

| Committee           | Issue   | Description  | Strategic Focus Area   | Issue Status | Date      | Associated Docs |
|---------------------|---|--|------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <a href="#">MIC</a> | Black Start Service: NERC CIP Options and Capital Cost Recovery | <p>This issue concerns several NERC CIP issues pertinent to black start owners:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Schedule 6A includes those costs incurred as a result of a NERC compliance standard similar to the CIP standards.</li> <li>• Schedule 6A allows black start owner to carry risk insurance for reasonable costs to cover a risk policy for those potential penalties associated with a NERC compliance issue related to maintaining black start status.</li> <li>• Schedule 6A allows black start owner to pass on any NERC penalties associated with black start compliance failures to the PJM membership.</li> <li>• Schedule 6A language for the provision for black start providers to file at the FERC for recovery of capital investment related to Black Start service within the context of the formula rate provided.</li> <li>• Schedule 6A language for the requirement for PJM to handling billing associated with the above arrangements with no significant issues.</li> <li>• Schedule 6A language for the inclusion of CONE to replace CDR with some additional clarifications on the details of CONE to be included in the tariff language.</li> </ul> | Competitive Markets    | Closed       | 11/1/2011 | No              |
| <a href="#">MRC</a> | Capacity Interconnection Rights                                 | <p>This issue concerns clarification of interconnection queue customer access to capacity interconnection rights. Dominion Settlement (EL08-36-000) – PJM's Regional Planning Process Working Group (RPPWG) was directed to evaluate issues relative to the PJM Tariff provisions regarding: “[L]oss of Capacity Interconnection Rights in the event an Interconnection Customer does not meet operational standards for a consecutive three-year period, including but not limited to the effect on Capacity Interconnection Rights of an Interconnection Customer’s failure to meet applicable milestones specified in its ISA and/or section 230.3.2 of the PJM Tariff.”</p>  | Reliability/Operations | Closed       | 6/3/2009  | No              |

|                     |   |  |                     |        |            |    |
|---------------------|---|--|---------------------|--------|------------|----|
| <a href="#">MIC</a> | Capacity Markets: 2008 Issues             | <p>This issue concerns a number of issues related to the Capacity Markets in 2008. These include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• REPLACEMENT TRANSACTION DEADLINE - a proposal to change the replacement transaction deadline.</li> <li>• INTERMITTENT GENERATION – a proposal on valuing intermittent generation in the capacity market.</li> <li>• TRANSFERRING FRR SERVICE AREAS – a proposal for transferring FRR Service Areas from one FRR Entity to another and allow for the combination of FRR Entities under a single FRR Capacity Plan.</li> <li>• PLC ADDBACK METHODOLOGY – a proposal to modify the PLC addback methodology and how the addback would be allocated to the zone and what the impacts would be to the VRR curves.</li> <li>• EFORD RISK – a discussion of EFORD risk between the Base Residual Auction and the Delivery Year.</li> <li>• ERPM TRANSACTION ENHANCEMENTS – enhancements to the eRPM system to accommodate new transaction types and about additional details on the proposed Locational UCAP product.</li> <li>• RPM TARIFF CHANGES – Clarifying changes to the RPM Agreement Language to endorse a proposed change to the billing month for Peak Hour Period Availability Charges and for the Capital Expenditure change.</li> <li>• PENALTIES RELATED TO TRANSMISSION PLANNING – performance penalties incurred by RPM resources related to transmission planning issues.</li> <li>• INCREMENTAL AUCTIONS – potential alternatives including locational triggers that might warrant conducting</li> </ul> | Competitive Markets | Closed | 11/20/2008 | No |
| <a href="#">MC</a>  | Capacity Markets: Additional CONE Regions | This issue concerns creating additional CONE Regions per a FERC directive as part of a December 1, 2009 compliance filing. PJM is in the process of studying the creation of two new CONE areas: Dominion and Western MAAC.  | Competitive Markets | Closed | 12/1/2009  | No |

