

Capacity Construct / Public Policy Sr. Task Force Update



David Anders, PE
Director, Stakeholder Affairs
Markets & Reliability Committee
December 21, 2017

www.pjm.com PJM©2017



- Problem Statement / Issue Charge approved by MRC in January 2017. Key Work Activities:
 - 1. Identify the objectives and characteristics of a well-functioning capacity construct.
 - 2. Identify current and potential public policy initiatives states could take regarding resource adequacy, fuel diversity, public, and environmental policies.
 - 3. Identify areas where state actions and the current RPM capacity construct may not be aligned.
 - 4. Given the results from Activities 1-3, brainstorm and develop modifications to RPM that could accommodate/address both capacity construct objectives and state actions. This could include, but not be limited to such things as, impacts to consumer costs, market entry and exit, reserve margins.
- 22 days of meetings all KWAs complete.




- Ten proposals initially developed; two withdrawn:
 - AMP
 - Exelon
 - IMM
 - LS Power
 - NOVEC
 - NRDC
 - NRG
 - PJM
- Vote on remaining proposals held week of November 13, 2017



- Vote on all packages under review at the CCPPSTF open from November 13 through 17, 2017.
 - Total Unique Responders 63
 - Total Companies 287
 - Voting Members 85
 - Affiliates 191
- IMM package received simple majority support and will be presented to the December 7, 2017 MRC for a first read, and expected vote on December 21, 2017.



Package Vote Results






Vote Results Breakdown

Question	Yes	No	Abstain	#	%
1. Do you support the AMP Package? – Response	31	250	6	287	11.03%
2. Do you support the Exelon Package? Response	30	253	4	287	10.60%
3. Do you support the IMM Package? Response	179	105	3	287	63.03%
4. Do you support the LS Power Package? Response	44	233	10	287	
5. Do you support the NOVEC Package? Response	44	239	4	287	15.55%
6. Do you support the NRG Package? Response	45	234	8	287	16.13%
7. Do you support the PJM Package? Response	71	201	15	287	26.10%
8. Do you support the Sustainable FERC Project Package? Response	23	264	0	287	8.01%



Non-binding Poll Question



	Make a Change	Retain the Status Quo	Abstain	#
Do you prefer to make a change to the current capacity market construct to accommodate state public policy, or retain the status quo? Response	100	175	12	287
	36.36%	63.64%		

www.pjm.com 7 PJM©2017