## **Preliminary Draft**

PJM Interconnection principles for TRPSTF design matrix and other PJM planning meetings

May 24, 2018

PJM citations and principles associated with its proposed TRPSTF design components. These principles are also applicable to RTEP, SRRTEP, Planning Committee (PC) and TEAC processes.

- 1. PJM is the Regional Transmission Planner and Transmission Operator. PJM's roles, authorities and requirements are documented in the NERC-Accepted Transmission Owner/Transmission Operator (TO/TOP) Matrix, the Amended and Restated Operating Agreement (OA), the Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT), the Reliability Assurance Agreement (RAA) and the Consolidated Transmission Owners Agreement (CTOA). We reference the most current OA, OATT, RAA, and CTOA on file with FERC.
- 2. PJM Manual 34: PJM Stakeholder Process Manual is the documented methodology set forth to ensure requirements are met to obtain FERC approval of any proposed revision(s) to the PJM governing documents (OA, OATT, and RAA) or PJM's stakeholder process. Section 10 of the Consensus Based Issue Resolution Process does not provide for review, revision or amendment of the Consolidated Transmission Owner Agreement (CTOA). PJM does not propose any changes to the CTOA.
- 3. PJM's proposed clarifications, enhancements and new processes to the TRPSTF design matrix, when accepted by the PC/MRC, will be codified in the PJM Manuals. Future TRPSTF recommendations will be reviewed with the PC and voted upon by the MRC.
- 4. As the Transmission Provider and Regional Transmission Planner, PJM core responsibilities relate to the administration, execution and oversight of planning the bulk electric system to include: developing the regional transmission expansion plan (RTEP), assessing the impacts to the reliability of the transmission system plan, the facilitation of sub-regional planning process, assessing the impacts, if any, of transmission owner Supplemental Projects and, finally, administering and selecting competitive transmission projects in accordance with FERC Order No. 1000.
- 5. PJM's core responsibilities associated with the planning processes are based upon adherence with the Governing Agreements listed in #1 above and further documented in the PJM manuals. These processes are bounded by criteria, reliability-driven practices and sound engineering judgment. For PJM-planned projects, these processes require criteria that result in the selection of the more efficient or cost-effective solution when considering multiple proposals. PJM shall continue to uphold its engineering and reliability methodology with the understanding that the prudency of a project or projects is the sole jurisdiction of others (e.g. FERC, and or State public utility commissions). PJM, as the independent regional planner, is responsible for the development of the RTEP that will enable the transmission needs to satisfy the reliability, adequacy, operational performance, market efficiency, multi-driver, public policy requirements and competitive transmission development and operation. While PJM processes require Transmission Owners to provide timely status updates including cost updates from concept to energization, PJM does not assert or see a role in which it is responsible for determination and evaluation of the prudency of a project before, during or after construction and energization of facilities.

With this as a context, PJM SMEs have developed the following positions and design component elements:

per subriginal modings locusing on EOL Benefile Projects as well as a EOL Septembel Projects are well as a EOL Septembel Projects (Septembel Projects) (Septembel Project			_						
Assumption Services in a Superior state of the Superior state of t	#	Design Components <sup>1</sup>	Priority	Status Quo		A	В		
Page					and TO Compliance Filings (3/19/2018)  [Applicable to all Supplemental projects]  [Not for editing or voting as this is the			ODEC/AMP Proposal (5/9/2018)	Proposals by PJM SMEs (5/4/2018)
Coverhalms of Lot of the Papeign and Conformation of Lot of the Papeign and Conformation of Lot of the Papeign and Conformation of the Conform	*	Implementation			FERC directive(s)]				
PAGESTAN PROCESS  and TEP processes  The Engineering of cycle  - receiting as needed of the rector  Suppressed Processes  FOL Supplemental Projects for each  TO the rector  WEEK's sportal processes  FOL Supplemental Projects for each  TO the rector  WEEK's sportal processes  Annual Assumptions the rector  WEEK's sportal processes  Annual Assumptions the rector  WEEK's sportal processes  Annual Assumptions the rector  One Subplemental Projects for each  To the rector  WEEK's sportal processes  Annual Assumptions the rector  One Subplemental Projects for each  To the rector  WEEK's sportal processes  Annual Assumptions the rector  Annual Assumptions the rector  One Subplemental Projects for each  To the rector of the recto	1			- assumptions meeting annually at	Subregional RTEP Committees shall be	regularly scheduled		Assumptions Meeting	Assumptions Meeting
drive the need for a Supplemental 2. PJM-facilitated Regional and Subregional Meetings on EOL Planning. Project (M-3, P3). Each transmission owner will review the identified system needs and drivers of those needs, based on the application  1. PJM schedule a minimum of one Subregional RTEP come no fewer than 25 days after the assumptions and methodol review the identified criteria violations and resulting system that may drive the need for an EOL project  1. PJM schedule a minimum of one Subregional RTEP come no fewer than 25 days after the assumptions and methodol review the identified criteria violations and resulting system that may drive the need for an EOL project		Projects in the Local Planning		the beginning of cycle - meetings as needed for the rest of year - sub regional meetings focusing on EOL Baseline Projects as well as EOL Supplemental Projects for each TO in the region -pc, TEAC, sub regional rtep postings via PJM.com	responsible for the review of Supplemental Projects (M-3, P1)  The Subregional RTEP Committees shall have a meaningful opportunity to participate and provide feedback, including written comments throughout the transmission planning process for Supplemental Projects (M-3, P1)  Annual Assumptions Meeting(s). Each Subregional RTEP Committee shall schedule and facilitate a minimum of one Subregional RTEP Committee meeting to review the Transmission Owners' criteria, assumptions and models used to plan and identify Supplemental Projects Each transmission owner shall provide the criteria, assumptions and models to PJM for posting at least 20 days in advance of the Assumptions meeting to allow stakeholders sufficient opportunity to review the information (M-3, P2). Stakeholders may provide comments on the criteria, assumptions and models to the transmission owner for consider either prior to or following the Assumptions Meeting. Transmission Owner shall review and consider comments that are received within 10 days of the Assumptions Meeting and may respond or provide feedback as appropriate (M-3, P2). System Needs Meeting. No fewer than 25 days after the Assumptions Meeting, each Subregional RTEP Committee shall schedule and facilitate a minimum of one Subregional RTEP Committee shall schedule and facilitate a minimum of one Subregional RTEP Committee meeting per planning cycle to review the identified criteria violations and resulting system needs, if any, that may drive the need for a Supplemental Project (M-3, P3). Each transmission owner will review the identified system needs and drivers of those needs, based on the application of its criteria, assumptions and models that it uses to plan Supplemental			<ul> <li>PJM-facilitated Regional and Subregional Meetings on EOL Planning plus individual TO meetings.</li> <li>TOs provide (and PJM posts) all TO planning criteria assumptions as well as EOL assessment methodologies and assumptions 30 days before meeting.</li> <li>Criteria must be quantifiable and include details about associated criteria thresholds. Each TO proposing EOL driven projects must have and share an established, company-approved, public set of quantifiable criteria that can be replicated by external entities.</li> <li>Provide asset specific scoring criteria (to facilitate prioritization during needs meeting(s)</li> <li>For developed criteria thresholds used to justify the replacement of an asset, the TO's will provide system level averages specific to that type/class of asset to support their established criteria threshold. These system level averages will include but not be limited to any data inputs used to rank and prioritize an individual asset's replacement against another asset of same type/class located on the TO's system</li> <li>Stakeholder comments 15 days after meeting.</li> <li>The TOs shall provide written responses within 10 days of stakeholder comments.  1.</li> <li>The TOs shall provide enough information for stakeholders to made understand how assets will be prioritized for replacement, how the replacement versus maintenance decision is, how assets rank relative to other assets on the system and the system average values. The level of detail will be sufficient to enable stakeholders to replicate the TO decision-making process for EOL facilities.</li> <li>30 days after assumptions meeting, PJM provides assumptions to be used in performing the evaluation as well as any concerns with TO-provided assumptions.</li> <li>System Needs Meeting(s)</li> <li>To include a review of system needs and drivers of needs, based on application of TO methodology and assumptions used to plan EOL projects.</li> <li>PJM-facilitated Regional and Subregional Meetings on EOL Planning.</li> <li>At least 25 da</li></ul>	<ol> <li>Follow process which is similar, though not identical to, the TEAC process and timing for baseline projects</li> <li>TO's provide overview of material condition and asset management program as they relate to end of life.</li> <li>TOs provide (and PJM posts) assumptions 20 calendar days in advance of scheduled SRRTEP meeting.</li> <li>Stakeholders provide any comments regarding the criteria, assumptions, and models posted for use in the EOL study process within 10 days of the assumptions and methodology meeting to be included in the TO review and consideration of all comments received for the assumptions and methodology meeting</li> <li>PJM shall schedule and facilitate all SRRTEP meetings.</li> <li>With continued refinements, and to the extent possible, a uniform template shall be used by all TOs to convey the information above</li> <li>At the SRRTEP meeting(s), stakeholders and customers should have access to basic transmission planning information necessary for them to consider future resource options (paragraph 476 of FERC Order No. 890) and impacts upon customer needs. Stakeholders may request information relevant to the TO's need determination and PJM shall provide such, or, in the instance that PJM does not possess such information, PJM shall submit the request for the relevant information to the zonal TO.</li> <li>PJM shall facilitate the SRRTEP in a timely fashion to support the progress of the planning process.</li> <li>TOs should coordinate their EOL processes with their yearly local reliability planning to help clarify why a more expensive solution might be brought forward that would solve both the reliability and EOL drivers.</li> <li>The TOs should synchronize above to provide input into PJM annual system forecast needs. (Note: for each TO, it must be recognized that its needs forecast can and will change throughout the year.)</li> <li>System Needs Meeting</li> <li>PJM schedule a minimum of one Subregional RTEP committee meeting no fewer than</li></ol>