|                    |  |  |                     |        |            |    |
|--------------------|--|--|---------------------|--------|------------|----|
| <a href="#">PC</a> | Capacity Markets: Additional LDA Creation          | This issue concerns FERC's order to PJM to review LDA determination methodology. At the 07/13/09 PC meeting, PJM recommended continued use of the currently defined 23 LDAs for load deliverability testing in RTEP and modeling in RPM; ongoing research will continue into alternative methods for LDA definition. PJM also recommended development of Super-LDAs (may include existing LDA, portions of existing LDA's, and/or portions of other LDA's) to address persistent transmission constraints. PJM would propose creation of a new LDA when annual market efficiency analysis identifies persistent congestion on a 500kV or above facility or interface for multiple years beyond the next BRA. D-fax analysis would be used to determine specific busses included in the proposed LDA. Additional LDAs will be determined through RTEP process flow into RPM via the Reliability Assurance Agreement (RAA). A compliance filing is expected on December 1, 2009. | Competitive Markets | Closed | 11/11/2009 | No |
| <a href="#">MC</a> | Capacity Markets: Automated Adjustment to Net CONE | This issue concerns proposed changes to the automated adjustment to Net CONE. In addition to Member proposals, PJM plans to release a list of principles that should be addressed by a proposed automated adjustment method.   | Competitive Markets | Closed | 9/1/2009   | No |
| <a href="#">MC</a> | Capacity Markets: Credit Issues                    | This issue concerns PJM's proposal to modify the credit requirement for capacity offered into RPM Base Residual Auctions (BRAs) and Incremental Auctions (IAs).  | Competitive Markets | Closed | 12/1/2009  | No |
| <a href="#">MC</a> | Capacity Markets: Definition of "Rest of RTO"      | This issue concerns a proposal and tariff language for the definition of rest of RTO for Market Power Mitigation. The Market Monitor (Monitoring Analytics) and PJM will prepare modifications to the tariff language.   | Competitive Markets | Closed | 12/1/2009  | No |

|                     |   |   |                     |        |           |    |
|---------------------|---|---|---------------------|--------|-----------|----|
| <a href="#">MIC</a> | Capacity Markets: Demand Response Participation   | <p>This issue concerns various DR participation concerns raised by stakeholders following a recent auction including proposals to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For the elimination of offer caps on DR resources</li> <li>• To modify the notification period for Planned DR from 45 days to 15 business days</li> <li>• Allow DR resources to register in either the Full Program Option or the Capacity Only option of the Emergency Load Response program.</li> <li>• More clearly define Existing DR to say that the CSP has a contract with the customer site to reduce load</li> <li>• Add tariff or manual language to clarify how a new CSP can claim an existing DR customer currently registered to another CSP.</li> <li>• More clearly define “permanent load departure” term that governs whether an existing DR resource can ask to be relieved of capacity commitment.</li> <li>• Remove or modify the “weighted zonal capacity price” provision for DR that does not specify its location in a sub-zonal LDA (PS, DPL)</li> <li>• Require all planned DR, Generation, or EE to meet RPM Credit Requirement (even if in FRR plan) Other options for addressing some of the underlying issues with the way demand response resources participate in the Capacity Market will also be evaluated.</li> </ul> | Competitive Markets | Closed | 8/25/2009 | No |
| <a href="#">MC</a>  | Capacity Markets: Energy Efficiency Participation | <p>This issue concerns revisions to allow Energy Efficiency Resources to participate in Earlier Delivery Years as proposed by the Energy Efficiency Task Force. Specifically, eligibility and participation of EE Resources prior to the 2012/13 Delivery Year (Note: next available Incremental Auctions may be conducted in January, 2010 for 10/11 DY and April, 2010 for 11/12 DY).</p>   | Competitive Markets | Closed | 1/22/2010 | No |
