercury control, and demineralizers usage. These operating costs not exhaustive. A Market Seller may include other operating costs in cost-based offers so long as they are operating costs that are directly attributable to the production of energy.

(b) Operating Costs may be calculated based on a fixed or rolling average of values from one to five years in length, reviewed (and updated if changed) annually, or a rolling average from twelve to sixty months in length, reviewed (and updated if changed) monthly.

4.3 Labor Costs

Labor costs included in cost-based offers do not include straight-time labor costs and are limited to contractor labor or plant personnel overtime labor included in the Maintenance Adder associated with maintenance activities directly related to electric production. Straight time labor expenses may be included under an Avoidable Cost Rate in the RPM auction.

4.4 Review of Maintenance Adders & Operating Costs.

(a) Maintenance Adders and Operating Costs must be submitted and reviewed at least annually by PJM and be changed if they are no longer accurate. Maintenance Adders and Operating Costs cannot include any costs that are included in the generation resource's Avoidable Cost Rate pursuant to Tariff, Attachment DD, section 6.8(c).

(b) Market Sellers must specify the maintenance history years utilized in calculating Maintenance Adders during the annual review.

(c) Market Sellers must specify the years used to calculate Operating Costs during the annual review. Market Sellers that elect to use a six month to twelve month rolling average must submit these costs for a monthly review.

(d) Market Sellers may submit Maintenance Adder and Operating Costs information to PJM and the Market Monitoring Unit as part of the information it submits during the annual Fuel Cost Policy review process, described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 2.6. The basis for the Market Monitoring Unit's review is described in Tariff, Attachment M-Appendix, section II.A.2. PJM shall consult with the Market Monitoring Unit, and consider any input and advice timely received from the Market Monitoring Unit, in its determination of whether to approve Maintenance Adders and Operating Costs.

5. OPPORTUNITY COSTS

(a) For a generating unit that is subject to operational limitations due to energy or environmental limitations imposed on the generating unit by Applicable Laws and Regulations, the Market Participant may include a calculation of its "Opportunity Costs" which is an amount reflecting the unit-specific Energy Market Opportunity Costs expected to be incurred. Such unit-specific Energy Market Opportunity Costs are calculated by forecasting Locational Marginal Prices based on future contract prices for electricity using PJM Western Hub forward prices, taking into account historical variability and basis differentials for the bus at which the generating unit is located for the prior three year period immediately preceding the relevant compliance period, and subtract therefrom the forecasted costs to generate energy at the bus at which the generating unit is located, as specified in more detail in PJM Manual 15. If the difference between the forecasted Locational Marginal Prices and forecasted costs to generate energy is negative, the resulting Energy Market Opportunity Cost shall be zero. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a Market Participant may submit a request to PJM for consideration and approval of an alternative method of calculating its Energy Market Opportunity Cost if the standard methodology described herein does not accurately represent the Market Participant's Energy Market Opportunity Cost.

(b) For a generating unit that is subject to operational limitations because it only has a limited number of starts or available run hours resulting from (i) the physical equipment limitations of the unit, for up to one year, due to original equipment manufacturer recommendations or insurance carrier restrictions, or (ii) a fuel supply limitation, for up to one year, resulting from an event of Catastrophic Force Majeure, the Market Participant may include a calculation of its "Opportunity Costs" which is an amount reflecting the unit-specific Non-Regulatory Opportunity Costs expected to be incurred. Such unit-specific Non-Regulatory Opportunity Costs are calculated by forecasting Locational Marginal Prices based on future contract prices for electricity using PJM Western Hub forward prices, taking into account historical variability and basis differentials for the bus at which the generating unit is located for the prior three year period immediately preceding the period of time in which the unit is bound by the referenced restrictions, and subtract therefrom the forecasted costs to generate energy at the bus at which the generating unit is located, as specified in more detail in PJM Manual 15. If the difference between the forecasted Locational Marginal Prices and forecasted costs to generate energy is negative, the resulting Non-Regulatory Opportunity Cost shall be zero.

