

# Education: Other Resource Adequacy Reliability Metrics – Part III

Patricio Rocha Garrido Resource Adequacy Planning RASTF February 4, 2022



### History of the 1 in 10 criterion

- By 1960, the electric power industry started to widely recognize the LOLE index of 1 day in 10 years
  - C.W. Watchorn was one of the main proponents of the criterion around that time
  - The derivation of the criterion was mainly justified on numerical grounds (probably constrained by computational capabilities at the time)
- At PJM, the 1 in 10 criterion was proposed and approved by stakeholders in the early 1970's
  - Subsequently, the 1 in 25 criterion for LDAs was proposed and approved by stakeholders in the mid-1990's



#### History of the 1 in 10 criterion

- Criterion is often interpreted in mathematically inconsistent/incorrect ways
  - Example 1: 2 loss of load events in the same day count as 1 day with loss of load or 2 days with loss of load
  - Example 2: 0.1 days/year = 2.4 hours/year
- PJM is not aware of any study justifying the criterion from a cost/benefit of avoiding unserved energy perspective



### Resource Adequacy Levels - LOLH vs FPR (RTO)





### Resource Adequacy Levels - EUE vs FPR (RTO)





## Resource Adequacy Target Levels in Other RTOs/ISOs

RTO/ISO	Origin
MISO	LOLE = 0.1 days/year
NYISO	LOLE = 0.1 days/year
ISO-NE	LOLE = 0.1 days/year
SPP	LOLH = 2.4 hours/year
Alberta	EUE = 800 MWh/year
Australia NEM	EUE = 0.002% of annual energy





#### **SME / Presenter:**

Patricio Rocha Garrido, patricio.rocha-garrido@pjm.com

Education: Other Resource Adequacy Reliability Metrics – Part III Member Hotline (610) 666 – 8980 (866) 400 – 8980 custsvc@pjm.com