| <a href="#">MC</a>  | Capacity Markets: FRR Load Forecast Changes       | <p>This issue concerns allowing changes in load forecast to affect FRR Obligations. Proposed changes would modify the rules to the load forecasts of FRR entities to increase or decrease in the same manner as RPM LSEs.</p>   | Competitive Markets | Closed | 12/1/2009 | No |

|                     |  |  |                     |        |            |    |
|---------------------|--|--|---------------------|--------|------------|----|
| <a href="#">MC</a>  | Capacity Markets: Incremental Auction Redesign | <p>This issue concerns design changes to incremental auctions as specified under the current tariff including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PJM's Market Monitor concerns with the Avoidable Cost Rate (ACR) values. The IMM states that the ACR values in the tariff are applicable to the 2012/13 Delivery Year; however, they are not appropriate for use in earlier Delivery Year Incremental Auctions. PJM noted that tariff changes would be required to use different default ACR values for Incremental Auctions prior to the 2012/2013 Delivery Year.</li> <li>• Clarification of the procurement process in Incremental Auctions using an Incremental Auction demand curve.</li> <li>• Allocation of the 2.5% deferred supply to the incremental auctions.</li> <li>• Providing replacement capacity to Load Serving Entities due to reductions in the reliability requirement in certain circumstances.</li> <li>• Modifications to the auction timeline to spread the auctions more evenly throughout the year.</li> </ul> | Competitive Markets | Closed | 12/1/2009  | No |
| <a href="#">MC</a>  | Capacity Markets: New Entry Pricing            | <p>This issue concerns proposed changes to modify the New Entry Pricing rules. It is claimed that the current rules for New Entry Pricing have yet to be exercised by market participants. Therefore, it is proposed that the tariff be modified to ensure that the unit clears in the subsequent auction and that the unit is not overpaid/underpaid in the subsequent auctions.</p>  | Competitive Markets | Closed | 12/1/2009  | No |
| <a href="#">MIC</a> | Credit: 2008 & 2009 Issues                     | <p>This issue concerns updates to PJM's credit policy to reduce PJM's and Members' exposure to Member credit related issues. Various components included in this overall credit "umbrella" issue are compiled from 2008 and 2009 meetings of the Credit Risk Management Steering Committee (CRMSC). These include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Peak market activity credit requirements (Loss of Load contracts; early payment frequency; removal of FTR activity from invoices)</li> <li>• Monthly payments by muni's</li> <li>• Providers of Letters of Credit Concentration</li> <li>• Credit requirements - FTR's</li> <li>• Unsecured credit</li> <li>• Offsetting / Netting</li> <li>• Other miscellaneous credit policy revisions</li> </ul>   | Competitive Markets | Closed | 12/31/2009 | No |
| <a href="#">MC</a>  | Credit: Peak Market Activity                   | <p>This issue concerns a stakeholder-requested proposal to reset the Peak Market Activity twice a year.</p>  | Competitive Markets | Closed | 3/25/2010  | No |

|                     |  |  |                        |          |           |    |
|---------------------|--|--|------------------------|----------|-----------|----|
| <a href="#">MC</a>  | Deferred Security Revisions                        | This issue concerns necessary tariff revisions to Deferred Security for interconnection customers which was added to the PJM Tariff in April, 2009. The intent is to allow interconnection customers the opportunity to secure financing during a reasonable period of time after it signed its Interconnection Service Agreement (“ISA”).   | Competitive Markets    | Closed   | 5/16/2011 | No |
| <a href="#">MRC</a> | Demand Response: One CSP Rule Exception            | PJM will allow 2 CPS for the same end use customer only under the situation where 1 CSP has an Emergency – Capacity Only registration and the other CSP has the Economic registration for energy and/or ancillary services. This narrow exception allows a clear alignment of each CSP to specific requirements and wholesale market.  | Competitive Markets    | Closed   | 4/23/2009 | No |
| <a href="#">MRC</a> | Distributed Solar Metering Requirements            | This issue concerns a proposal to meter distributed solar panels in the Public Service Electric & Gas service territory.   | Reliability/Operations | Closed   | 9/23/2009 | No |
