# ARR/FTR Market Design and Design Components: IMM Proposals

AFMTF May 24, 2021 Howard J Haas



# The Purpose of the ARR/FTR Design

- The purpose of the ARR/FTR design is to return congestion to load.
- Congestion is the surplus payment by load that results from differences in LMP in a transmission constrained system.
- Congestion is the surplus after generation is paid and virtuals are settled.
- Congestion is paid by load.

www.monitoringanalytics.com

2



#### **ARR/FTR Market: Current Issues**

 The current ARR/FTR design does not serve as an efficient way to ensure that load has the right to receive all the congestion revenues.

www.monitoringanalytics.com

3



#### **ARR/FTR Market: Current Issues**

- ARR rights assigned on a generation to load path basis do not align with actual network use.
- Not all congestion paid by load can be claimed by ARR holders.
- FTRs are available on paths that do not correspond to physical load service and do not collect congestion.

www.monitoringanalytics.com

4



## **ARR/FTR Market: Current Issues**

- Allocating rights to congestion based on historic generation to load paths is inconsistent with actual congestion paid by network load use of the system.
- Using generation to load paths is not consistent with the way in which load actually pays congestion on the network. It is inefficient and ineffective.
- The result is significant differences between the allocation of congestion revenue rights and the actual payment of congestion.

www.monitoringanalytics.com

5



©2021

#### ARR and FTR Total Congestion Offset for ARR Holders: 2011/2012 through 2020/2021 (\$M)

													Post 2017/2	018 (With
									Pre 201	7/2018	2017/201	8 (With	Balancir	ng and
					Revenue				(Without B	alancing)	Balan	cing)	Surpl	us)
				Balancing +		Surplus Revenue		Post	Total		Current		New	
Planning	ARR	Unadjusted	Day Ahead	M2M	Total	Pre 2017/2018	Surplus Revenue	2017/2018	ARR/FTR	Percent	Revenue	Percent	Revenue	New
Period	Credits	FTR Credits	Congestion	Congestion	Congestion	Rules	2017/2018 Rules	Rules	Offset	Offset	Received	Offset	Received	Offset
2011/2012	\$512.2	\$310.0	\$1,025.4	(\$275.7)	\$749.7	(\$50.6)	\$35.6	\$113.9	\$771.6	102.9%	\$582.1	77.6%	\$660.4	88.1%
2012/2013	\$349.5	\$268.4	\$904.7	(\$379.9)	\$524.8	(\$94.0)	\$18.4	\$62.1	\$523.9	99.8%	\$256.4	48.9%	\$300.1	57.2%
2013/2014	\$337.7	\$626.6	\$2,231.3	(\$360.6)	\$1,870.6	(\$139.4)	(\$49.0)	(\$49.0)	\$824.8	44.1%	\$554.6	29.7%	\$554.6	29.7%
2014/2015	\$482.4	\$348.1	\$1,625.9	(\$268.3)	\$1,357.6	\$36.7	\$111.2	\$400.6	\$867.2	63.9%	\$673.4	49.6%	\$962.8	70.9%
2015/2016	\$635.3	\$209.2	\$1,098.7	(\$147.6)	\$951.1	\$9.2	\$42.1	\$188.9	\$853.7	89.8%	\$739.0	77.7%	\$885.9	93.1%
2016/2017	\$640.0	\$149.9	\$885.7	(\$104.8)	\$780.8	\$15.1	\$36.5	\$179.0	\$805.0	103.1%	\$721.6	92.4%	\$864.0	110.7%
2017/2018	\$427.3	\$212.3	\$1,322.1	(\$129.5)	\$1,192.6	\$52.3	\$80.4	\$370.7	\$692.0	58.0%	\$590.6	49.5%	\$880.9	73.9%
2018/2019	\$529.1	\$130.1	\$832.7	(\$152.6)	\$680.0	(\$5.8)	\$16.2	\$112.2	\$653.34	96.1%	\$522.7	76.9%	\$618.8	91.0%
2019/2020	\$542.0	\$91.9	\$612.1	(\$169.4)	\$442.7	(\$1.6)	\$21.6	\$157.8	\$632.3	142.8%	\$486.1	109.8%	\$622.2	140.6%
2020/2021*	\$311.0	\$133.1	\$713.4	(\$213.5)	\$499.9	(\$34.6)	(\$1.6)	(\$1.6)	\$409.48	81.9%	\$229.0	45.8%	\$229.0	45.8%
Total	\$4,766.5	\$2,479.6	\$11,251.8	(\$2,201.9)	\$9,049.9	(\$212.7)	\$311.3	\$1,534.5	\$7,033.4	77.7%	\$5,355.5	59.2%	\$6,578.7	72.7%