6. PENALTY PROVISIONS

6.1 Penalties.

(a) If upon review of a Market Seller's cost-based offer, PJM determines that the offer is not in compliance with the Market Seller's PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy or this Operating Agreement, Schedule 2 and the Market Monitoring Unit agrees with that determination, or the Market Monitoring Unit determines that the offer is not in compliance with the Market Seller's PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy and PJM agrees with the Market Monitoring Unit's determination, or PJM determines that any portion of the cost-based offer is not in compliance with this Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, the Market Seller shall be subject to a penalty. If:

1. The Market Seller ceased submitting the non-compliant offer either prior to, or upon notification from PJM, or the Market Seller reports such error to PJM after ceasing submission of the non-compliant cost-based offer then the penalty calculation will use the average hourly MWh and LMP for each hour of the day across the non-compliant period, as shown in the equation below. For the purposes of this equation, the non-compliant period is defined as the first hour of the Operating Day for which the non-compliant offer was first submitted through the earlier of: a) the last hour of the Operating Day for which the non-compliant offer was submitted (inclusive of all hours, even where the offer was correct, in between the same non-compliant offer); or b) notification of the non-compliant offer from PJM (inclusive of all hours, even where the offer was correct, in between the same non-compliant offer).

$$\text{Non-Escalating Penalty} = \sum_{h=1}^{24} \left(\left(\frac{1}{20} \right) \times \text{LMP}_h \times \text{MW}_h \times \text{E} \times \text{I} \right)$$

where:

h is the applicable hour of the Operating Day.

LMP_h is the average hourly real-time LMP at the applicable location of the resource for the given hour across the non-compliant period.

MW_h is the average hourly available capacity of the resource for the given hour across the non-compliant period, where available capacity is defined as the greater of the real-time megawatt output and emergency maximum of the generation resource.

E is the Market Seller error identification factor. The Market Seller error identification factor shall be equal 0.25 when the non-compliant offer is identified by the Market Seller

without inquiry from or being prompted by PJM or the Market Monitoring Unit, and PJM, with timely input and advice from the Market Monitoring Unit, agrees that the Market Seller first identified the error. The Market Seller error identification shall equal 1 in the absence of a valid self-identified error.

I is the market impact factor over the duration of the non-compliant cost-based offer. The market impact factor shall be equal to 1 if the Market Seller continued submitting non-compliant offers after receiving notice from PJM of its non-compliant offer, or if the Market Seller continued submitting non-compliant offers after notifying PJM of the non-compliant cost-based offer, or when any of the following conditions exist for any hour throughout the duration of the non-compliant cost-based offer:

A. The generation resource clears in the Day-ahead Energy Market on the non-compliant cost-based offer, or runs in Real-time Energy Market on the non-compliant cost-based offer and is either:

(i) paid day-ahead or balancing operating reserves as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 3.2.3; or

(ii) The marginal resource for energy, transmission constraint control, regulation or reserves.

B. The Market Seller does not pass the three pivotal supplier test as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.1(e) and any of the following conditions apply:

(i) The generation resource is not committed

(ii) The generation resource runs on its cost-based offer

(iii) The generation resource is running on its market-based offer and it did not pass the three pivotal supplier test at the time of commitment

C. The non-compliant incremental cost-based offer is greater than \$1,000.MWh

If none of the above conditions apply, then the market impact factor shall be equal to 0.1

2. In addition to being issued the penalty described in 6.1(a)(1), a Market Seller will be subject to a daily escalating penalty for each day beyond which the Market Seller continues submitting the non-compliant cost-based offer after notification from PJM, or after the Market Seller reports such error to PJM. Escalating daily penalty will be calculated as shown in the equation below:

$$\text{Escalating Daily Penalty} = \sum_{h=1}^{24} \left(\left(\frac{d}{20} \right) \times \text{LMP}_h \times \text{MW}_h \right)$$

where:

d is the number of days, starting at 2 and increasing by 1 for each additional day of non-compliance following notification, and capped at a value of 15.

h is the applicable hour of the Operating Day.

LMP_h is the hourly real-time LMP at the applicable pricing location for the resource for the applicable hour of the Operating Day.

MW_h is the hourly available capacity of the resource for the applicable hour of the Operating Day, where available capacity is defined as the greater of the real-time megawatt output and emergency maximum of the generation resource.

(b) All charges collected pursuant to this provision shall be allocated to Market Participants based on each Market Participant's real-time load ratio share for each applicable hour, as determined based on the Market Participant's total hourly load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero) to the total hourly load of all Market Participants in the PJM Region.

(c) Market Sellers that are assessed a penalty for a cost-based offer not in compliance with the Market Seller's PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy, the temporary cost offer methodology, or this Schedule 2 shall be assessed penalties until the day after PJM determines that the Market Seller's cost-based offers are in compliance with the Market Seller's approved Fuel Cost Policy or in compliance with this Schedule 2. Such penalties will be assessed for no less than one (1) Operating Day.