| <a href="#">MC</a>  | Duquesne Zone Fees                                 | (11/20/08 MC Minutes) This issue addresses NERC's request that PJM not bill NERC or ReliabilityFirst fees to load serving entities in the Duquesne zone at least during 2009. NERC requested this change in order to finalize their 2009 regional allocations which needed to be approved by the NERC Board during fall 2008 prior to a final Duquesne filing with FERC regarding whether Duquesne intended to be a PJM member during 2009. Ms. Daugherty confirmed that this proposed Tariff revision could be reversed effective January 1 of any subsequent year. Following discussion, the Members present endorsed by acclamation with one objection and two abstentions Tariff revisions to exclude the Duquesne zone from Schedule 10-NERC and Schedule 10-RFC charges effective January 1, 2009. | Competitive Markets    | Closed   | 9/24/2009 | No |
| Cost Subcommittee   | Early Payments Used to Reduce Peak Market Activity | This issue concerns a stakeholder proposal to allow companies which do not receive unsecured credit to make early invoice payments which would reduce their Peak Market Activity in a similar manner to what companies receiving unsecured credit are now allowed to do.   | Competitive Markets    | Canceled | 2/1/2011  | No |

|                     |   |  |                     |        |            |    |
|---------------------|---|--|---------------------|--------|------------|----|
| <a href="#">MC</a>  | Energy Resource Curtailments  | <p>This issue concerns:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Due to the increase in Energy Resources, PJM is concerned about the need to declare emergency procedures and issue verbal dispatch instructions to reduce output from Energy Resources to resolve transmission constraints.</li> <li>• Resources that do not have Capacity Interconnection Rights (CIR) up to their Maximum Facility Output (MFO) may not submit an economic minimum that exceeds the level of their CIR.</li> <li>• This change would only apply to real-time market (not day-ahead).</li> </ul> | Competitive Markets | Closed | 3/2/2011   | No |
| <a href="#">MC</a>  | FTR Miscellaneous Changes   | <p>This issue concern the language changes associated with FTR trading Credit requirements and available FTR bidding nodes. FTR trading credit requirements will now automatically be transferred to/from both parties involved in a FTR trade via the eFTR system. The FTR available list of receipt and delivery points changed so that it will now be based on the posted list from the FTR web page. This allows PJM to make disconnected, future, equivalent, and special case situation nodes unavailable for FTR bidding.</p>   | Competitive Markets | Closed | 4/1/2010   | No |
| <a href="#">CDS</a> | Generator Cost Development: CO2 Emission Allowance                  | <p>This issue concerns CO2 emission allowance modifications to the Cost Development Guidelines (Manual 15). When CO2 costs are mandated, Manual 15 changes have been made to allow cost recovery similar to incorporating NOx and SO2.</p>   | Competitive Markets | Closed | 1/22/2009  | No |
| <a href="#">CDS</a> | Generator Cost Development: Cost Offer Data Submission Requirements | <p>This issue concerns the Market Monitor's proposal for Cost Offer Data Submission Requirements for Opportunity Cost Calculation.</p>   | Competitive Markets | Closed | 10/19/2009 | No |
| <a href="#">CDS</a> | Generator Cost Development: Fuel Policy Minimum Term                | <p>This issue concerns changes to the CDTF Cost Development Guidelines (Manual 15) manual to define the basis for a participant's fuel cost policy changes.</p>  | Competitive Markets | Closed | 8/17/2009  | No |
| <a href="#">CDS</a> | Generator Cost Development: Gas Cost Recovery                       | <p>This issue concerns the mismatch between the Gas and Electric market day and a proposal to allow participants to fully recover costs incurred as a result of the interactions between market day differences.</p>   | Competitive Markets | Closed | 3/2/2011   | No |

|                          |   |   |                        |          |            |    |
|--------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------|------------|----|