©2021

www.monitoringanalytics.com

6

# Zonal ARR and FTR Total Congestion Offset for ARR Holders: 2020/2021 Planning Period(\$M)

	and the second second	Adjusted	Balancing+	Surplus		Day Ahead	Balancing		Total	
Zone	ARR Credits	FTR Credits	M2M Charge	Allocation	Total Offset	Congestion	Congestion	M2M Payments	Congestion	Offset
ACEC	\$3.7	\$0.0	(\$2.3)	(\$0.1)	\$1.5	\$6.6	(\$2.0)	(\$0.3)	\$4.4	33.3%
AEP	\$33.6	\$25.7	(\$31.4)	(\$1.7)	\$28.0	\$115.5	(\$27.8)	(\$3.6)	\$84.1	33.3%
APS	\$27.3	\$12.8	(\$12.3)	(\$1.0)	\$27.8	\$48.1	(\$10.9)	(\$1.4)	\$35.8	77.8%
ATSI	\$17.0	\$0.2	(\$16.1)	(\$0.4)	\$1.0	\$55.1	(\$14.3)	(\$1.8)	\$39.1	2.6%
BGE	\$48.6	\$2.8	(\$7.6)	(\$1.2)	\$43.9	\$26.4	(\$6.7)	(\$0.9)	\$18.9	232.5%
COMED	\$30.3	\$10.7	(\$23.6)	(\$0.9)	\$17.5	\$84.3	(\$20.9)	(\$2.7)	\$60.7	28.8%
DAY	\$5.0	\$0.5	(\$4.3)	(\$0.1)	\$1.2	\$12.8	(\$3.8)	(\$0.5)	\$8.5	13.9%
DUKE	\$20.2	\$3.5	(\$6.6)	(\$0.6)	\$17.1	\$19.8	(\$5.9)	(\$0.8)	\$13.2	129.6%
DUQ	\$4.7	\$0.2	(\$3.3)	(\$0.1)	\$1.5	\$8.0	(\$2.9)	(\$0.5)	\$4.6	33.9%
DOM	\$6.3	\$56.6	(\$31.6)	(\$1.4)	\$31.3	\$93.4	(\$28.7)	(\$0.4)	\$64.3	48.7%
DPL	\$24.0	\$7.8	(\$5.8)	(\$0.7)	\$26.0	\$41.0	(\$5.2)	(\$3.0)	\$32.8	79.2%
EKPC	\$2.5	\$0.0	(\$3.5)	(\$0.1)	(\$0.9)	\$10.1	(\$3.1)	(\$0.4)	\$6.6	(13.7%)
EXT	\$0.4	\$0.0	(\$12.8)	(\$0.0)	(\$12.4)	\$21.0	(\$12.8)	\$0.0	\$8.2	(151.9%)
JCPLC	\$5.0	\$0.0	(\$5.0)	(\$0.1)	\$0.0	\$15.4	(\$4.3)	(\$0.6)	\$10.4	0.3%
MEC	\$2.9	\$0.5	(\$4.4)	(\$0.1)	(\$1.0)	\$17.6	(\$4.0)	(\$0.4)	\$13.2	(7.8%)
OVEC	\$0.0	\$0.0	(\$0.2)	\$0.0	(\$0.2)	\$1.0	(\$0.2)	\$0.0	\$0.8	(30.8%)
PECO	\$12.4	\$0.2	(\$9.0)	(\$0.3)	\$3.7	\$28.3	(\$7.9)	(\$1.1)	\$19.3	18.9%
PE	\$5.0	\$4.2	(\$5.0)	(\$0.2)	\$4.2	\$19.0	(\$4.6)	(\$0.5)	\$14.0	30.4%
PEPCO	\$21.5	\$3.0	(\$6.8)	(\$0.6)	\$17.7	\$21.8	(\$6.0)	(\$0.8)	\$15.0	118.4%
PPL	\$19.6	\$2.7	(\$9.5)	(\$0.5)	\$12.8	\$33.8	(\$8.4)	(\$1.2)	\$24.3	52.9%
PSEG	\$20.6	\$0.0	(\$11.8)	(\$0.5)	\$8.8	\$31.9	(\$10.6)	(\$1.2)	\$20.1	43.8%
REC	\$0.2	\$0.0	(\$0.5)	(\$0.0)	(\$0.3)	\$2.3	(\$0.4)	(\$0.0)	\$1.9	(16.7%)
Total	\$311.0	\$131.6	(\$213.4)	(\$10.6)	\$229.2	\$713.4	(\$191.4)	(\$22.0)	\$499.9	45.8%

