



PJM Interest Identification

1. Improved transparency
2. Consistency
3. Some form of repeatable methodology/guidelines/approach for projects related to aging infrastructure and to supplemental projects
 - Note: PJM believes the repeatable methods/guidelines/approach would likely be different for each TO and, as such, is not suggesting an interest in a single “RTO-wide” method. PJM’s interest stems from its desire to demonstrate a basic level of due diligence in the annual formulation of projects (Baseline and Supplemental) within the RTEP.
4. Verification/Justification
5. Method to evaluate Alternatives to replacement-in-kind (Are there better more efficient configurations? Is such an evaluation worthwhile?)