| <a href="#">MC</a>       | Generator Cost Development: Maintenance Cost Configuration Addition Adder | This issue concerns a proposal that allows recovery of a Configuration Addition Maintenance Adder cost in addition to the current maintenance costs. This adder is applicable after a major physical change to the unit to meet new environmental compliance requirements. Because maintenance cost calculations are based on a 10- or 20-year history, without the configuration addition adder, these units' historical averages used to determine maintenance costs will under-recover the expected costs until the full 10- or 20-year historical period is incorporated. | Competitive Markets    | Closed   | 5/7/2010   | No |
| <a href="#">MC</a>       | Generator Cost Development: Maintenance Period Historical Change          | This issue concerns proposed changes to the 20 year historical time period over which historical maintenance costs are averaged.  | Competitive Markets    | Closed   | 5/7/2010   | No |
| <a href="#">CDS</a>      | Generator Cost Development: Treatment for Units under Long-Term Contracts | This issue concerns the development of proposed modifications to the Cost Development Guidelines (Manual 15) for units under long-term contracts. Member concerns exist around the terms of the contract, output of the units, and contract rules.  | Competitive Markets    | Canceled | 5/23/2008  | No |
| <a href="#">MRC</a>      | Generator: Reactive Power Deficiencies                                    | This issue originated with the PC and has been making progress with the PC for a few months. In summary, the PC is considering implementing a PJM planning process that would allow increases in generating capability to resolve reactive deficiencies by paying into a reactive pool that would be used to fund dynamic reactive devices. This will likely result in PJM Manual changes that will need to be voted on by the MRC.   | Reliability/Operations | Closed   | 3/23/2011  | No |
| Governance Working Group | Governance: Compliance Filing Process                                     | This issue concerns a post-implementation review of the compliance filing stakeholder process six months after its June 2007 implementation. The objective is to determine upon receipt of a compliance directive, if material or not, whether it is appropriate to have it vetted through the PJM stakeholder process, and further, what that process should be.   | Stakeholder Management | Closed   | 4/1/2008   | No |
| <a href="#">GAST</a>     | Governance: Voting Reports  | This issue concerns the initial generation of 13 voting reports developed by the GWG to give the PJM Board additional insight into the votes taken at each MC meeting. These reports should be simple, clear charts without reference to other documents. They should focus on why voters voted the way they did on a particular vote. In addition, hopefully over time they will also give insight to patterns of voting based on PJM members' economic interests and individual sector and line of business interests.  | Stakeholder Management | Closed   | 11/14/2008 | No |

|                                   |   |  |                        |        |            |    |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|------------------------|--------|------------|----|
| <a href="#">MRC</a>               | Holistic Review of Generator Compensation                               | This issue concerns a stakeholders request for PJM and the Independent Market Monitor to perform a holistic review of generator compensation. The review is to include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Generator costs</li> <li>• All payments made to generators and</li> <li>• Separately report on whether compensation being paid to generators is just and reasonable on a cumulative basis to help ensure that when a new rate component is added that the old rate components are still necessary and fairly calculated.</li> </ul>   | Competitive Markets    | Closed | 12/31/2009 | No |
| <a href="#">MIC</a>               | Interface Pricing   | This issue concerns resolution of determination of pricing mechanisms for transactions spanning PJM's borders. PJM generally utilizes 7 interface prices to price external interchange transactions (IMO, NYISO, MISO, Northwest, SOUTHIMP, SOUTHEXP, OVEC). Interface prices are composed of external bus prices in a neighboring region. Following the Southeast/Southwest interface consolidation, PJM entered into agreements to price transactions to/from certain external control areas at a more granular level when dynamic, real time verification can be obtained. PJM has been requested to expand the assignment of these more granular interface prices to transactions to/from these control areas by entities that do not own the physical assets. | Competitive Markets    | Closed | 2/1/2009   | No |