©2021

www.monitoringanalytics.com

7

#### Monthly Surplus Congestion and Auction Revenue Distributed to FTR Holders: June 2017 - March 2021



#### Location of the Top 10 Constraints by PJM Total Congestion Costs: January through December, 2020



#### ARR Allocation MW Share: 2019/2020

	Stage 1	Α	Stage 1	В	Stage 2	2	Total	
	Out of Zone	In Zone						
AECO	17.4%	48.4%	7.9%	19.9%	0.0%	6.3%	25.3%	74.7%
AEP	8.5%	64.6%	1.4%	23.6%	0.2%	1.8%	10.1%	89.9%
APS	11.1%	51.8%	0.2%	34.1%	0.2%	2.6%	11.5%	88.5%
ATSI	26.1%	53.8%	9.7%	8.9%	0.2%	1.3%	36.1%	63.9%
BGE	26.8%	33.6%	0.0%	37.8%	0.0%	1.8%	26.8%	73.2%
ComEd	0.0%	66.5%	0.0%	18.6%	0.0%	14.8%	0.0%	100.0%
DAY	71.2%	0.6%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	26.0%	73.4%	26.6%
DEOK	41.8%	34.5%	0.1%	13.5%	0.1%	9.9%	42.1%	57.9%
DLCO	36.9%	10.0%	0.3%	0.7%	10.0%	42.2%	47.1%	52.9%
Dominion	0.7%	64.8%	0.0%	32.5%	0.0%	1.9%	0.7%	99.3%
DPL	24.7%	59.9%	1.8%	10.0%	0.3%	3.3%	26.8%	73.2%
EKPC	90.4%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	8.8%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
EXT	66.1%	0.0%	33.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
JCPL	7.5%	69.2%	0.1%	1.3%	13.5%	8.4%	21.1%	78.9%
Met-Ed	27.2%	70.2%	0.8%	0.6%	0.0%	1.2%	28.0%	72.0%
PECO	3.8%	58.7%	4.8%	23.2%	2.2%	7.4%	10.7%	89.3%
PENELEC	18.1%	60.1%	0.0%	16.0%	0.1%	5.8%	18.1%	81.9%
Pepco	16.7%	31.1%	0.0%	11.4%	0.2%	40.6%	16.9%	83.1%
PPL	0.0%	84.1%	0.0%	7.8%	0.8%	7.2%	0.9%	99.1%
PSEG	27.1%	44.4%	1.8%	18.9%	0.3%	7.5%	29.2%	70.8%
RECO	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Total	13.1%	56.2%	1.6%	20.5%	0.8%	7.7%	15.6%	84.4%