6.2 Rebuttal Period To Challenge Expiration of Fuel Cost Policy.

Market Sellers who have a Fuel Cost Policy that has been immediately expired by PJM will be provided a three (3) Business Day rebuttal period, starting from the date of expiration, to submit supporting documentation to PJM demonstrating that the expired Fuel Cost Policy accurately reflects the fuel pricing and/or cost estimation method documented in the previously approved Fuel Cost Policy that was expired. However, if, upon review of the Market Seller's supporting documentation, PJM determines that the expired policy accurately reflects the Market Seller's actual methodology used to develop the cost-based offer that was submitted at the time of expiration and that the Market Seller has not violated its Fuel Cost Policy, then PJM will make

whole the Market Seller via uplift payments for the time period for which the applicable Fuel Cost Policy had been expired and the generation resource was mitigated to its cost-based offer.

6.3 Exemption From Penalty

(a) A Market Seller will not be subject to a penalty under Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, section 6.1 for utilizing a fuel pricing and/or cost estimation method inconsistent with the methodology in the Market Seller's PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy or this Operating Agreement, Schedule 2 if the reason for fuel pricing and/or cost estimation deviation is due to an unforeseen event outside of the control of the Market Seller, its agents, and its affiliated fuel suppliers which, by exercise of due diligence the Market Seller could not reasonably have contemplated at the time the Fuel Cost Policy was developed, such as:

(i) physical events such as acts of God, landslides, lightning, earthquakes, fires, storms or storm warnings, such as hurricanes, which result in evacuation of the affected area, floods, washouts, explosions, breakage or accident or necessity of repairs to machinery or equipment or lines of pipe;

(ii) weather related events affecting an entire geographic region, such as low temperatures which cause freezing or failure of wells or lines of pipe or other fuel delivery infrastructure;

(iii) interruption and/or curtailment of firm transportation and/or storage by transporters;

(iv) acts of unaffiliated third parties including but not limited to strikes, lockouts or other industrial disturbances, riots, sabotage, insurrections or wars, or acts of terror; and

(v) governmental actions such as necessity for compliance with any court order, law, statute, ordinance, regulation, or policy having the effect of law promulgated by a governmental authority having jurisdiction.

(b) Market Seller shall provide evidence of the event and direct impact on the Market Seller's ability to utilize a fuel pricing and/or cost estimation method consistent with the methodology in the Market Seller's PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy or this Operating Agreement, Schedule 2. Such evidence shall be provided to PJM and the Market Monitoring Unit. Upon providing such evidence to PJM and the Market Monitoring Unit, and after receiving timely comments from the Market Monitoring Unit, PJM shall determine and notify the Market Seller as to whether the evidence sufficiently demonstrates that the force majeure event directly impacted the Market Seller's ability to conform to the methodology described in the applicable PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy. The applicability of this provision shall not apply for economic hardship nor obviate the requirement for a Market Seller to submit cost-based offers that are just and reasonable, and utilize best available information to develop fuel costs during a force majeure event.

6.4 Temporary Cost Offer Methodology

(a) As an option, Market Sellers may utilize the temporary cost offer methodology to calculate a generation resource's cost-based offer while developing a new Fuel Cost Policy in good faith for the following:

- (i) Generation resources that initiate participation in the PJM Energy Market
- (ii) Generation resources transferring from one Market Seller to another Market Seller
- (iii) Generation resources that have an expired Fuel Cost Policy

(b) The temporary cost offer methodology shall be comprised of the index settle price, described below, at the PJM-assigned commodity pricing point multiplied by heat input curves submitted by the Market Seller, as described in Manual 15.

For generation resources that opt-out of intraday offers, the last published closing index settle price shall be used for all hours of the Operating Day.

For generation resources that opt-in to intraday offers, index settle prices shall be based on the last published closing settle price for all hours of the Operating Day , and updated to reflect the:

1. last published closing settle price, if decreased, for hours ending 11 through 24 for natural gas
2. last published closing settle price, if decreased, for all hours of the Operating Day for all other fuel types

(c) The commodity pricing point and index publication source shall be assigned by PJM in consultation with the Market Seller and with timely input and advice from the Market Monitoring Unit.

(d) A Market Seller may not include any of the other permissible components for cost-based offers that listed in this Operating Agreement, section 1.1.

(e) If a Market Seller without a PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy does not utilize this temporary cost offer methodology to calculate its cost-based offer, the Market Seller shall only submit a zero cost-based offer.