

Critical Issue Fast Path Lessons Learned Subject Areas

November 15, 2021 Stakeholder Process Forum

At the November 2021 Stakeholder Process Forum stakeholders anticipate discussing the 2021 implementation of the Critical Issue Fast Path (CIFP) process related to the Minimum Offer Price Rule (MOPR) issue. The purpose of the discussion will be to identify best practices for any future implementations of the CIFP.

- Pre-CIFP Sessions and Poll
- CIFP Stages 1-3
 - In the first use, could have benefitted from more time allocated to Stage 3. Something to be mindful of moving forward.
 - There was a disparity in package development. Many of the packages in the matrix did not provide enough information or context about the proposal. Stakeholders should consider hiring a consultant to help in the development of proposals for future use of the CIFP.
- Stage 4 Final Meeting
 - Presentation Material (restriction to Matrix only)
 - Too difficult to get context from matrix only.
 - Use of short briefing documents or slides
- Stage 4 MC Meeting
 - Should be convened to vote only (no further presentation or debate).
- Overall Process
 - After the vote, the process fell off. Stakeholders would have appreciated more discussion and opportunity for review and input on the drafting of the government document language.
 - Expectations were accurately and clearly communicated at the start process, which helped set the stage for a successful process
- Manual 34 Language Clarification
 - Clarification of Manual 34 language to reflect that the Stage 4 Member's Committee meeting is convened to vote and not for any further presentation or debate.
- Others?