©2021

www.monitoringanalytics.com

#### ARR Allocation MW Share: 2020/2021

	Stage <sup>•</sup>	1A	Stage 1	В	Stage	2	Total	
	Out of Zone	In Zone	Out of Zone	In Zone	Out of Zone	In Zone	Out of Zone	In Zone
AECO	28.7%	35.6%	2.7%	26.1%	1.6%	5.4%	33.0%	67.0%
AEP	7.9%	65.8%	0.9%	23.2%	0.0%	2.2%	8.8%	91.2%
APS	8.6%	51.8%	0.8%	35.8%	0.2%	3.0%	9.5%	90.5%
ATSI	26.3%	58.5%	2.6%	9.9%	1.1%	1.6%	30.1%	69.9%
BGE	23.8%	28.6%	0.0%	27.1%	0.1%	20.4%	23.9%	76.1%
ComEd	0.0%	71.7%	0.0%	14.5%	0.0%	13.8%	0.0%	100.0%
DAY	79.7%	2.4%	5.3%	0.3%	1.5%	10.7%	86.6%	13.4%
DEOK	42.2%	31.0%	0.1%	14.9%	0.1%	11.7%	42.5%	57.5%
DLCO	73.3%	0.3%	6.5%	2.1%	8.3%	9.5%	88.1%	11.9%
Dominion	0.7%	63.8%	0.0%	34.1%	0.0%	1.4%	0.7%	99.3%
DPL	22.9%	52.5%	2.3%	12.2%	3.0%	7.2%	28.2%	71.8%
EKPC	21.0%	46.4%	0.1%	0.0%	32.0%	0.4%	53.2%	46.8%
EXT	69.7%	0.0%	30.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
JCPL	0.9%	56.8%	0.1%	0.9%	32.3%	9.0%	33.3%	66.7%
Met-Ed	23.2%	65.7%	0.1%	3.5%	0.4%	7.1%	23.7%	76.3%
PECO	11.1%	44.1%	2.9%	29.6%	2.1%	10.2%	16.1%	83.9%
PENELEC	15.2%	61.8%	0.0%	13.6%	1.1%	8.3%	16.3%	83.7%
Pepco	19.1%	30.2%	0.0%	1.6%	4.2%	44.9%	23.3%	76.7%
PPL	0.0%	77.5%	0.0%	8.9%	0.0%	13.6%	0.1%	99.9%
PSEG	27.8%	49.3%	3.3%	11.2%	3.7%	4.7%	34.8%	65.2%
RECO	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Total	13.6%	54.9%	1.1%	20.0%	2.3%	8.1%	16.9%	83.1%