| <a href="#">MC</a>                | Intermittent Resource Integration: Limited Energy Storage Resources     | is issue concerns potential changes to PJM business rules related to limited energy storage resources (e.g. flywheels and batteries).  | Reliability/Operations | Closed | 3/10/2011  | No |
| Intermittent Resources Task Force | Intermittent Resource Integration: Wind Forecasting                     | This issue concerns procedures to redispatch wind generation.  | Reliability/Operations | Closed | 9/16/2011  | No |
|                                   | Intermittent Resources Integration: Interconnection Study Methodologies | This issue concerns various interconnection study methodologies for intermittent resources.  |                        | Closed | 5/10/2011  | No |
| <a href="#">PC</a>                | JCM - Coordination of queue studies between MISO and PJM                | MISO/PJM JCM group identified the need to develop additional clarification, and further define the process to be used for the coordination of various queue studies which may have impacts on cross border facilities (e.g.: MISO queue request with potential impact on PJM facilities, and PJM queue request with potential impact on MISO facilities).  | Reliability/Operations | Closed | 8/1/2013   | No |
| <a href="#">MC</a>                | Late Payment Penalty Proposal   | This issue concerns a proposal to charge market participants a penalty for late invoice payments.  | Competitive Markets    | Closed | 2/17/2011  | No |

|                           |  |   |                        |          |            |    |
|---------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------|------------|----|
| <a href="#">MRC</a>       | Linden VFT and Neptune Business Practices Update | is issue concerns the OASIS business practice updates specifically related to the Linden Variable Frequency Transformer (VFT) Merchant Transmission Facility and the Neptune Merchant Transmission DC line. This issue concerns Linden VFT Transmission Service: PJM OATT Schedule 16 1. Terms and Conditions for facility service 2. PJM out service necessary PJM to file with the FERC on behalf of Linden VFT TO – 3/15/2009.   | Reliability/Operations | Closed   | 10/21/2009 | No |
| Tariff Advisory Committee | Long-Term FTR Auction Enhancements               | This issue concerns proposed enhancements to the current PJM market structure for Long Term FTR Auctions. It includes Tariff, Operating Agreement, and manual revisions to implement the Long Term FTR rules approved by FERC (ER08-1016).  |                        | Closed   | 12/7/2009  | No |
| <a href="#">MC</a>        | Market Price Reposting Process                   | The issue concerns proposals on a process for market price repostings.  | Competitive Markets    | Closed   | 6/22/2010  | No |
| <a href="#">MRC</a>       | Market Settlements: Settlement C                 | PJM currently performs a single settlement on a two-month lag for load reconciliations between EDCs and their zonal LSEs. An EDC proposal was developed via the MSWG in which a second load reconciliation settlement for a given quarter would be performed by PJM on a 7-month lag for those EDCs that were interested in utilizing the service.  | Competitive Markets    | Canceled | 9/23/2009  | No |
| <a href="#">MC</a>        | Members Committee Meeting Quorum Requirement     | This issue concerns Section 8.3.3 of the Operating Agreement which provides the requirements for declaring a quorum present at a Members Committee meeting. This issue addresses providing clarification of these quorum requirements to state that once a quorum is declared for a meeting, it will be considered to be present until the published end time of the meeting.   | Competitive Markets    | Closed   | 6/30/2010  | No |