The transmission owner shall share and post their identified criteria violations and drivers no fewer than 10 days in advance of the Needs Meeting (M-3, P3).

Stakeholders may provide comments on the criteria violations and drivers to the transmission owner for consideration prior to, at, or following the Needs Meeting (M-3, P3). The transmission owner shall review and consider comments that are received within 10 days of the Needs Meeting and may respond or provide feedback as appropriate (M-3, P3).

Solutions Meeting. No fewer than 25

days after the Needs Meeting, each Subregional RTEP Committee shall schedule and facilitate a minimum of one Subregional RTEP Committee meeting per planning cycle to review and potential solutions for the identified criteria violations (M-3, P4). The transmission owner shall share and post its potential solutions, as well as any alternatives identified by the transmission owners or stakeholders, no fewer than ten days in advance of the Solutions Meeting (M-3, P4). Stakeholders may provide comments on the potential solutions to the transmission owner for consideration either prior to or following the Solutions Meeting (M-3, P4). The transmission owner shall review and consider comments that are received within ten days of the meeting and may respond or provide feedback as appropriate (M-3, P4). Each transmission owner will finalize for submittal to PJM Supplemental Projects for inclusion in the Local Plan in accordance with the Operating Agreement, Schedule 6, § 1.3 and the schedule established by PJM (M-3, P Stakeholders may provide comments on the Supplemental Projects in accordance with the Operating Agreement, Schedule 6, § 1.3 before the Local Plan is integrated into the RTEP (M-3, P5). Each transmission owner shall review and consider comments that are received at least ten days before the Local Plan is submitted for integration into the RTEP (M-3, P5). Nothing in PJM Tariff, Attachment M-3 precludes a transmission owner from agreeing with stakeholders to hold

additional meetings or other

- be part of the overall system level average.
- <u>Drivers</u> contributing to EOL determination (including performance, condition and risk) should be included. TOs will provide quantifiable values pertaining to what is driving facility selection. These values must include system level averages. As applicable, TOs shall provide documentation developed of condition assessments (e.g. photographs, engineer field reports, etc.)
- i) On an annual basis, the TOs must provide a complete list of all assets (CB, TF, Line, Station, etc.), and their relative ranking from highest priority to lowest priority, and the associated input data supporting their ranked priorities, in order to discuss prioritization rather than just dealing with individual projects.
- 5. Stakeholders provide written comments within 10 days after meeting for TO consideration.
- 6. TOs provide written responses, including all additional information requested, prior to Solutions Meeting(s). Subsequent Solutions meetings will be deferred until all information is provided.
- 7. TOs must also identify the specific company that owns the asset being assessed and if the asset is currently a transmission or distribution asset, as well as what entity will be owning, operating and maintaining the replacement facilities.
- 8. When EOL transmission projects are replacing distribution assets, the TO also provides drivers to support a transmission improvement over a distribution improvement, including the supporting evidence that demonstrates the transmission alternative is lower in cost and/or the distribution alternative would not meet the needs. Finally, for any EOL project that is replacing a distribution facility, the TO must demonstrate that the distribution needs are imminent.
- TOs must coordinate TO EOL process with their yearly local reliability planning to better demonstrate why a more expensive solution might be brought forward.
- 10. Needs meetings must occur prior to the individual TO finalizing its annual budget.
- 11. Nothing precludes any TO from having additional stakeholder meetings or communications regarding a Local Plan that affects such stakeholders in addition to the Planning Meetings.

## Solutions Meeting(s)

- 1. PJM-facilitated Regional and Subregional Meetings on EOL Planning
- 2. TOs shall share and post their potential solutions, as well as any alternatives identified by the TOs or stakeholders, at least 10 days in advance of the Solutions Meeting.
- 3. Only EOL solutions that include the following information will be brought forward for consideration:
- a) Asset specific EOL scoring data inputs, analysis, and final results
- b) Asset specific EOL priority ranking relative to entire system under study
- c) Asset specific EOL Quantifiable values pertaining to what is driving the selection of the facility

- 3. Stakeholders provide comments no later than 10 days following the needs meeting for TO to review and consider so that the TO may respond or provide feedback as appropriate
- 4. TO's provide annual forecast of supplemental projects with current known information. Additional forecasts may be provided throughout the year as necessary. Drivers contributing to EOL-based need determination (such as age, performance, condition and risk) should be included from the criteria identified in the assumptions and methodology meeting.
- 5. TO representatives shall present identified system needs and drivers.