©2021

www.monitoringanalytics.com

11

#### **ARR Allocation Revenue Share: 2019/2020**

	Stage 1	A	Stage 1	В	Stage 2	2	Total	
	Out of Zone	In Zone						
AECO	32.3%	38.0%	7.9%	18.6%	0.0%	3.3%	40.1%	59.9%
AEP	13.5%	72.4%	1.2%	12.2%	0.1%	0.5%	14.9%	85.1%
APS	27.2%	54.0%	-0.1%	17.6%	0.1%	1.1%	27.3%	72.7%
ATSI	69.0%	26.7%	0.1%	3.2%	0.2%	0.7%	69.3%	30.7%
BGE	78.4%	14.5%	0.0%	6.9%	0.0%	0.1%	78.4%	21.6%
ComEd	0.0%	96.4%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	100.0%
DAY	98.1%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	99.9%	0.1%
DEOK	71.5%	26.9%	0.1%	1.2%	0.0%	0.3%	71.6%	28.4%
DLCO	71.8%	-1.5%	0.0%	-0.1%	10.4%	19.4%	82.2%	17.8%
Dominion	3.1%	85.5%	0.0%	9.9%	0.0%	1.6%	3.1%	96.9%
DPL	35.5%	57.5%	1.3%	3.8%	0.1%	1.8%	36.9%	63.1%
EKPC	93.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	5.9%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
EXT	66.1%	0.0%	33.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
JCPL	9.1%	35.7%	0.0%	0.1%	48.6%	6.5%	57.7%	42.3%
Met-Ed	33.2%	64.2%	0.7%	0.4%	0.1%	1.5%	34.0%	66.0%
PECO	1.4%	85.4%	1.7%	5.5%	4.3%	1.7%	7.4%	92.6%
PENELEC	32.9%	56.7%	0.0%	7.2%	0.0%	3.2%	32.9%	67.1%
Pepco	82.6%	12.2%	0.0%	-0.3%	0.2%	5.3%	82.8%	17.2%
PPL	0.0%	96.1%	0.0%	2.9%	-0.2%	1.2%	-0.2%	100.2%
PSEG	42.0%	53.6%	0.8%	1.5%	0.1%	1.9%	42.9%	57.1%
RECO	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Total	31.7%	58.4%	0.6%	7.0%	0.8%	1.5%	33.1%	66.9%

©2021

www.monitoringanalytics.com

#### **ARR Allocation Revenue Share: 2020/2021**

	Stage	e 1A	Stage 1	В	Stage 2		Tota	
	Out of Zone	In Zone						
AECO	43.6%	31.5%	1.7%	18.4%	1.4%	3.4%	46.7%	53.3%
AEP	15.2%	72.6%	0.2%	11.1%	0.0%	0.9%	15.4%	84.6%
APS	17.8%	57.6%	0.4%	22.8%	0.1%	1.3%	18.3%	81.7%
ATSI	85.3%	12.9%	0.0%	0.6%	1.0%	0.2%	86.3%	13.7%
BGE	83.8%	21.1%	0.0%	-3.5%	0.1%	-1.4%	83.9%	16.1%
ComEd	0.0%	96.9%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	100.0%
DAY	93.8%	0.0%	5.1%	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%	99.5%	0.5%
DEOK	78.2%	15.5%	0.1%	2.1%	0.0%	4.0%	78.4%	21.6%
DLCO	88.9%	0.0%	2.7%	-0.2%	4.9%	3.8%	96.5%	3.5%
Dominion	2.0%	83.6%	0.0%	13.3%	0.0%	1.1%	2.0%	98.0%
DPL	32.1%	51.4%	2.1%	9.3%	1.9%	3.2%	36.0%	64.0%
EKPC	73.3%	13.6%	0.0%	0.0%	12.9%	0.1%	86.3%	13.7%
EXT	69.7%	0.0%	30.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
JCPL	1.3%	9.3%	-0.1%	0.2%	87.6%	1.8%	88.7%	11.3%
Met-Ed	31.1%	68.4%	0.0%	-0.5%	0.2%	0.7%	31.4%	68.6%
PECO	6.4%	70.0%	1.7%	14.9%	2.5%	4.5%	10.6%	89.4%
PENELEC	39.9%	50.4%	0.0%	6.2%	0.0%	3.4%	39.9%	60.1%
Pepco	74.8%	10.1%	0.0%	0.2%	11.1%	3.8%	85.9%	14.1%
PPL	0.0%	93.5%	0.0%	5.8%	0.0%	0.8%	-0.1%	100.1%
PSEG	39.7%	49.7%	1.3%	5.3%	2.7%	1.3%	43.7%	56.3%
RECO	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Total	33.9%	54.8%	0.4%	7.5%	2.1%	1.2%	36.5%	63.5%

