

# RASTF Issue Charge Overview of Alternate Issue Charge Proposal and Motion

Steven Lieberman  
January 26, 2022



# What is the Alternate Issue Charge?

- Issue Charge is **exactly** the same in all regards (e.g., KWAs) as the PJM version, the Main Motion, but for one item within the Out of Scope section:
  - Main Motion:
    1. Topics related to the Minimum Offer Price Rule (MOPR) in Phase 1 beyond those needed for consistency with the work in this Issue Charge.
    2. Elimination of the Fixed Resource Requirement option
    3. Removing DR as a supply resource
  - Alternative
    1. Topics related to the Minimum Offer Price Rule (MOPR) in Phase 1 beyond those needed for consistency with the work in this Issue Charge.
    2. Elimination of the Fixed Resource Requirement option
    - ~~3. Removing DR as a supply resource~~



# Why the Alternative?

1. Provides Members with optionality.
2. Members decide the scope and activities associated with the Issue Charge, not PJM unilaterally.
3. Simply because something is not out of scope does not preclude or mandate a change.

**Option 1:** If you support excluding the possibility for a solution that includes removing DR as a supply resource, then:

- Support the Main Motion.

**Option 2:** If you support including the possibility for a solution that allows for removal of DR as a supply resource, then:

- Oppose the Main Motion.
- Support the Alternative.

# What is the Motion?

AMP is offering a Motion to provide an alternate version of the RASTF Issue Charge that includes one change to the Main Motion noted by the redline to the Out of Scope section:

1. Topics related to the Minimum Offer Price Rule (MOPR) in Phase 1 beyond those needed for consistency with the work in this Issue Charge.
2. Elimination of the Fixed Resource Requirement option
- ~~3. Removing DR as a supply resource~~

# Summary

- AMP supports Demand Response (DR) participation in RPM.
- AMP supports the benefits to consumers that DR provides.
- AMP utilizes the services of DR providers and recognizes the value they provide.
- AMP supports competitive wholesale markets and effective market design.
- This is not an attack on DR.
- This is not an attempt to end the DR business model.
- This is not AMP pursuing a solution.
- This is a continuation of AMP's position that the review of RPM should be a holistic one; excluding an essential and important component from consideration does not serve our collective best interests.

## Summary (cont.)

- M-34, Revision 14 (10/20/21):
  - 6.2.2 Issue Charge (*excerpt*)
    - The Issue Charge contains the logistical details for the intended work....
    - An effective Issue Charge shall include at least the following elements (refer to the Charge template in Appendix III):
      - Scope: key areas of expected activity and/or areas that are not intended for activity
- Members should decide the Scope, not PJM.
- AMP supports the Alternative Issue Charge that favors the mutual gains, Consensus Based Issue Resolution process that is the backbone of the PJM stakeholder process via an Issue Charge that encourages active participation by all interested parties.



**THANK YOU!**

**Steven Lieberman**

**Ph: 614.519.9168**

**Email:**

**[slieberman@amppartners.org](mailto:slieberman@amppartners.org)**

