- 1. To be eligible as a TMEP, the project must have a maximum total capital cost of (select all you could support):
 - a. No cost cap
 - b. 50 million
 - c. 30 million
 - d. 20 million (aligns with Interregional TMEPs)
 - e. 10 million
 - f. 5 million
 - g. 2 million
- 2. Under the current proposal, benefits are calculated as a number of years the average historical congestion (adjusted for outages) is expected to persist, absent system changes. Number of years for this calculation (select all you could support):
 - a. 6
 - b. 5
 - c. 4 (aligns with Interregional TMEPs)
 - d. 3
 - e. 2
 - f. Cannot support such a benefit metric
- 3. How do you prefer TMEPs interact with the existing market efficiency proposal window?
 - a. Separate window independent of MEP window
 - b. Share window with MEPs, with criteria (TBD) to carve out priority for TMEPs
 - c. Procurement model (no solution proposal window)
- 4. All market efficiency analysis includes evaluation of broader congestion impacts. The TMEP construct should:
 - a. Allow PJM discretion, in consultation with stakeholders (consistent with MEP and interregional TMEP processes)
 - b. Develop bright-line criteria for maximum allowable congestion shift
 - c. Allow no shifted congestion
- 5. Consistent with the goals of the TMEP, one of the project criteria is a maximum in service timeline. Select all criteria you could support.
 - a. Within 36 months of award

- b. Within 30 months of award (~aligns with Interregional TMEPs)
- c. Within 24 months of award
- d. Within 18 months of award
- 6. Do you support changing the status quo (adding TMEP type construct to the regional process)?
 - a. Yes
 - b. No