©2021

www.monitoringanalytics.com

13

# Offset Available to Load if All ARRs Self Scheduled: 2016/2017 through 2019/2020 Planning Periods

		17/18 Planning Period				18/19 Planning Period				19/20	Planning Period	eriod			
	SS FTR	Bal+M2M	Congestion+M2N	l Offset	SS FTR	Bal+M2M	Congestion+M2M	Offset	SS FTR	Bal+M2M	Congestion+M2M	Offset			
AECO	\$1.8	(\$1.6)	\$13.2	1.4%	\$11.5	(\$1.9)	\$9.7	99.3%	\$2.6	(\$2.0)	\$3.7	16.3%			
AEP	\$203.3	(\$20.4)	\$189.3	96.6%	\$84.9	(\$23.7)	\$102.0	60.0%	\$62.7	(\$26.2)	\$79.9	45.7%			
APS	\$78.7	(\$7.8)	\$57.2	123.9%	\$37.4	(\$9.2)	\$43.0	65.5%	\$31.2	(\$10.1)	\$30.9	68.2%			
ATSI	\$54.1	(\$10.6)	\$71.2	61.0%	\$45.3	(\$12.4)	\$50.7	65.0%	\$27.9	(\$13.5)	\$35.8	40.3%			
BGE	\$83.1	(\$5.0)	\$42.6	183.3%	\$49.0	(\$5.8)	\$19.2	224.9%	\$53.7	(\$6.4)	\$14.9	316.6%			
ComEd	\$110.9	(\$15.4)	\$181.0	52.8%	\$51.4	(\$17.8)	\$95.9	35.1%	\$40.6	(\$19.6)	\$66.9	31.4%			
DAY	\$10.5	(\$2.8)	\$21.2	36.7%	\$11.2	(\$3.2)	\$12.2	65.0%	\$5.6	(\$3.5)	\$9.5	21.3%			
DEOK	\$72.2	(\$4.3)	\$37.6	180.5%	\$50.4	(\$5.0)	\$22.7	199.9%	\$30.5	(\$5.6)	\$14.5	171.6%			
DLCO	\$10.6	(\$2.2)	\$12.2	68.9%	\$7.2	(\$2.5)	\$7.4	63.5%	\$8.1	(\$3.8)	\$5.0	86.2%			
Dominion	\$42.4	(\$15.8)	\$133.8	19.9%	\$55.8	(\$18.7)	\$63.5	58.5%	\$32.8	(\$2.8)	\$57.7	52.1%			
DPL	\$38.0	(\$2.9)	\$68.6	51.1%	\$57.7	(\$3.4)	\$58.5	92.8%	\$27.3	(\$21.0)	\$17.6	35.9%			
EKPC	(\$3.5)	(\$2.1)	\$20.5	-27.2%	\$0.9	(\$2.4)	\$9.0	-16.8%	\$4.1	(\$2.7)	\$7.2	20.3%			
EXT	\$3.4	(\$5.2)	\$28.7	-6.3%	\$1.7	(\$7.5)	\$13.6	-42.7%	\$0.9	(\$9.0)	\$7.0	-115.0%			
JCPL	\$2.7	(\$3.6)	\$32.1	-2.7%	\$2.6	(\$4.2)	\$19.7	-7.9%	\$2.3	(\$4.6)	\$9.0	-25.3%			
Met-Ed	\$7.6	(\$2.5)	\$26.5	19.3%	\$5.0	(\$2.9)	\$14.0	14.9%	\$0.8	(\$3.2)	\$8.6	-27.8%			
OVEC	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	0.0%	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	0.0%	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.3	0.0%			
PECO	\$15.7	(\$6.4)	\$57.7	16.2%	\$15.7	(\$7.5)	\$28.7	28.5%	\$16.8	(\$8.1)	\$12.5	68.9%			
PENELEC	\$15.4	(\$2.7)	\$30.5	41.7%	\$17.5	(\$3.2)	\$18.3	78.2%	\$11.2	(\$3.5)	\$10.6	72.2%			
Рерсо	\$38.1	(\$4.8)	\$39.2	84.9%	\$19.5	(\$5.5)	\$17.4	80.3%	\$23.2	(\$6.0)	\$13.3	128.9%			
PPL	\$14.7	(\$6.4)	\$65.3	12.7%	\$4.3	(\$7.6)	\$35.3	-9.2%	\$39.2	(\$8.4)	\$19.8	155.7%			
PSEG	\$58.6	(\$6.9)	\$62.4	82.9%	\$35.6	(\$8.1)	\$37.5	73.5%	\$21.3	(\$8.9)	\$17.8	69.6%			
RECO	(\$0.1)	(\$0)	\$1.9	-17.1%	\$0.2	(\$0.3)	\$1.7	-6.2%	\$0.2	(\$0.3)	\$0.7	-18.0%			
Total	\$858.0	(\$129.5)	\$1,192.6	61.1%	\$565.0	(\$152.7)	\$680.2	60.6%	\$443.0	(\$169.4)	\$443.1	61.8%			