## Solutions Meeting

- 1. PJM schedule a minimum of one subregional RTEP committee solutions meeting no fewer than 25 days after the needs meeting
- 2. TO post potential solutions, with any alternatives considered, no fewer than 10 days prior to the solutions meeting
- 3. TO to review and consider written comments received prior to or within 10 days after the solutions meeting. TO may respond or provide feedback as appropriate.
- 4. At the conclusion of the process, the TO will identify the recommended solution that will be included in the PJM Local Plan. Project selection is determined solely by TO.
- 5. Stakeholders and customers are given the opportunity to provide meaningful input and alternatives for TO consideration. Whether such input or alternatives are included in the determination of the final solution is and remains the sole decision of the TO.

## Submission of EOL Projects

- 1. TO finalize and PJM post final EOL solutions
- 2. Stakeholders may provide comments on final solutions
- 3. TO shall review and consider written comments that are received at least 10 days before the schedule, as established by PJM, for including the EOL solutions in the Local Plan and RTEP

Suppremental registers, the authors to the country of the country
16. The TOs shall provide a written response 10 days prior to the Local Plan being submitted for integration into the RTEP.
17. Projects for the Local Plan will not be final "finalized" until the conclusion of Dispute Resolution (if applicable)

2	Openness/Transparency			EOL decision making process incorporated into protocols	review and PJM approval of criteria and guidelines		1. PJM emphasizes that CEII access is granted to an individual solely for the use in examining a specific need or proposed solution. The information is not to be disseminated further than to similarly authorized individuals and may not be utilized for any other purpose.
3	Communications			identify any facilities that TO thinks that are 5 years within EOL (asset or project list)			
4	Reference Materials	- Formula rates, FERC filings posted to PJM.com - Tabular data, construction status, cost allocation and associated filings, post TO criteria, form 715, posted TEAC whitepapers, deactivation/retirement notices, secure posting of models, special webcasts, all queue information	Information relating to each transmission owner's Supplemental Projects will be provided in accordance with and subject to the limitations set forth in the Operating Agreement, Schedule 6, § 1.5.4(e) (M-3, P6).				1. Information relating to each transmission owner's Supplemental Projects will be provided in accordance with and subject to the limitations set forth in the Operating Agreement, Schedule 6, § 1.5.4(e) (M-3, P6).
5	Comparability			PJM and TO's agree upon guidelines how identify end of life assets			
6	Dispute Resolution	-PJM standard ADR processes in OATT and OA (process assertion for violating OATT and OA) -participate in discussion by TEAC, letters TO the board, siting proceedings by state	Disputes shall be resolved in accordance with procedures set forth in the Operating Agreement, Schedule 5			<ol> <li>Disputes shall be resolved in accordance with the procedures set forth at Schedule 5 of the Operating Agreement.</li> <li>This is not limited to process disputes and shall include disputes about a TO's assumptions, needs, or solution decisions to select one or more EOL Projects into the Local Plan.</li> </ol>	1. There is opportunity for Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) regarding the RTEP or SRRTEP processes, however, no ADR process is identified for project selection. EOL Project selection is determined solely by TO.
7	Replicability	some ability to replicate stability, short circuit, power flow - PJM working on tools to improve - ability to review but not replicate aging infrastructure analysis					Not applicable or required for end of life. Replicability does not apply to end of life or asset management.
8	Consistency	individual TO approach to aging infrastructure based on historic practice		- consistency of application by TO - some degree of consistency across TOs	list of potential guidelines		1. PJM shall develop manual language to establish minimum requirements related to transparency and availability of information with respect to asset management programs.

9	Interaction with Market Efficiency Process		potential integrate management of the potential integrate management o	)	1. Hold for efficiency	for market efficiency problem statement. Rolled into future market cy analysis by separate PJM task force.
10	project reporting standards (completed Project construction website)	<ul> <li>qtr. reports for RTEP projects</li> <li>approx. qtr. updates to construction status</li> </ul>	PJM created periodic report to clarify transmission costs (TCIC)	enhance RTEP project reporting to include initial and final cost and schedule		
11	PJM analytically determine need (new design component 1/24/2018)				position to analysis.	orovides the need, condition, and performance. PJM is not in a n to assess needs that cannot be validated through power system s.  is not in a position to validate TO asset management program(s).