| <a href="#">MC</a>        | Meter Error Correction Allocation Changes        | This issue concerns allowing an EDC to voluntarily have PJM directly allocate Meter Error Correction Charges to that EDC's load serving entities (LSEs). Meter Error Correction Charges (+/-) are due to meter true-ups reported at month-end by EDCs and Generators to account for any accumulated tie line and generation meter error during the month. Most EDCs currently have back-office billing processes to further allocate these +/- charges to other LSEs, especially as residual load auctions result in many EDCs that no longer serve load. | Competitive Markets    | Closed   | 1/22/2010  | No |
| <a href="#">OC</a>        | NERC Critical Infrastructure Protection CIP      | This issue concerns PJM efforts to address Critical Infrastructure Protection (CIP) Compliance and involvement in CIP Standard Drafting Team. The effort also includes a review of the existing PJM RTO Guidelines for determination of TO/GO critical asset.   | Reliability/Operations | Closed   | 5/19/2009  | No |

|                      |   |  |                        |          |            |    |
|----------------------|---|--|------------------------|----------|------------|----|
| <a href="#">MRC</a>  | Nuclear Plant Interface Standard                                    | This issue concerns Nuclear Generation Owner User Group members' plans to comply with Nuclear Plant Interface Standard (NUC-001) including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Timeframe to publish Manual 39: Nuclear Plant Interface Coordination</li> <li>• Intent of Attachment B: Plant Specific Requirements</li> <li>• Next steps to share plant specific NPIR with PJM and Transmission Owners</li> <li>• Review the NUC-001 Transmission Entity List.</li> </ul>  | Reliability/Operations | Closed   | 4/1/2010   | No |
| <a href="#">MRC</a>  | Operator Certification Requirements                                 | This issue concerns proposed changes to the PJM Operator Certification program based on recent Job Task Analysis evaluations and feedback from SOS-T/G members.  | Reliability/Operations | Closed   | 12/31/2009 | No |
| <a href="#">RAAS</a> | Reserve Requirement: Modeling World Area                            | This issue concerns modeling of the world base capacity, perhaps modeling all world regions as one area for forecasting world reserves for the Installed Reserve Margin (IRM) study.   | Reliability/Operations | Closed   | 12/30/2009 | No |
| <a href="#">MC</a>   | RPM Items - 2010  | This issue concerns 4 RPM Items: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clarification of Must Offer Requirement &amp; Mitigation of Planned Generation Resources</li> <li>• EFORd used for evaluating initial FRR Capacity Plan (Manual Change Only per email below)</li> <li>• Use of available capacity (i.e. uncommitted capacity) to help cure shortfall for peak hour period availability</li> <li>• Refine definition of Energy Efficiency Resource to specify installation at End-Use Customer retail site</li> </ul> | Competitive Markets    | Closed   | 1/31/2011  | No |
| <a href="#">MIC</a>  | Spread Bid Product  | This issue concerns design and development of a "spread bid" product, i.e. a transaction in the Day-Ahead Market consisting of an offer to sell at one point and a bid to buy at another point.  | Competitive Markets    | Canceled | 9/10/2009  | No |
| <a href="#">MRC</a>  | Three Pivotal Supplier Test: Regulation Market                      | This issue concerns the justness and reasonableness of the three pivotal supplier test for market power mitigation in the Regulation market.   | Competitive Markets    | Closed   | 1/15/2009  | No |
| <a href="#">MRC</a>  | Transaction Scheduling Issues                                       | This issue concerns changes to recent transaction scheduling rules to address issues with external interchange transaction scheduling. Current transaction issues include: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 15-minute schedules on not-willing-to-pay congestion (NWTP) transmission service</li> <li>2. 15-minute external transaction schedules during the last 15 minutes of a clock hour</li> <li>3. Hoarding of spot-in transmission service.</li> </ol>   | Competitive Markets    | Closed   | 6/23/2009  | No |
| <a href="#">MC</a>   | Undiversified Credit Exemption for FTRs Sinking in an LSE Load Zone | This issue concerns a proposal to allow an exemption to the undiversified credit requirement for FTRs that sink in an LSEs load zone. The FERC had stated at the time that PJM was welcome to submit a revised proposal.   | Competitive Markets    | Closed   | 2/28/2011  | No |