www.monitoringanalytics.com

# FTR MW By Source and Sink Node Type: 2019/2020 Annual Auction

					Si	ink Type				
			EHV			Residual Metered				
Source Type		Aggregate	Aggregate	Generator	Hub	Interface	Load	Aggregate	Zone	
Aggregate		9,450.3	6.9	36,978.0	1,303.6	449.7	1,858.1	1,055.4	2,000.0	
EHV Aggregate		23.6	0.0	1,046.4	41.9	0.0	6.7	0.0	69.1	
Generator		69,342.9	389.4	315,328.8	24,760.5	5,118.4	24,373.2	29,874.2	45,462.4	
Hub		982.8	0.0	2,920.4	3,792.1	804.4	183.3	1,145.9	13,961.7	
Interface		418.8	0.0	2,559.9	255.6	626.8	98.4	622.2	360.5	
Load		3,626.5	0.0	15,461.7	148.1	78.6	2,188.9	397.2	884.8	
Residual Metered	Aggregate	205.7	0.0	2,134.9	35.9	0.0	150.7	182.5	413.8	
Zone		2,200.7	0.0	3,800.8	2,326.4	377.0	317.4	3,361.4	5,058.1	

©2021

www.monitoringanalytics.com

15

#### FTR Percentage of MW By Source and Sink Node Type: 2019/2020 Annual Auction

		Sink Type									
		EHV				Residual Metered					
Source Type	Aggregate	Aggregate	Generator	Hub	Interface	Load	Aggregate	Zone			
Aggregate	1.5%	0.0%	5.8%	0.2%	0.1%	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%			
EHV Aggregate	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
Generator	10.8%	0.1%	49.2%	3.9%	0.8%	3.8%	4.7%	7.1%			
Hub	0.2%	0.0%	0.5%	0.6%	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	2.2%			
Interface	0.1%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%			
Load	0.6%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%			
Residual Metered Aggregate	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%			
Zone	0.3%	0.0%	0.6%	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%	0.8%			

©2021

www.monitoringanalytics.com

16

#### **Matrix-ARR**

# 💌	Track/Theme	Design Components <sup>1</sup>	Priority -	Status Quo	IMM Proposal
1	1. ARRs	Availability and Assignment of Congestion rights to Load		Stage 1 – source points only from designated active historical resources or Qualified Replacement Resources Stage 2 – source points any available generator, interface, hub, zone Must always sink at load settlement point/aggregate	Rights to all congestion allocated to the load that paid it, based on actual network congestion DA and RT
1a.		Allocation mechanism			Rights to all congestion allocated to the load that paid it, based on actual network congestion DA and RT
16					Physical load points/avport interface
2		Congestion Right Election (Claim or Sell Options)		Annual, 24H Obligation "Price taker" from average 4 round annual auction prices	Set reserve prices for the sale of any portion of congestion that will be paid in a given period.
3		Auction Surplus		Auction surplus goes to FTR deficiencies first, residual allocated to ARR holders on ARR weighted basis	NA, All rights are assigned, no unassigned rights
4		Congestion Surplus		Congestion surplus goes to FTR deficiencies first, residual allocated to ARR holders on ARR weighted basis	NA. All rights are assigned, no unassigned rights.
5		Model details		Annual Model with modeled constraints, line limits and outages based on DA snap shot, Monthly updates during planning year. Objective to guarantee target allocation payouts.	Actual DA model and RT model of every actual market day
6		Amount of guaranteed ARRs		Stage 1A up-to ZBL share on historical source and sink paths only.	Full congestion paid in planning year.
7		Incremental ARR product types		EE, Merchant, RTEP Model document available here: https://www.pjm.com/-/media/markets- ops/ftr/pjm-iarr-model-development-	Eliminate IARR, inconsistent with network use.
7a.		assumptions and procedures		and-analysis.ashx	Eliminate IARR, inconsistent with network use.

©2021

www.monitoringanalytics.com

17

# **Matrix-FTR**

# 💌	Track/Theme	Design Components <sup>1</sup>	Priority 🗾	Status Quo	IMM Proposal 🗾
				10,000 per period, auction, round by	
8	2. FTRs	FTR Auction bid limits		corporate entity	NA
				Path availability limited by historical	
				pricing and source/sink pnode type.	
		FTR Option paths and clearing		Price calculated for all eligible Option	
9		mechanism		paths.	All rights are options, no negative values possible
				FTR paths that clear with $< 0.1\%$	
				impact on any constraint not cleared.	
				FTRs with a zero clearing price will	
				only be awarded if there is a minimum	
				of one binding constraint in the	
				auction period for which the FTR path	
10		In all ITO Dates		sensitivity is non-zero (0.1%	News
10		Invalid FIR Paths		threshold).	None.
				2411 On peak Off peak (M E 2200	market would match what was cald by rights
				24H, Off peak, Off peak (IV-F 2300-	heldere. Breduet types ean be as fleviable as
11		ETB product & class types		Appual product	requested by the market
		The product & class types		Post Accept Confirm	All bilateral arragements must be on a P.IM platform
12		Bilateral transaction functionality		Indemnification from defaults	subject to P.IM credit criteria
		Source of Congestion dollars		DA ahead only, balancing and M2M	
13		allocated to FTRs		assigned to load on load ratio basis.	All congestion (DA+Balancing+M2M)
		Available Rights not allocated or			<b>3 1 3 1 1</b>
		directly claimable by load (FTR		Paths not associated with ARR source	
14		Biddable points)		and sink pairs (sets)	NA
15		FTR Forfeiture Rule		Flow based, per M-6 section 8.6	NA

©2021

www.monitoringanalytics.com

18

# **Matrix-Transparency**

# 💌	Track/Theme	Design Components <sup>1</sup>	Priority	Status Quo	IMM Proposal	-
16	3. Transparency and Simplicity	Network model posted information		Base topology, outages, selected interface limits, m2m flow, loop flow, uncompensated flow, contingencies modeled	Actual DA model and RT model of every actual market day. OASIS.	
17		Network model posting frequency		Base models posted quarterly; outages, interface limits posted per auction, aggregate and PAR definitions, model mapping files	OASIS	
18		Outage modeling		Binary outages, entire model period	Actual by Day	
		Bid submission upload capability		Bids can be submitted through FTR center, or browserless via XML.		
19		Implementation date		N/A		



www.monitoringanalytics.com

19

Monitoring Analytics, LLC 2621 Van Buren Avenue Suite 160 Eagleville, PA 19403 (610) 271-8050

MA@monitoringanalytics.com www.MonitoringAnalytics.com



www.monitoringanalytics.com

20

©2021